



**High Ridge Litigation Proposed Resolution Review
Committee –
Board of Representatives**

Jeff Curtis, Chair

Bradley Bewkes, Vice Chair

COMMITTEE MINUTES

Date: Thursday, June 8, 2023
Time: 6:30 p.m.
Place: *This meeting was held remotely*

The High Ridge Litigation Proposed Resolution Review Committee of the Board of Representatives met as indicated above. In attendance were President Curtis and Vice-Chair Bewkes and Committee Member Reps. de la Cruz, Fedeli, Garst, Grunberger, and Sherwood. Also present were Reps. Berns, Boeger, Coleman, Figueroa, Goldberg, Matheny, Mays, Miller, Moore, Morson, Shaw Summerville and Tomas; and Patricia Sullivan, Esq., Cohen & Wolf; William Hennessey and Daniel Chappel, Carmody Torrance Sandak & Hennessey, LLP; Attorney David Martin, Cummings and Lockwood; Paul Duncan; Andrew Joseph; and [Several Members of the Public](#).

1. [HR31.004](#) PRESENTATION and Q&A SESSION; Current High Ridge Park Concept [6:30 to 7:30] **Presentation and Q&A Session Held**
06/01/23 – Submitted by Rep. Bewkes

¹Mr. Hennessey discussed the history of this item. He said years ago he appeared before the Board of Representations for a petition hearing. His firm handled the Land Use aspect of this proposal to change the text of the Zoning Board to allow for new use as a Gymnasium establishment which was not allowed at this property. The Zoning Board approved the text change which would have allowed their Client High Ridge Real estate owner to go to the next stage to apply for a series of zoning applications aimed at Developing Life Time Fitness. His firm has not been involved in the Litigation, but Cummings and Lockwood firm has. Mr. Hennessey discussed the attached [PowerPoint Presentation](#) which included:

- High Ridge Office Park Overview
- Theory for validation for the reason they filed the application
- How it compares to the master plan
- Discussion of the actual proposal.

²Board of Representations members discussed concerns with Mr. Hennessey. Traffic and Noise are large concerns amongst the Board and members of the Public.

³The public began their question-and-answer session with Mr. Hennessey and the Board of Representative's.

¹ Video Time Stamp 00:04:00 Attorney Bill Hennessey Presentation

² Video Time Stamp 00:45:15 Board of Reps. and Attorney William Hennessey Q&A

³ Video Time Stamp 01:04:52 Question and Answer with the public

⁴2. HR31.003 PUBLIC HEARING; Possible Resolution of High Ridge Litigation [to begin at 7:30] **Public Hearing Held**
05/04/23 – Submitted by Rep. Bewkes

Several members of the public spoke both in favor and against the resolution of the High Ridge Litigation.

⁵President Curtis closed the public hearing and opened the floor to the committee and board to ask questions.

Possibly in Executive Session:

3. HR31.001 REVIEW AND RESPONSE; Proposed Resolution **RECOMMENDED TO COMMITTEE**
Offers.
04/04/23 – Submitted by President Curtis
05/02/23 – Recommended to Steering

After a long Discussion a motion to recommit this item to Steering was made, seconded and approved by a vote of 7-0-0. (Curtis, Bewkes, de la Cruz, Fedeli, Garst, Grunberger and Sherwood in favor)

President Curtis adjourned the meeting at 11:17 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeff Curtis, Chair

⁴ Video Time Stamp 02:15:00 Public Hearing

⁵ Video Time Stamp 03:24:00 Committee and Board of Reps Discussion