

MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING

MONDAY, MARCH 3, 1986

19th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A regular monthly meeting of the 19th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on MONDAY, MARCH 3, 1986, in the Legislative Chambers of the Board, in the Municipal Office Building, Second Floor, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order at 8:30 P.M. by the President after both political parties had met in caucus.

INVOCATION was given by Capt. Albert Fisher of The Salvation Army, 20 Beehler Street, Stamford:

"I would like to thank you for the opportunity to give the Invocation tonight because 100 years ago on this day, The Salvation Army commenced its ministry in the City of Stamford. We are looking forward to our second century of service and to assist our City to be the greatest city in Connecticut. And, with your help, and your support, we will be able to continue our services and our ministry.

"Shall we pray? Eternal Father, we come to Thee on this evening to ask Thy blessing upon this Board as they take before them the business of our community. They represent all of us who live here, and are raising their families here. As we look into the present and the future of our community, we pray that You will give them the wisdom to make the decisions that are necessary; give them the courage to stand by those decisions; and give them the strength to be able to bring those decisions to fruition, and enable all the community to be better. Bless their deliberations tonight and encourage them. We ask for Thy grace. Amen."

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG was led by President Sandra Goldstein.

REP. JEREMIAH LIVINGSTON asked for The Salvation Army, a round of applause and congratulations on this their 100th Anniversary in serving the people of Stamford.

ROLL CALL was taken by Clerk of the Board Annie M. Summerville. There were 37 Present and 3 Absent. Absent were Reps. Santy, Blum, and McInerney (all excused). At 9:00 P.M., there were 36 Present and 4 Absent as Rep. Lathon Wider left the meeting due to illness.

The CHAIR declared a QUORUM.

MACHINE TEST VOTE. The machine was found to be in good working order, except that Position #16, Rep. Pavia's, only registered Yes, and adjustments will be made manually when machine votes are taken.

MOMENTS OF SILENCE

For the late MSGR. MARTIN McDERMOTT, Pastor of St. Mary's Church. He served as a priest in St. Mary's for 16 years, and was a member of the priesthood for over 36 years. He was elevated to Monsignor in March of 1983. He established a Church Thrift Shop, and was instrumental in turning the old St. Mary's School into a nursing home, which is in the works right now. He had also served as Asst. Chaplain at St. Joseph's in Shelton, St. Charles in Bridgeport, and St. Thomas in Fairfield. He was the Pastor of St. Patrick's in Bridgeport for some time; Chaplain of Hospital of St. Raphael in New Haven; Chaplain of the German-American Club in Stamford; Chaplain of the Sacred Heart of Bridgeport; a member of the Council of Catholic Men; and served as the Vicar of Stamford-Greenwich-Darien. He was also a member of the Knights of Columbus, 4th Degree; the Ancient Order of Hibernians; and the National Catholic Church War Veterans. He was also a member of the Board of Trustees of St. Joseph's Medical Center. Fr. McDermott will always be remembered by this person as always having a smile. I think that is something that we will always remember, and the community will miss him as well as his parish. Submitted by Rep. James Dudley.

For the late ANALIAS FREEDMAN of Pepper Ridge Road. She suffered for many years with myasthenia gravis, but you never saw her complain. She was always a cheerful, wonderful, helpful person. She is survived by her husband, Richard, and her three children. Submitted by Rep. Claire Fishman and Rep. Ellen Bromley.

For the late MRS. VIRGINIA O'NEILL who died on Feb. 20th, after a long illness. She was a loving wife to Joseph O'Neill and was an extraordinary mother and a wonderful friend to her children: Nancy, Maureen, Joseph, John, Eileen, Betty and Ginny. She will be badly missed, yet all who knew her will continue to remember her for the wisdom, love, and understanding she imparted to her family and friends. It was a privilege to have known her and her passing has caused great personal sadness to Rep. Ellen Bromley who submitted this tribute to Mrs. O'Neill.

For the late PERRY LESLIE, who helped many people begin business in the City of Stamford. He was in business in this City for over 40 years and most of the barbers in Stamford who came here, got a job with him. He was a community leader, past Grand Master of St. John's Lodge, and a man who when he walked down the street, demanded respect by his manhood, not by begging but by doing. He had a barber shop on South Pacific Street for more than thirty-five years. Submitted by Rep. Lathon Wider.

Rep. Jeremiah Livingston wished to be recorded as stating his sentiments agree with Mr. Wider's. Perry Leslie was a Lodge Brother of his.

MOMENTS OF SILENCE (continued)

For the late NICHOLAS MARTINELLI, 24 St. George Avenue, for most of his life lived on West Main Street right above Abbey's Pharmacy. He passed away Feb. 17th, after 84 years as a Stamford resident. He was a good, humble family man, a man who served his community well and his church, Sacred Heart Parish. He received Holy Name Assn. "Man of the Year", and it would take the entire meeting for me to do justice to my uncle, a good man. You all knew his type, the man who was always there, no job too small or too large; a man who was a walking encyclopedia of the old Stamford. You could ask him any question on where this street was, or where that building was located, or who was the politician that did this, and he would give you the answer. so, Uncle Nick, rest in peace, and I thank the Board for listening. Submitted by Rep. Nicholas Pavia.

For the late SEAN LARKIN, a high school classmate of both Rep. Esposito and Rep. Donahue, who just recently passed away. Submitted by Rep. Stanley J. Esposito.

PRESIDENTIAL ANNOUNCEMENT

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said the CHAIR would be calling a meeting of the Board as a Committee of the Whole on March 25, 1986, Tuesday. The reason for the Committee as a Whole meeting is to allow the Board to ask questions of Mayor Thom Serrani, Finance Commissioner Paul Pacter, the appropriate people from GTE, our consultants on the fit and design of the building. The reason for it being called as a Committee Meeting rather than a Special Meeting is because a Committee Meeting gives us the relative informality of allowing every one to ask whatever questions he or she may have on his or her mind, and to get everything clarified and everything answered in relation to the City Hall Complex. A notice will go out to that effect.

STANDING COMMITTEES

STEERING COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved to Waive the Reading of the Steering Committee Report. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

HMM:MS

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

(note: Audrey Mashock also present.)

The Steering Committee met on Tuesday, February 11, 1986, in Conference Room I, Board of Education Building on Hillandale Avenue. The meeting was called to order at 7:35 p.m. by Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein who declared a Quorum.

PRESENT AT THE MEETING:

Sandra Goldstein, Chairwoman
John Boccuzzi
Lathon Wider
Richard Lyons
Thomas Pia
Scott Morris

Annie Summerville
David Martin
Mildred Perillo
Donald Donahue
Ellen Bromley
Stanley Esposito

S. A. Signore
Claire Fishman
Dennis White
Thomas Clear
Len Gambino, WSTC
Pat Wen, Advocate
Anne Kachaluba

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

1. APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all seven items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and two items appearing on the Addenda to the Tentative Steering Agenda: Items were Anne Bates Linden (D) for Welfare Commission and Robert Grogins (D) for Traffic Hearing Officer.

2. FISCAL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were 11 of the 12 items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. Item dealing with \$145,000 Environmental Protection Board Natural & Open Spaces acquisition was held; also, held was approval to finance acquisition from existing funds in Capital non-recurring fund. ORDERED ON THE AGENDA after Suspension of the Rules approved was \$350,528.00 Labor Negotiations for Stamford Registered Nurses to cover Binding Arbitration Award.

3. LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all five items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

4. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all five items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

5. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were three of the four items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED HELD IN STEERING was the proposed ordinance for publication concerning the sale of city-owned property to Smeriglio and Smeriglio, Inc. for \$130,000 for lots nos. 27 and 28 on west side of Carter Drive.

6. PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

7. HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was one item appearing on the Pending Agenda and that was the Matter of the safety of construction barricades at construction sites.

8. PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all three items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. Also, ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was one item appearing on the Addenda to the Tentative Steering Agenda and that item was a request to hang a banner on Bedford Street from April 19 through April 25, 1986 to publicize the 18th Annual Arts & Crafts Show sponsored by the Stamford Junior Woman's Club, Inc.

9. EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

10. HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

11. URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

12. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

13. TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

14. LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

15. HOUSE COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

16. COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

17. CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

18. SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO ASSESS PRIORITY ISSUES

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

19. RESOLUTIONS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and one item from the Addenda to the Tentative Steering Agenda and that was the Sense-of-the-Board Resolution Congratulating CTE for 21 years of service to the Stamford Community.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Steering Committee, upon a motion made, seconded and approved, the meeting was adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

SANDRA GOLDSTEIN, CHAIRWOMAN
STEERING COMMITTEE

SG:ak

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

MRS. PERILLO said the Appointments Committee held two meetings this month. The first was on Wednesday, February 19, 1986. Present were Millie Perillo, John Boccuzzi, Anne Summerville, Ruth Powers, Tom Burke, Lois Santy, Sal Signore, Pat McGrath. Absent were Tom Clear and Dave Blum. The second meeting was held Thursday, February 27, 1986. Committee members present were Millie Perillo, Ruth Powers, Pat McGrath, Sal Signore, John Boccuzzi, Tom Burke, Anne Summerville. Absent were Tom Clear, Dave Blum, and Lois Santy. She Moved to the Consent Agenda Items 2, 6, 7, and 9.

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSIONTerm Expires

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| (1) <u>BERNARD PESKIN</u> (D) | Replacing R. Saumell | Dec. 1, 1988 |
| 127 Thornridge Drive | whose term expired. | |

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MRS. PERILLO said #1, Mr. Bernard Peskin, is being HELD. #3, Ms. Anne Peskin, and #8, Ms. Anne Bates Linden, are also being HELD.

URBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| (2) <u>MS. THERESA MAGISTRO</u> (D) | Re-Appointment | Aug. 7, 1990 |
| 12 Van Buren Circle | | |

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.PLANNING BOARD - ALTERNATE

- | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| (3) <u>DR. ANNE PESKIN</u> (D) | Re-Appointment | Dec. 1, 1990 |
| 127 Thornridge Drive | | |

HELD IN COMMITTEE.ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

- | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------|
| (4) <u>MS. CLAIRE FRIEDLANDER</u> (D) | Replacing John Sedlak. | Dec. 1, 1990 |
| 33 Holly Lane | | |
| (Going from Alternate to regular member) | | |

MRS. PERILLO said Ms. Friedlander has been a Stamford resident for 25 years. She has been serving as an Alternate on the Zoning Board of Appeals and is being put on as a regular. She has taken State of Connecticut courses on Land Use Boards, attending the classes and lectures on zoning. She Moved for Ms. Friedlander's approval. Seconded. APPROVED, by voice vote, with Rep. Audrey Maihock in opposition.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)FAIR RENT COMMISSIONTerm Expires

- (5) ROBERT HARRIS (D) Replacing Lorenzo Robinson Dec. 1, 1989
91 Strawberry Hill Ave. whose term expired.

MRS. PERILLO said the vote for Mr. Harris was 7 Yes and 1 No. Mr. Harris has been a resident of Stamford for 20 years. He formerly served on the Human Rights Commission and had a very good attendance there. He assisted in writing the new ordinance for that Commission. He is a graduate of Stamford High School, Boston University; and Mrs. Perillo feels he will be very dedicated and good for the Fair Rent Commission. He is quite familiar with how City government operates, as well as the commissions. She Moved for his approval. Seconded.

APPROVED, VOICE VOTE, UNANIMOUSLY. Mr. Harris is confirmed.

PERSONNEL COMMISSION

- (6) OTTO CALDER (D) Replacing P. Billings Dec. 1, 1990
66 Hillandale Ave. whose term expired.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

BOARD OF RECREATION

- (7) STEPHEN P. LESANDO (D) Replacing L. Cammarota Dec. 1, 1990
8 Shady Lane whose term expired.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

WELFARE COMMISSION

- (8) MS. ANNE BAXTER LINDEN (D) Replacing Phillip Swan Dec. 1, 1988
24 Lawton Avenue whose term expired.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

TRAFFIC HEARING OFFICER

- (9) ROBERT GROGINS (D) Term expires two
540 Pepper Ridge Road years from date of
approval.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

MRS. PERILLO Moved for approval of the Consent Agenda Items 2, 6, 7, and 9. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote, unanimously.

FISCAL COMMITTEE

MR. DONAHUE said the Fiscal Committee met on Feb. 26, 1986 with Committee members Lyons, Rybnick, Mollo, Esposito, Begel, Heins, Livingston, David Martin, Donahue, and Rinaldi in attendance. He Moved to the Consent Agenda Items #1, 2, 3, 4, 8,9, 10, and 11.

Mr. Donahue wished the record to indicate that public hearings were held on Items 1, 2, and 3, as required by the State.

- (1) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE PROJECT LOAN AND/OR PROJECT GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE TRINITY PASS BRIDGE UNDER THE LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 2/5/86.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (2) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE PROJECT LOAN AND/OR PROJECT GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE RESERVOIR LANE BRIDGE UNDER THE LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 2/5/86.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (3) \$514,400.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET for the following project: REHABILITATION OF BRIDGES:
- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| #330.123 Trinity Pass Bridge | Approx. \$272,000. |
| #330.124 Reservoir Lane Bridge | Approx. 243,000. |
- Funding from State share grant, New Canaan share grant, and Stamford's share by bonding. (\$28,600 for design approved at 2/3/86 meeting.) Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/9/86. Planning Board approved 1/14/86. Board of Finance approved 1/28/86. Held in Committee 2/3/86. (\$514,400 HELD at 2/3/86 Board meeting.)

Above also referred to PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (4) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN A CONTRACT WITH THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES CONCERNING THE SEXUALLY-TRANSMITTED DISEASES CLINIC. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 2/3/86.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (5) \$ 7,290.00 - PLANNING BOARD - Code 104.2650 NEW EQUIPMENT - Additional Appropriation request to upgrade present office word-processing system. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/28/86. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

MR. DONAHUE said this is to fund installation and maintenance of what is really a gift from Champion International, \$60,000 worth of computer equipment. Items 5 and 6 are both very much related. By a vote of 9 in favor and one opposed, the Committee recommended approval of this item and Mr. Donahue so Moved. Seconded.

MRS. POWERS said Education, Welfare and Government Committee concurred.

MR. DONAHUE said this is a very generous gift from Champion International. The City has been looking to upgrade computers in the Planning Board office and in the Mayor's office, and other parts of the City government, and this allows it to be done in a very meaningful way.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said he believes the vote for #5 was unanimously in favor, and it was reported as 9-1.

MR. DONAHUE said he did state Items 5 and 6 were very much alike and he gave the report on #6. It was unanimous.

MR. DAVID MARTIN wanted to add that on this particular request, whoever prepared it, like the Planning Board, made an attempt to show not only how the money would be spent to upgrade this equipment which has been donated, and it seems like a very fine request, but they went further and explained how the money currently in the account had been spent, and how the unexpended balance would be spent in the future. It seemed like a very complete request. It was a little bit confusing but Mr. Martin applauds them on their thoroughness in attempting to do that, as they rarely receive this type of information.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote. APPROVED.

- (6) \$ 7,290.00 - MAYOR'S OFFICE - Code 201.2650 NEW EQUIPMENT - Additional Appropriation requested to upgrade present office word processing system. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/28/86. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

MR. DONAHUE said this is similar to Item #6, except it is for the Mayor's Office. By a vote of 9 in favor and one opposed, the Fiscal Committee recommended approval of this item and he so Moved. Seconded.

MRS. POWERS said Education, Welfare and Government Committee concurred.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DAVID MARTIN said in contrast with Item #5, this particular request did not have any information showing how it was spending the current budget, how it had been spent, or how it would be in the future. Then in fact, one has to question exactly what is going on here. There are 3 Wang Word Processors presently in the Mayor's Office and they want to add 5 more, so there will be 8 Wang Word Processors in the Mayor's Office. Mr. Martin is a little bit confused as they did not go over exactly how many Wang Word Processors the Mayor's Office needs. But taking it at face value that they really do need 8, there still is no explanation as to what is happening to this other new equipment in the Mayor's Office. Granted \$3,000 existing in the account is not going to save the taxpayers millions of dollars in taxes over time, but it seems we should adhere to the higher standard that was provided by the Planning Board in trying to explain these accounts. Therefore, he voted against this. He Moved to reduce the amount by \$3,052, which is exactly the balance reported in the account, to bring the new total to \$4,238. **SECONDED.**

This would insure that they could ahead with the acquisition of the five Wang Word Processors, install them, and if there should be a problem, they can always come back.

MR. DONAHUE said it was inappropriate to reduce this amount at this time because the remaining money in the account was approved by this Board during the budget process. We agreed to hire additional persons to staff the Mayor's Office; we agreed to hire a part-time researcher in the Mayor's Office; and the monies that were transferred out of the Program Expansion Account were placed in the New Equipment Account. This is for desks for the people we agreed to hire; chairs for them, etc. The additional money is to honor the gift that Champion is sending to the City and it is for installation of the system and maintainence of it. You can't install it without providing for maintenance.

THE PRESIDENT said Mr. Wider has left the meeting as he is feeling ill, and that makes 36 members present.

A machine vote was taken on the Motion to cut the appropriation by \$3,052, leaving \$4,238. **DEFEATED** with 5 Yes Votes, 31 No Votes.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on the main motion to approve \$7,290. **APPROVED** with two Abstentions: David Martin and Sal Signore.

- (7) \$58,632.00 - EMERGENCY SHELTER - Code 725.5503 CONTRACT - SHELTER FOR THE HOMELESS - Additional Appropriation requested for the operation of the Shelter for the Homeless. This amount has been received from the State Dept. of Human Resources. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/28/86. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said a number of months ago the City applied for funds to allow the Shelter to remain open. This is the answer to that request, and by a vote of 10 in favor, none opposed, the Fiscal Committee recommended approval of this item and he so Moved. Seconded.

MRS. POWERS said Education, Welfare and Government concurred.

MR. WHITE said he has spoken to some members in the past, but he feels he must speak to this because it is really snow-balling. This activity on the part of the City is inappropriate. These shelters, by and large, are filled with people who are of serious psychotic or psychological problems. The State is in the process of closing down custodial care centers for these people and turning them out. They are flocking to our streets. The State, on the basis of some new laws that have been passed are trying to pretend they have to close these shelters down, or these custodial care units, and these people are flocking into the streets. By the surveys that have been completed, by and large these people are not simply down-and-out, or lost a job, or such, they are people who have been released from State institutions, and the State has shamelessly shoved it over on the City. I agree these people have to be taken care of. Traditionally, ^{for} people who cannot provide private care when they have psychiatric problems, going back to colonial times, it has been the job of the colony, and then the state, to take care of them. State after state, especially Connecticut, has gotten out of the business of taking care of these people, and that is why there is this proliferation of shelters for the homeless. These are people who are being turned out. The State is allegedly mainstreaming them, and it is really shameful. It turned out they can take care of themselves; they are going into these shelters. These shelters do not give them the proper care. A shelter is only a place to sleep. They are not getting proper psychiatric, psychological counseling. They are not getting proper medication. They are not getting their medical and dental needs taken care of, etc. The result is they become a very serious problem in towns like Stamford who are setting up these shelters. We should put the State on notice that it is really quite shameful what the State is doing. The people going into these shelters are of significant numbers which keep increasing. We are being euchred into taking care of these people as they are being dumped out of State custodial facilities. We should look at this whole business and back off from what is happening here, and put the State on notice and see what can be done.

MR. LIVINGSTON said he agrees with Mr. White in part, but if common sense is to prevail, the question is what are we going to do. If we are going to turn our backs on these unfortunate people as the State has done, it is not going to solve the problem. Are we going to deny this appropriation, this State grant, or do we handle it by asking our own research committees, or asking the Mayor to invoke the committee that he named to seek an answer to this problem. This facility is in Mr. Livingston's District, and it not being run properly. If we dare cut any of these funds, it will be run even worse than it is. Yes, there have been some tragic incidents that happen there lately; and no, that kind of a facility, as it is being presently run, should not be in any District. But there is one thing we should all be mindful of, and that is, to quote from the Bible, is that the poor, ye shall always have with thee.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)MR. LIVINGSTON (continuing)

He urges his fellow Board members to approve this appropriation. And he asks this Board, as a Committee of the Whole, to bring forth suggestions that will encourage the State to do its job, or encourage our Mayor to reinstate or remotivate the commission that he named, to find an answer. There has to be an answer, and this Body, as the legislative branch of government, has an obligation to do whatever is necessary to relieve this problem.

MRS. MAIHOCK agrees with Mr. White and Mr. Livingston. However, it is very difficult for anyone to contemplate not approving an emergency shelter in winter-time and it is very cold out. These people, obviously, have great problems, and at least when they go to a shelter, we can identify them. It would be better that they were there than if they were just running the streets somewhere; and perhaps in miserable conditions, might create more problems for the community. Mrs. Maihock takes Mr. Livingston's remarks very seriously about perhaps each Board member could think of ways that this situation could be improved. Perhaps the Police Department could give us some assistance, since there have been some incidents there lately. They could perhaps give us some help in understanding what could be done to improve whatever situations are there now. But it is very, very difficult when it is cold outside to say we won't provide for anyone else, that we will just go home to our warm homes.

MR. WHITE said these people have to be taken care of, and they have to be taken care of a lot better than they are being taken care of now, as they are just being given a place to stay now. He is suggesting that this, and other municipalities, go to the State and demand that they once again assume its responsibilities and take care of these people on a day-to-day basis, with a place to stay, with psychiatric treatment, with medication, and and re-assert what they had been doing. There should be some way to make the State re-assume this responsibility. Perhaps working with our local State legislators would help.

MR. SIGNORE asked if Mr. White proposed taking this off the Consent Agenda, or eliminate the funds for this shelter, or just notify our representatives to do something about it up-state. Would Mr. White please clarify.

MR. WHITE asked that it be taken off the Consent Agenda so he could speak on the problem. He is not going to vote against it because these people do have to be taken care of, but as a government, we should look to this problem's solution if possible.

MR. LYONS Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on the Motion to approve \$58,632.00 for the emergency shelter, CARRIED, voice vote, unanimously.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (8) \$ 3,000.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Code 559 Various - Additional Appropriation request to cover tuberculosis grant, which amount has been received from State Dept. of Health Services. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/28/86. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86. To be allocated as follows:

559.2510 Automobile Maintenance . . .	\$ 75.00
559.2740 Telephone	150.00
559.2750 Gasoline	100.00
559.2921 Printing	175.00
559.2922 Postage	175.00
559.2930 Stationery and Supplies . . .	150.00
559.3736 Clinic Supplies	175.00
559.5130 Professional medical care . .	<u>2,000.00</u>
	<u>\$3,000.00</u>

Above also referred to HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (9) \$ 2,650.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Code 560.1110 SALARIES - Additional Appropriation request to engage a temporary nurse during convalescence of a school nurse injured in automobile accident. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/28/86. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86.

Above also referred to PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (10) \$23,375.43 - STAMFORD MUSEUM AND NATURE CENTER - AMENDMENT TO THE CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET by adding to the project known as #720.502 FARM RESTROOMS by transfer and close-outs of the following projects:

(Contingent on Planning Board approval)

<u>CLOSE-OUTS</u>	
720.985 Handicapped Access Ramps/Main Bldg.	\$ 8,358.25
720.986 Access Restrooms/Main Bldg.	4,127.84
720.767 Handicapped Ladies Room/Main Bldg.	<u>10,889.34</u>
	<u>\$23,325.43</u>

<u>TRANSFER TO</u>	
720.502 Farm Restrooms	<u>\$23,375.43</u>

Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/28/86. Contingent upon Planning Board approval. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (11) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO FILE APPLICATION AND TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH THE STATE TO OBTAIN MATCHING FUNDS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STAMFORD CENTER FOR THE ARTS. GRANT TO BE IN THE SUM OF \$1 MILLION. Submitted by Mayor Serrani 2/5/86.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (12) \$350,528.00 - LABOR NEGOTIATIONS - STAMFORD REGISTERED NURSES - Additional Appropriation requested to cover recent Binding Arbitration Award between the City and Local 465, Council 4, AFSCME, AFL/CIO (Stamford Registered Nurses). Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86. 8%+7½%+7%+\$750 7/1/86 equity increase.

Above also referred to PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.

MR. DONAHUE said this money covers Fiscal Years 1984/85, 1985/86, 1986/87. By a vote of 10 in favor, none opposed, the Committee recommended approval and he so Moved. Seconded.

MR. DUDLEY said the Personnel Committee concurred by a vote of 3-0-2.

MR. BURKE asked the record to show he is neither engaging in the discussion of this item, nor is he voting on it, because of a possible perception somewhere of a conflict-of-interest, having been one of the arbitrators.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved to cut this appropriation by \$14,642, leaving \$335,886. Seconded. This Board has, throughout the years that he has been on it, complained one way or the other about the inability, either of the Administration to get together with the Unions, with the Unions going to Binding Arbitration. It is a known fact that 95% to 98% of the time Binding Arbitration always goes in favor of the Unions. He proposes to do here is to try in some way to send a message out to the Unions and the Negotiator, or whomever, that this Body does not want Binding Arbitration, but wants the agreement to come between the Union and the City.

It has been a practice, and he has dealt with this for many years, asking a lot of questions, that on the whole, Unions will lay back and go to Binding Arbitration, and he really can't blame them. The results prove that Binding Arbitration favors the Unions more than it does the City. Somewhere along the line, this Board is either going to have to tell the Unions, the Administration, the arbitrators, that this City can't afford these kind of raises.

Generally, out there, the increases are 5% and 6%. The City should be allowed to come somewhere near that number, the 6%, or the 7%. Nobody should complain too much with a 6%, or a 7%. What is happening is that the Arbitrators are telling the City and the taxpayers that they are going to have to come up with the money. (end of tape, some of Mr. Boccuzzi's dialogue lost)

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)MR. BOCCUZZI (continuing)

Payroll is the biggest expense the City has. He is not picking on the nurses in particular, it just happens that their Binding Arbitration Award is before us at this moment. There will be one coming down from the Board of Education worth a couple of million dollars plus, due to Arbitration. Somewhere along the line, the City is going to have to say we need some help and people are going to have to get together. He knows Mr. Burke says he is not participating in any of the discussion because he was an arbitrator, but neither Mr. Boccuzzi, nor other members of this Body, can figure out what the July 1, 1986 "Equity Adjustment of \$750.00" is. Nobody has been able to explain that to him yet, although he has made some calls.

He does not feel that the amount he has Moved to be reduced will really cause any one to be laid off as we are into March now, close to the end of this fiscal year, and this appropriation covers many accounts, so probably the money is still there in these accounts to pay it and come up with the difference.

If this Board does not at least send out some kind of word to the Unions, the Administration, the arbitrators, our labor negotiator, then we will not be able to settle any contracts. The next ones will be the Board of Education; the Custodians are up there now looking for an arbitration award.

If you take contracts that we settled: 1983/84, 1984/85, 1985/86, 1986/87; Police and Fire 7-7-7-6; Fire Dept. 7-7-7-6; Teamsters 6-6-6; and then consider the other unions have agreed to 6's and 7's. FactFinders have decided that they are going to give 8's, 7½'s, 7+. These do not even come close to what the City is trying to keep as their standard for salary increases.

The City has no control over the negotiations between the Board of Education and the teachers, or the Board of Ed and the custodians. We are not even consulted as to what we're going to pay, how we're going to pay it, or how much we can afford. It is not a very popular stand that he has taken said Mr. Boccuzzi and he is the first to admit it. He is not anti-union, nor anti-personnel, but he is also not anti-taxpayers, when it comes to the operating budget. He has been asked by people he talks to, to do something, but he cannot do it alone, the Board has to do it. He is passing along their sentiments, plus his own, and he wishes to emphasize that nothing he has said tonight is against the nurses in particular, as it could have been any other union and he would have said the same thing. The problem is general, applicable to all unions. As far as he can see, the Custodians waited for this one to come down and find out what the trend was in Binding Arbitration, and that is where they are now. We turned down the FactFinders Report with the Custodians, and now we'll have to wait and see what comes out of Binding Arbitration. He does not know what this Board is going to do with the results. It is time this Board takes some kind of a stand, or sends out some kind of a wave length to the unions and the Administration, as it adds up to big money, many millions, when you take all the unions involved. He felt this summary was necessary and must take a high priority.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

THE PRESIDENT called for a vote on Mr. Boccuzzi's Motion to cut \$14,642 from Item #12, leaving \$335,886. This is a machine vote. The Motion has been DEFEATED by a vote of 12 Yes, 20 No, 4 Non-Voting.

A voice vote was called for the Main Motion, for \$350,528 for the Binding Arbitration Award for the Nurses. APPROVED with 7 Abstentions: Reps. Boccuzzi, David Martin, Pavia, Summerville, Heins, Mollo, and Maihock. Mr. Burke will be recorded as having been off the floor, and Mr. Dudley as not voting.

MR. DONAHUE Moved for approval of the Consent Agenda Items #1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, and 11. Seconded. APPROVED, voice vote.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

- (1) (L&R19.4) PROPOSED RESOLUTION DESIGNATING CERTAIN SECTIONS OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD AS REHABILITATION AREAS, AND ESTABLISHING CRITERIA FOR THE ELIGIBILITY OF REAL PROPERTY FOR ASSESSMENT DEFERRALS. Submitted by the Urban Redevelopment Commission 12/18/85. Held in Steering 12/18/85 and 1/15/86.

Above also referred to URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE.

MRS. NAKIAN said her Committee met on Monday, February 24, 1986, at 7:30 P.M. in the Board of Education Bldg. Present were Committee members Dudley, Maihock, Morris, Nakian, Pavia, Powers, Rubino, and Zelinski. Also Pres. Goldstein and Ellen Camhi. Present on Item 1 were Chas. Lee, Gary Dayton, and Anne Luders from the URC. On Items 4 and 5, Marian Huffman from the Housing Authority attended. Mrs. Nakian Moved to the Consent Agenda #4, and #5, both concerning Willard School Congregate Housing resolutions.

On Item #1, the Committee voted for publication, 4 in favor, and 4 opposed. In keeping with the Board's policy to frame Motions in the positive, the Motion is to approve the matter, keeping in mind that the Committee's vote was negative.

MRS. NAKIAN Moved to Return to Committee. Seconded. Her reason is that a great deal of confusion has arisen due to the State statute which is the enabling legislation for this assessment deferral. The State statute states that a municipality may pass a resolution designating a rehabilitation area and establishing criteria. Before this resolution is approved, there must be a public hearing and a proposal must be submitted to the Planning Board for a report. At this point, neither of these two requirements have been done. Confusion arose because along with the public hearing is the requirement that a notice of the meeting be published. When we first read this, we assumed, being accustomed to dealing with ordinances, that this also meant that the resolution had to be published in its entirety, as we do for ordinances; and to be published, it must first be approved by the Board for publication. Therefore, the Committee voted for publication.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)MRS. NAKIAN (continuing)

Going back and reading the State statute very carefully and checking with Asst. Corp. Counsel Hennessey, we determined that this was not true. All that has to be published is a notice of the date, place, and purpose of the public hearing. There is no requirement to publish the resolution. Therefore, a vote on publication of the resolution would be meaningless, because it is not part of the procedure that we would follow, and that is the reason why I would like to have it returned to committee so that we can follow the designated steps of having a public hearing and referring the matter to the Planning Board. After those steps, the resolution would come back to the full Board and be voted for approval.

MR. RUBINO said that his perception of what went on in the Committee meeting is somewhat different than the 4-4 vote, the negative vote, was mainly because of substantive issues. The confusion of whether or not to publish was rather minor. Reps. Pavia, Zelinski, Maihock, and Rubino voted No simply because they don't want to see this resolution passed in any form.

MRS. NAKIAN said that it is true that the vote was confusing and was based upon the merits of the resolution. However, she does not see that voting on publishing it would really have any difference. There is no requirement that it be published, and as she understands it, the resolution would still have a public hearing and come to the full Board.

MR. SIGNORE said he did not hear anything about the Rubino-Zelinski-Pavia-Maihock were confused on the issue. They just didn't think it should be passed. There was nothing about confusion.

MS. SUMMERVILLE made a Point of Information, asking what time does the secondary committee make their report.

THE PRESIDENT said "Ms. Summerville, any time you're ready."

MS. SUMMERVILLE said the Urban Renewal Committee met, and due to the confusion of the L&R Committee, they voted unanimously, those present and voting, to send it back to committee and that the L&R Committee work on it as a resolution, exclusively.

MR. SIGNORE said this resolution was defeated. There are no ifs, ands, or buts about it.

MR. ZELINSKI feels he might be able to clarify some of these questions, since he was one of the L&R members who voted against the resolution. He will explain his point of view and some things about it.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said the Motion on the floor is to send back to committee. The speakers on this Motion are to dwell only on the merits of sending back to committee, not on the merits of the main motion. If Mr. Zelinski wishes to tell the Board of his opinion about sending back to committee, the CHAIR will be happy to rule that in order.

MR. ZELINSKI said he would be against sending it back to committee for one clear, yet simple, reason, and that is if it went back to committee, it would not continue to be in the form of a resolution, of which he is in favor. He does not want it re-worked into an ordinance.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. LIVINGSTON said it is true that a 4-4 vote is recognized by this Board as something being defeated. However, he still recognizes that as a tie vote. He feels both sides had merit for the position each took. Since this committee did not come to a definitive conclusion, Mr. Livingston feels the the matter should be further deliberated by that Committee, so he is in agreement with sending it back to committee.

MR. LYONS Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT said the question is on re-committing. Before the vote is taken, she wishes the Board to know that the Motion to Commit is a debatable, subsidiary Motion which always takes precedence over a Main Motion. This is a machine vote. APPROVED to Return to Committee by a vote of 25 Yes, 10 No, 1 Non-Voting.

- (2) (L&R19.6) REQUEST FROM YOUNG ISRAEL OF STAMFORD FOR A WAIVER AND REFUND OF \$1,200.00 BUILDING PERMIT FEE paid by them for construction of a synagogue to be located at 69 Oaklawn Avenue. Young Israel of Stamford is a religious, tax-exempt organization. Requested by Edna Teller, Secy., P. O. Box 2124, Stamford 06906 (323-3390) 1/13/86. Held in Committee 2/3/86.

MRS. NAKIAN said Item #2 is being HELD IN COMMITTEE by a vote of 7-0. The reason for that is they have not received a copy of the building permit, or a copy of the canceled check as yet. They will continue to hold this item until that information is received.

- (3) (L&R19.7) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDING ORD. #395 CONCERNING RECOGNITION OF JANUARY 15th AS THE LEGAL HOLIDAY in the City of Stamford in re: COMMEMORATION OF THE BIRTH DATE OF THE REV. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. Amend to conform with national holiday which has been adopted. Requested by Mayor Thom serrani 1/16/86, and Reps. Maria Nakian and David Martin 2/4/86.

MRS. NAKIAN said the Office of Corporation Counsel was asked to draft the appropriate text for this ordinance. It was not received until several days after the L&R Committee met; therefore, by a vote of 8-0, it is being HELD IN COMMITTEE also.

- (4) (L&R19.8) PROPOSED RESOLUTION FOR APPROVAL OF A LEASE BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND THE HOUSING AUTHORITY FOR WILLARD SCHOOL CONGREGATE HOUSING. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 2/6/86. Contingent upon Planning Board approval. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (5) (L&R 19.9) PROPOSED RESOLUTION APPROVING COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND THE HOUSING AUTHORITY FOR WILLARD SCHOOL CONGREGATE HOUSING. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 2/6/86.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

MRS. NAKIAN Moved for approval of Consent Items 4 and 5. APPROVED, voice vote.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

MR. DUDLEY said the Personnel Committee met on Thursday, Feb. 20, 1986, at 7:30 P.M. at the Board of Education Bldg. Present were Reps. Pavia, Burke, Fishman, Morris, Terrence Martin, and Dudley. He Moved to the Consent Agenda Item #4. Labor Contracts Liaison Committee was also present.

- (1) REVIEW OF MERIT RULES. Submitted by Rep. James Dudley (D-6) 11/18/85. Held in Committee 12/16/85 and 1/13/86. Held in Steering 1/15/86.

MR. DUDLEY is the review of the merit rules. It should be noted at this time this will be Held in Committee for some time. The reason is that the Committee is working on this revision of merit rules. It will take a long time, being quite an extensive job. It will be held until the Committee is ready to come out with a recommendation.

THE PRESIDENT said perhaps it should not go on the agenda in that case, and leave it on the Pending Agenda, and when Mr. Dudley is ready to take it out, he can do so at a Steering Committee Meeting.

MR. DUDLEY said he would do that and the Committee will be working on it in the meantime. They have started their report. Eventually they will have recommendations to the Commission, and he hopes that any one who has any suggestions on the revision of the Merit Rules would just appear before the Committee or submit it in writing to them.

Item #2 will be incorporated into Item #1 eventually.

- (2) REVIEW OF GRANT EMPLOYEE BENEFITS AND CONTRACTS. Submitted by Rep. James Dudley, Co-Chairperson, Personnel Committee, 12/18/85. Held in Committee 1/13/86. Held in Steering 1/15/86.

ITEMS #1 and #2 TO BE PUT ON PENDING AGENDA, AND REMOVED FROM THIS AGENDA.

MR. DUDLEY said Item #3 was held due to lack of information, and they will continue to research this next month.

- (3) PROPOSED RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY OF STAMFORD GIVE CONSIDERATION TO INCREASING PENSION BENEFITS TO ALL RETIREES, IN ALL FOUR PENSION PLANS, BY 5% FOR THOSE WHO RETIRED BEFORE JULY 1, 1982. This would increase the City's annual pension cost by approx. \$197,000. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 1/15/86. Board of Finance approved 2/13/86.

Above also referred to LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (4) RATIFICATION OF THE FACTFINDER'S REPORT BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND AFSCME, COUNCIL 4, LOCAL 2657 (ADMINISTRATORS). Deadline for action by the Board is March 17, 1986. If rejected, the Union must be notified in writing before that date, i.e., March 17, 1986. Submitted by Thomas J. Barrett, Labor Negotiator, 2/3/86. Board of Finance recommended approval 2/13/86. 7%+7%, 1985/86, 1986/87. (FactFinder left open certain items recommending negotiations between City and Union.) APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA, with Rep. Mainock Abstaining.

Above also referred to LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (5) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CREATING POSITION OF PART-TIME OUTREACH WORKER, MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH PROTECTION PROGRAM for Health Department. This position budgeted in a grant and would be contractual. Submitted by Dr. Gofstein, Dir. of Health & School Health Services 2/11/86.

MR. DUDLEY said the Committee recommended approval as amended, and the principal amendments are changes from singular to plural, and everyone has a copy. He will state the amendments.

First, he Moved to amend by adding plurals as indicated on the amended copy. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

Second amendment is on Page 2, under Work Hours, the 35 is changed to 19, so it would now read "19 or fewer hours per week, and "as required" is deleted and "per individual" has been added. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote, with Mrs. Maihock in opposition.

Third amendment, under Item #3, everything is deleted after "grant duration". It will read "contract duration is the grant duration." Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

Fourth amendment is under Item #4, "35" is deleted and it now reads "19 hours". Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

MR. DUDLEY Moved for approval of the publication of the ^{proposed} ordinance as amended. Seconded. APPROVED, voice vote, with Mrs. Maihock in opposition.

The Consent Agenda of Item #4 was Moved for approval. Seconded. APPROVED, voice vote, with Mrs. Maihock Abstaining.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

MR. WHITE said the Planning and Zoning Committee was originally scheduled to meet on Thursday, Feb. 20, 1986, but unfortunately a quorum was not present as various members were either ill or had other commitments. Attending were members Donahue, Terrence Martin, Nakian, White. Rep. David Martin was also present. Invited City officials Jas. Ford and Tom Fava were also in attendance. It was decided to hold an unofficial meeting for information and background purposes. This was done and the meeting was shortly adjourned.

The meeting was re-scheduled and held on Thursday, Feb. 27th, at 7:30 p.m., in the Board of Education Administration Bldg. Present were Committee members Clear, Donahue, Jachimczyk, Nakian, Heins, Signore, White. Terrence Martin was also present. Reps. Begeland David Martin also attended, as well as Len Gambino of WSTC Radio.

On Item #1, the Committee reviewed the previous testimony by Commissioner O'Brien, Tom Fava, and Jas. Ford, also scrutinized the letters from Pitney-Bowes and Northeast Utilities supporting the road improvement and all activities consequent to the project.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)MR. WHITE (continuing)

The Traffic Study commissioned by Pitney-Bowes and completed in May of 1981 was also produced to support the need to undertake at least the realignment and consequent straightening of the roadbed. What is essentially being asked is that the City engage in a land-swap with Northeast Utilities and a dog's-leg in the southern terminus of Washington Blvd. be eliminated. The City is being asked to give up 5,855 sq. ft. of land on the west side of Washington Blvd. in exchange for 3,346 ft. from Northeast Utilities on the east side of Washington Blvd. In that way the City can proceed to remove the dog's-leg and align Washington Blvd. directly with Dyke Lane.

Once the Committee was in possession of the relevant maps and documents and such were explained by Commissioner O'Brien and Jim Ford, the Committee was able to make an informed decision. What held up the Committee's deliberations was that relevant information came slowly and in stages. It should be noted that it was primarily from Rep. Terrence Martin's efforts that many of the letters and documents and traffic reports were ultimately made available to the Committee. Therefore, by a vote of 7 in favor and none against, the Committee voted to recommend to the full Board that the project be endorsed, i.e., the exchange effected; and it should be noted that Mr. Martin arrived after the vote but endorsed such. Pursuant to this, you have the amendment before you with the relevant map, Mr. White Moved for adoption for publication. Seconded.

MR. BOCCUZZI, briefly sitting in for the President, called for a voice vote on Mr. White's Motion. CARRIED. Item #1 will be published.

- (1) ORDINANCE
PROPOSED-RESOLUTION-FOR ABANDONMENT OF A PORTION OF WASHINGTON BLVD. AND RE-ALIGNMENT OF WASHINGTON BLVD. to connect directly with Dyke Lane as shown on map entitled "Map Showing Re-Alignment of Washington Blvd. at Pacific St., Stamford, Ct. 9/13/77". Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 12/3/85. Planning Board approved 11/26/85. Board of Finance approved 12/12/85. Held in Committee 1/13/86 and 2/3/86.

MR. WHITE said on Item #2, some Committee members, not having had an opportunity to review thoroughly the revised strategy, had some problems with the language and some of the recommendations. Rep. David Martin also registered grave reservations about the guidelines with respect to his District. He conducted a survey of his District and the surrounding neighborhood and Riverbank School being in his District, found that the neighbors preferred to see the land sold for single-family housing as so zoned, two acres. In the discussion that followed, the Committee, with the exception of one, concluded the strategy ought to be gone over by a sub-committee of the larger committee. Such committee consists of Donald Donahue, Terrence Martin, Maria Nakian, William Heins. Hopefully, they can resolve the problems for the next Board meeting.

- (2) REPORT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A STRATEGY FOR SALE OF ALL CITY-OWNED ASSETS. Submitted by Rep. W. Dennis White (D-1) 11/21/85. Held in Committee 12/16/85, 1/13/86, and 2/3/86.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. WHITE said Item #3 was held over for next month,

- (3) REFERRAL OF PLANNING BOARD ACTION DENYING MASTER PLAN APPL. #271
Michael & Ralph DeAngelis, to amend the Master Plan to change from Land Use Category 3, Residential, Multi-Family, Low Density, to Land Use Category 6, Commercial, Neighborhood or Local Business. Tract of land known as 839 Hope St., 845 Hope St., 847 Hope St., and property of the City of Stamford (commuter parking lot) bounded by Roberto Sandolo, New Canaan Branch Railroad Line, Milton B. Hollander and by Hope St., pursuant to Section 522.5 of the Stamford Charter. Petition received. Submitted by Patrick Grosso, Planning Board Chairman 2/5/86. Received at Bd. of Reps. Office 2/5/86.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

MR. TARANTO said there is no report this month.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

- (1) MATTER OF THE SAFETY OF CONSTRUCTION BARRICADES AT CONSTRUCTION SITES.
Submitted by Rep. Scott Morris, Chairman, Health and Protection Committee 1/7/86. Held on Pending Steering Agenda 1/16/86.

MR. MORRIS said the Health and Protection Committee met on Wednesday, Feb. 19, 1986, at 7:30 P.M. in Conference Room II in the Board of Education Bldg. Committee members present were Reps. McGrath, Burke, Rubino and Morris. Rep. Mary Lou Rinaldi was excused. Also in attendance were Reps. Summerville, Boccuzzi. Sherri Williamson, Exec. Aide to the Mayor; Michael Macri, Chief Deputy Bldg. Inspector; Norman Liu, City Engineer; and Mr. Ted Santy. All members have received Mr. Morris' memorandum of 2/24/86 to which he attached a letter from Corporation Counsel to Mrs. Williamson. Basically, what they were told by the officials whom they invited to the meeting, does not differ at all from what was contained in Asst. Corp. Counsel Wm. Hennessey's letter.

The Committee launched its inquiry into the safety of barricades around construction sites after the unfortunate accident in late December, 1985, in which a woman, driving a car on Broad St. and Greyrock Place, crashed one such barricade and was killed. Mr. Macri pointed out that although this was a terrible tragedy, a barricade is put up to keep pedestrians out of the area under excavation and construction, and to protect them, not to stop cars. What happened in the aforementioned situation concerning this particular motorist, is an exception to the rule, and is the first time that something like this has occurred in Mr. Macri's 22+ years of experience with the City's Building Dept. The question of installing the so-called "Jersey-type barriers" that we are beginning to see on I-95 was brought up. Mr. Macri responded that although Jersey barriers might do a better job of preventing a vehicle from crashing into a construction site or excavation area, they would be extremely cost-ineffective and cost-prohibitive to

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)MR. MORRIS (continuing)

install, and would most likely force smaller builders and contractors out of business. This is not to say that government, in this case State government, should not continually strive to establish the highest standard of safety and protection in the building industry, but as Mr. Macri pointed out, and we would all agree that it is impossible to design and install every type of conceivable protection to prevent any type of conceivable accident to pedestrians and motorists which could occur at construction sites. In reference to any action that this Board or the City Administration could take to tighten standards on construction barriers, Mr. Morris would just reiterate what Mr. Hennessey wrote in his letter of 2/14/86: "#1, the City has no ordinance regarding construction site barriers, nor does it have the authority to unilaterally adopt any such regulations. #2, the entire issue of public safety in or around construction sites is regulated by the State pursuant to the BOCA Code, which is the State Building Code. #3, if the City desired to create more stringent regulations than those contained in the BOCA, it would be necessary for the City to apply to the State Building Inspector, requesting such variation."

The Health and Protection Committee definitely had an obligation that just and proper obligation to look into and investigate this matter, and the Committee is in agreement that Mr. Macri, Mrs. Williamson, and Mr. Liu provided good, solid, reasonable answers to the questions and concerns that were raised.

THE PRESIDENT said Mr. Jepsen and Mr. DeRose have left the meeting, which makes 34 present and 6 absent.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

MR. PIA said his Committee met at the Board of Education Bldg. on Tuesday, Feb. 18, 1986, They had no quorum but they did meet. And they also met again prior to the meeting tonight.

- (1) MR. GEORGE REIGER'S LETTER OF 11/4/85 REGARDING PADDLE COURTS BEING TURNED OVER TO THE BOARD OF RECREATION. Submitted by Rep. Robert DeLuca (14-R), Chairman, 18th Board Parks & Recreation Committee, 11/14/85. Held in Committee 12/16/85, 1/13/86. Held in Steering 1/15/86.

MR. PIA said he would like to take Item #1 off the agenda and put it on the Pending Agenda until the two departments resolve the language problem.

- (2) REPORT ON PRESENT CONDITIONS AT WEST BEACH. Submitted by Ruth Powers (D-8) 2/3/86.

MR. PIA said Mr. Cook was out of town and this is being HELD IN COMMITTEE.

Mr. Pia Moved Items 3 and 4 to the Consent Agenda and Moved for their approval. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

REQUEST TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO CONSIDER TWO ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

MR. PIA Moved to Suspend the Rules to consider two banner items, Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

- (5) REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A BANNER on Bedford St, from 3/31/86 to 4/8/86 for the United Jewish Federation of Stamford to commemorate the celebration of the 38th Anniversary of the State of Israel. From Arlene Lau, Dir., Community Relations, UJF, Newfield & Vine Rd. 06905

MR. PIA Moved for approval of the UJF request. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

- (6) REQUEST TO HANG A BANNER on Bedford St., from May 18th to May 31, 1986, for St. John's Roman Catholic Church, Centennial Committee, 799 Atlantic St., to publicize CENTENNIAL celebration. L.J.Bolanowski, Chmn., 09601.

MR. PIA Moved for approval of St. John's request. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

MRS. POWERS said they had nothing on the agenda, but she wished to report that she and Mrs. Maihock attended Fiscal regarding secondary items.

HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

No report.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

MS. SUMMERVILLE said no report.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MRS. MAIHOCK said there were no items on the agenda and they had no meeting.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

MRS. BEGEL said the Transportation Committee met Monday, Feb. 24, 1986 at 7:00 P.M. in Conference Room I. Present were Rosanne Begel, Thomas Clear, Frank Mollo, Audrey Maihock, Thomas Pia, and James Ford. The Committee voted unanimously to approve for publication the proposed amended ordinance amending Ord. #492.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (continued)

- (1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING ORD. #492 CONCERNING REGULATION OF TRAFFIC AND PARKING. Re: Adjustment to parking fine rates. Rates approved by Traffic Commission 1/8/86. Submitted by John Thompson, Traffic Engineer (Acting Secretary to Traffic Commission) 1/14/86. Held in Committee 2/3/86.

MRS. BEGEL said the Committee believes that these revisions will help streamline operations within the Department of Traffic and Parking, and we believe that the proposed rate increases are justified based on the information received. Increases have been proposed for several violations: \$2.00 parking meter violation and for over-time parking to go to \$5.00; there were four Class Three parking violations, #20, 21, 22, and 23 which have been increased to Class Four, which means they have gone from \$15.00 to \$25.00. #20 is Designated and Signed Fire Lanes; #21 is Within Ten Feet of a Fire Hydrant, #22 is in a Handicapped Space marked in accordance with State law without a permit, and #23 on the roadway sign of any vehicle stopped or parked at the edge or curb of a street, which is double-parking.

The Committee felt a very important increase is Section 20-7, Item #2, "the said mentioned fine shall apply if payment to the parking Violations Office is made within 15 days of the date of violation." Payment is deemed to be made on the date it is received as opposed to the postmark date as has been done in the past and has caused a lot of problems within that department.

The Escalation Chart, if everyone looks at their ordinance, Page 3, payment of first violation can be made in 1-15 days as opposed to 1-7 and then from 7-15, so that whole section has been deleted and now people have a longer time to be able to pay their violations.

It is important to also note that in Section 20-6 and 20-13, Traffic Violations Officers have been given more authority in the revised ordinance with the revisions. It is felt it will help to control traffic better at peak hours. Those are the principal highlights.

MR. ZELINSKI asked for clarification on over-time parking where posted.

MRS. BEGEL said an example would be in the downtown area, in the Bedford Street, McDonald's, there is both double-parking and over-time parking.

MR. MOLLO said when Mr. Ford appeared before the Committee, he gave an example where a sign states "No Parking 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 A.M.", at 8:00 A.M. that is over-time parking, you get a \$2.00 fine.

MR. ZELINSKI said his feeling on that one and the other two which deal with double-parking increasing it from \$15.00 to \$25.00; and the handicapped space which is currently \$15.00 to proposed \$25.00, especially those latter two, is that if the City wanted to raise it to \$50.00, he would be in agreement because if someone flagrantly violates something like this, ^{he} should be fined accordingly and strictly. However, as to the first one which is metered parking violation, he Moved to amend that from \$5.00 proposed to \$3.00. Seconded.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (continued)

THE PRESIDENT asked what page this is on, so she can repeat the Motion so that everyone is aware of it.

MR. ZELINSKI said he has no page, just a letter of Jan. 23, 1986 which mentions the proposed fines.

THE PRESIDENT said it is on Page 3 in the ordinance. And the proposed change is from \$5.00 to \$3.00 for Class I Violation.

MR. ZELINSKI quoted from Mr. Thompson's letter comparing using the parking garages on a monthly rate against someone who parks all day and amasses 2 or 3 or more \$2.00 tickets each day. He feels it would cost the violator much more to get several \$2.00 tickets each day rather than park in a garage. On his proposed amendment, using \$3.00 per ticket, 5 days a week, that would be \$60.00 per month in fines. He said Mr. Thompson makes comparisons with the more affluent towns who do have a \$5.00 fine, such as Greenwich, Darien, New Canaan, etc., but Bridgeport has a \$3.00 fine. Sometimes the violator is someone who has gotten stuck in a store or stores and been delayed and is a few minutes late in returning to his car. He urges his colleagues to support the \$3.00 fine.

MR. DUDLEY is against Mr. Zelinski's Motion. He said they are talking about breaking the law. People who are delayed in a store are not the ones getting multiple tickets. A few years ago, Mr. Ford brought to our attention the problem particularly on Bedford Street. If those spaces are not freed up on a rotating basis for the necessary people who want to use that street's metered parking, then you get a double-parking problem there as well, because those double-parkers would like to be in those spaces that have 2 or 3 tickets on them. The flow of traffic must be increased.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said Mr. Dudley stole many of his points, and he, too, is against Mr. Zelinski's motion. He added that the cost to issue a ticket: hiring an officer, the vehicle driven by the officer, cost of issuing the ticket, processing the tickets, collecting the fines, everything else, is well above \$2.00. He has had trouble finding a parking space on Bedford Street, either to go to the stores or the library. He favors the \$5.00 fine.

MRS. BROMLEY is against Mr. Zelinski's Motion. For the past year-and-a-half, she has served as a Parking Appeals Hearing Officer, and if what Mr. Zelinski said were true that the officers were multiple-ticketing, his Motion might make sense. In fact, although they are allowed to, they do not multiple-ticket. So, whereas you are allowed to ticket on each hour for an over-time parking violation, a meter violation, they don't, so the \$2.00 fine really is a parking fee for the day.

Her second point is that if you are caught in a store and you are delayed, there is a very liberal appeals process, and the way the Board has approved the Parking Appeals Hearing Officers, when she was approved, it was pretty much stressed that you were supposed to go at it from a human point of view, and she believes that is what happens; and usually if someone appeals a \$10.00 ticket, or even a \$2.00 ticket, and they come all the way down there for an evening hearing, you know they are serious; and if it is something like a delay in a store, that receives liberal treatment on the ticket, so there is a way out and the Board actually gave over-time parkers a way out when they are legitimately delayed. She will vote against the Motion.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. HEINS asked if the \$5.00, Class II fines, will apply to mall parking.

MRS. BEGEL said no, that is a privately-run operation.

MR. HEINS said one of the concerns in the caucus was that if the fine were raised to \$5.00, you will encourage people to park in the mall, which may affect some of the businesses on Bedford Street.

MR. DONAHUE agrees with what has been said to this point. There should never have been a \$2.00 fine to begin with. It costs more than \$3.00 to process each parking ticket now, even it is paid on time. He is interested in protecting the interests wanting short-term parking downtown who want to find it available, not those who mis-use it and park there for a whole day because it is reasonable or cheaper, and then may or may not get a ticket. On the parking garage, there is a contractual agreement which places control of the parking garage with the developer and the mall operator at this point in time. They can in the future raise their parking violations within the law, and also their fees if they choose to do that.

MR. LYONS Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on Mr. Zelinski's Motion for the \$3.00 fine. DEFEATED by a vote of 6 Yes and 28 No.

MR. SIGNORE said he feels like he is sitting in a classroom. His name was on the list for the original motion for publication before Mr. Zelinski proposed his amendment. Mr. Signore's question is what is the fine in the mall. Is it \$1.00?

MRS. BEGEL said yes.

MR. SIGNORE said he has a copy of a letter from The Downtown Merchants, who were complaining about not having any business and they are in favor of a \$5.00 fine. They feel they are going to turn over parking spaces by having a \$5.00 fine. Mr. Signore said if a shopper goes into a store on Bedford or Atlantic, where many of the merchants are alleged to be hanging by their fingernails, and come out and finds a \$5.00 ticket, if he were that shopper, next time he went shopping, he would not go downtown. He would go into the mall where he could be comfortable and not worry about a one-hour meter and no \$5.00 fine. He voted for the decrease in the fine. He does not see that the increase would turn over the spaces. He feels it would do the opposite. He does know many of the Bedford merchants were complaining that many spaces were taken away by the StreetScape project. You can say they can go to the Bedford St. Parking Garage behind the stores, but many women are very reluctant to go into the parking garages. That is the point he had wanted to make.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on publication of ordinance. APPROVED with opposition from Reps. White, Signore, Rubino, Pavia; and abstention from Reps. Livingston.

LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE

MR. BURKE said any business they had as a committee was taken up in conjunction with the Personnel Committee, so there is no separate report.

SPECIAL COMMITTEES

HOUSE COMMITTEE - No report.

THE PRESIDENT said Mr. Rybnick is Acting Mayor this week and next week.

COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE

No report.

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE

- (1) REPORT ON CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION. Submitted by Claire Fishman and Maria Nakian, Co-Chairwomen, Charter Revision Committee, 2/10/86.

MS. FISHMAN said the members were sent the Minutes of the Organizational Meeting and also the Minutes of the first Charter Revision Commission Meeting that was held this week. Rep. Nakian and Rep. Fishman attended the first Charter Revision Commission meeting and it was carried out in an extremely professional manner. Several past chairmen of the past Charter Revision Commissions came, gave their views, and it was extremely informative and interesting. She suggests the members, if they can, try to attend some of the meetings. You will be very impressed with the way they are running it.

SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO ASSESS PRIORITY ISSUES

- (1) DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUE OF HOUSING AS IT RELATES TO SURPLUS BUILDINGS. Submitted by Rep. Stanley J. Esposito, (R-11) Vice-Chairman.

MR. MORRIS said the Committee met on Tuesday, Feb. 25, 1986, at 7:45 P.M. in Conference Room III in the Board of Education Bldg. Committee members present were Reps. McInerney, Blum, Donahue, Esposito, Morris, Rybnick, and Pia. Rep. Boccuzzi was excused. Also in attendance were Pres. Goldstein, Reps. McGrath, Nakian, and Powers. All members of the Board have received the Special Committee's report dated 2/28/86. He would only add, as stated in the report, that the Committee is broadening its focus to include a consideration of how and in what ways this Board can work to establish more affordable housing in the City as a whole. The Committee certainly intends to take advantage of all of the resources and expertise available within the community and the State of Connecticut in attempting to arrive at viable methods to deal with the problem of a lack of affordable housing in Stamford. To this end, for the Committee's next meeting, which is yet to be scheduled, the Hon. Arthur White, Chairman of the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, and the Hon. Joseph Canale, the Commissioner of the Connecticut State Dept. of Housing or his designated representative, will be invited to give their thoughts on this topic, and answer questions.

SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO ASSESS PRIORITY ISSUES (continued)MR. MORRIS (continuing)

Other experts and representatives in such areas as housing finance and housing development, plus various neighborhood groups, will also be asked to appear. In addition, the Committee stands ready to work with Mr. Wider and the Committee on Housing and Community Development in this extremely critical issue.

MR. ZELINSKI said, going back to CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE, he wondered if it might be possible for the President of this Board to send a letter to the CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION Chairman asking, wherever possible, if they could not schedule one of their meetings when either this Board doesn't meet regularly, or also for sub-committees. He noticed that the last time the Charter Revision Commission met, they met on an evening when there were two sub-committee meetings of the Board, and as much as some of the Board members would like to attend, it is difficult when there are conflicting dates.

THE PRESIDENT said she was there when they set their meeting dates, they will meet every week, and as such, it becomes impossible to avoid us.

RESOLUTIONS

- (1) SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION HONORING SYLVIA ROSENFELD FOR BEING CHOSEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD'S "CITIZEN OF THE YEAR 1985". Submitted by Rep. Scott Morris (D-17) 2/10/86.

MR. MORRIS said he is extremely honored to Move for acceptance of this resolution. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote, unanimously.

- (2) SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION CONGRATULATING CTE (Committee on Training and Employment) FOR 21 YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE STAMFORD COMMUNITY. Submitted by Rep. Lathon Wider, Sr. (D-3) 2/11/86.

Moved, Seconded, CARRIED, voice vote.

PETITIONS - None.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES

- (1) FEBRUARY 3, 1986 REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES.

MS. MAIHOCK stated she has just a few here that she would like amended:

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES (continued)

MS. MAIHOCK wishes the following changes made:

- (a) On Page 20, Line 4, instead of "The Committee were very appreciative.", it should read "The Committee members were very appreciative."
- (b) On Page 21, ^{Line 5,} after "...proper City departments. First of all, the local on-scene director which she will ...", it should read "after proper City departments: first of all, the local on-scene director, which she will (The changes are to change a comma to a colon; the letter "f" lower case rather than upper case (capital letter); and put a comma after director.)
- (c) On Page 21, Line 8, After "The local on-scene director is defined as, for..", it should read "The local on-scene director is defined as:.." (substitute a colon for the comma.)
- (d) On Page 21, Line 9, after "Dept. District, will be the chief of...", the word "it" is to be inserted after "District," so that it will read "Dept. District, it will be the chief of...".
- (e) In Line 26, Page 21, it reads "Police. If such an oil spill is not report, there is a fine..". It should read "Police. If such an oil spill is not reported, there is a fine...". ("ed" is to be added to "report".)

MR. MORRIS, on page 16, under HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE, the item should read:

- (1) MATTER OF LITTER AND THE VIGOROUS ENFORCEMENT OF THE LITTER ORDINANCE.
Submitted by Rep. Scott A. Morris, Chairman, Health & Protection Committee 1/7/86.

MR. ZELINSKI, under Parks and Recreation, Page 18, second paragraph from the top, wishes his remarks to be verbatim.

(Note: Leadership's instructions are that only Committee reports are to be verbatim, or where there are exceptional circumstances that require verbatim, such as controversial matters, or may be part of litigation, etc.)

On Page 24, under Charter Revision Committee, Mr. Zelinski said that after the vote was taken on the resolution, he brought attention to the fact that the date should be March 2, 1987 rather than 1986 as printed.

(Note: In the Minutes of the Special Meeting of January 30, 1986 at which Resolution #1656 was approved, on Page 3 it is recorded that Mr. Zelinski did bring to the Board's attention the incorrect year and the resolution was accordingly changed. This is at the bottom of Page 3 of the January 30, 1986 Special Meeting Minutes. The proposed resolution, when typed, was correct.)

MR. DUDLEY said on Page 19, first paragraph, it reads "Mr. Dudley said on the last page 2, wher it says...", instead of "wher", it should be "where", the letter "e" should be there.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said on Page 19, second paragraph, the speaker is indicated as "MR. MARTIN", and it should be "MR. DAVID MARTIN". (Note: The tape did not specify which Mr. Martin was speaking; plus with the vagaries of the tapes and the machine, occasionally difficulties such as this arise. However, I apologize.)

It was Moved to approve the Minutes of the March 3, 1986 as corrected.
Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY REP. ANNIE M. SUMMERVILLE

MS. SUMMERVILLE requested that URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE meet her in the Republican Section immediately after the meeting for an urgent matter.

THE PRESIDENT said they will be talking just briefly to Parks and Recreation, Personnel, and Urban Renewal.

MR. DUDLEY wished to thank THE HOUSE COMMITTEE for repairing his desk before it fell apart.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR, OTHER BOARDS and INDIVIDUALS - None.

OLD BUSINESS - None.

NEW BUSINESS - None.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, upon Motion duly made, Seconded, and APPROVED, the Meeting adjourned at 10:30 P.M.

By Helen M. McEvoy
Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Asst.
(and Recording Secretary)
Board of Representatives

APPROVED:

Sandra Goldstein
Sandra Goldstein, President
19th Board of Representatives

SG:AMS:HMM
Encls.

32

32

REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING - MONDAY, MARCH 3, 1986 - 19th BOARD

STAMFORD BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

03/03/86 20:36:37

NUMBER PRESENT = 37 PRESENT; 3 ABSENT (BLUM, SANTY, McINERNEY-all excused)

Y 1 BEGE	N 11 SANTY	Y 21 LIVINGSTON	Y 31 ZELINSKI
Y 2 NAKIAN	Y 12 MARTIN DAVID	Y 22 CLEAR	Y 32 DUDLEY
Y 3 PIA	Y 13 RUBINO	Y 23 WIDER	Y 33 DE ROSE
Y 4 JEPSEN	Y 14 ESPOSITO	Y 24 DONAHUE	Y 34 POWERS
Y 5 MCGRATH	Y 15 MAHOCK	Y 25 PERILLO MILDRED	N 35 BLUM
Y 6 LYONS	Y 16 PAVIA	Y 26 SIGMORE S A	Y 36 JACHINCZK
Y 7 HEINS	N 17 MCINERNEY	Y 27 GLOVER	Y 37 RINALDI
Y 8 RYBNICK	Y 18 BROMLEY	Y 28 MARTIN TERRENCE	Y 38 WHITE
Y 9 MOLLO	Y 19 TARANTO	Y 29 MORRIS	Y 39 BOCCUZZI
Y 10 BLAKE	Y 20 SUMMERVILLE	Y 30 FISHMAN	Y 40 GOLDSTEIN

Attendance Code (above) Y = Present
N = Absent

Voting Code Y = Yes
N = No
A = Abstain
Dash - = Non-Voting
Asterisk * = Absent from Meeting

TEST - EVERY ONE VOTING "YES"

STAMFORD BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES 03/03/86 20:38:01

VOTE # YES 37 NO 0 ABSTAIN 0 NOTVOTING 0

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40
Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y * Y Y Y Y Y * Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y * Y Y Y Y Y

TEST - EVERY ONE VOTING "NO"

STAMFORD BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES 03/03/86 20:39:07

VOTE # YES 0 NO 36 ABSTAIN 0 NOTVOTING 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40
N N N N N N N N N N * N N N N - * N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N * N N N N N

TEST - EVERY ONE VOTING "ABSTAIN"

STAMFORD BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES 03/03/86 20:40:05

VOTE # YES 1 NO 0 ABSTAIN 36 NOTVOTING 0

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40
A A A A A A A A A A * A A A A Y * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A * A A A A A