

MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING

MONDAY, APRIL 2, 1984

18th Board of Representatives

Stamford, Connecticut

A regular monthly meeting of the 18th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on MONDAY, APRIL 2, 1984, in the Legislative Chambers of the Board in the Municipal Office Building, Second Floor, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Conn.

The meeting was called to order at 8:32 P.M. by President Sandra Goldstein, after both political parties had met in caucus.

INVOCATION was given by Major General Irving L. Cranford of The Salvation Army:

"Let us pray together. Almighty God, creator, preserver, and governor of all things, we beseech you to grace this session of the Board of Representatives with your presence. In this day when there is turmoil, we pray for peace. Where there is anxiety, we pray for tranquillity. In this session, with the business at hand, may the decisions made be for the common good of man. We are reminded that yesterday is an old garment, Lord, creased, stained, threadbare, help me to throw it off, cast it into the closet of the past, done with, laid aside and forgotten. Let me not walk in my yesterdays, not live again the used-up hours; but today is new, fresh from your hands, flowing with the promise of fulfillment, full of opportunities, of duties, yes, of joys, even with perhaps a tinge of sorrow and sadness. Let me wear today hopefully, grateful that it is mine, glad to face its challenge, using unstintingly each moment as it comes, and for the blessings of being free, we give Thee praise for we pray it in Thy holy name. Amen."

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG was led by President Goldstein.

ROLL CALL was taken by Clerk of the Board Annie M. Summerville. There were 38 present and one absent; the absent members being Bobby Owens, excused due to family illness, and Jeremiah Livingston. Mr. Livingston came in at 9:00 P.M., making the attendance 39 present and one absent (Bobbie Owens).

The CHAIR declared a QUORUM.

TEST OF THE VOTING MACHINE: The President conducted a test vote of the voting machine, asking members to vote, in turn, Yes, No, and Abstain. While some of the votes did not register properly on the wall-mounted tally board, they were registering accurately in the computer and on the print-out.

MOMENTS OF SILENCE:

For the late LYDIA TAMBURRI HOEFER of Hoyt Street - submitted by Rep. John Zelinski.

For the late DWICHT MARSHALL, former Treasurer of the Stamford Arboretum. He was a member of the 2nd Board of Representatives; and is survived by Mrs. Pat Marshall, his wife - submitted by Rep. John Zelinski.

For the late LYDIA TUCCARIONE, who was a teaching assistant at Westover School, and loved teaching, children, and life, and will be missed by all those who came into contact with her - submitted by Rep. Robert Austin.

For the late MARIE KETCHAM, wife of Alan Ketcham, a former president of the Board of Representatives - submitted by Rep. Jeanne-Lois Santy.

- - - - -

PRESIDENT SANDRA GOLDSTEIN reminded the members of the Board that tomorrow, April 3, 1984, will be the joint public hearing at Cloonan Middle School on the budget with the Board of Finance. It is important that members of the Board attend, especially the new members, as it is very illuminating and enlightening to listen to the voices of the community.

STANDING COMMITTEES

STEERING COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved to Waive the reading of the Steering Committee Report.
Seconded. CARRIED.
HMM

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

The Steering Committee met on Monday, March 19, 1984, in the Democratic Caucus Room in response to a Call for 7:30 p.m. The meeting was called to order at 7:35 p.m. at which time a quorum was present, by Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein.

PRESENT AT THE MEETING:

Sandra Goldstein, Chairwoman
John J. Boccuzzi
Robert DeLuca
Annie M. Summerville
Scott Morris
John J. Hogan
Ruth Powers

David Martin
Lathon Wider
Cadie Vos
James Dudley
Robert Skovgaard
Handy Dixon
Barbara McInerney

John Mallozzi
John Schlechtweg
Mary Lou Rinaldi
Audrey Maihock
John Zelinski
Anne Kachaluba

3. MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING MONDAY, APRIL 2, 1984

3.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

1. APPOINTMENTS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were six of the 11 items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED HELD IN COMMITTEE were the names of Stuart Robbins for Planning Board, Dave P. McMahon for Coliseum Authority, Dr. Bert Ballin for Health Commission, Luke Molloy for E. Gaynor Brennan Golf Commission, and Richard Mollo for Board of Recreation.

2. FISCAL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all 20 items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

3. LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all seven items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

4. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all three items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

5. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

6. PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all three items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

7. HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

8. PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all five items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and an item taken up under Suspension of the Rules, and that item being Special Events Planning.

9. EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and also one item appearing on the Pending Steering Agenda, and that item being Inquiry into petition sent by 46 members of Nursing Staff of Smith House SNF RE the Director of Nursing at that facility.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

10. HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the two items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

11. URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the two items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

12. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

ORDERED OFF THE Tentative Steering Agenda but to be put on the Pending Steering Agenda was the item concerning the continuing review of the matter of an order to William Bell Levine to abate pollution. RE: State of CT vs. William Bell Levine: DEP order 7/7/83.

13. TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the two items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

14. HOUSE COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

15. CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the item concerning Codification and Indexing of the City's Charter. ORDERED OFF the Tentative Agenda but to be put on the Pending Steering Agenda was the item concerning the Codification and Indexing of the City's ordinances.

16. RESOLUTIONS

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Steering Committee, upon a motion made, seconded and approved, the meeting was adjourned at 8:25 p.m.

SANDRA GOLDSTEIN, CHAIRWOMAN
STEERING COMMITTEE

SG:ak

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES TO TAKE UP ITEM OUT OF ORDER ON AGENDA

MR. HOGAN Moved to Suspend the Rules to take up Item #1 under Planning and Zoning Committee, page 8. Seconded.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote on Mr. Hogan's Motion. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

- (1) REFERRAL OF ZONING BOARD'S ACTION APPROVING APPL. 83-046 - M. DEAN MONTGOMERY, AGENT - REGARDING CHANGE OF ZONE FROM R-10 TO RM-1 FOR 2.1 ACRES ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF COLONIAL RD., PURSUANT TO SECTION 552.2 OF THE CHARTER. Received from Zoning Board 3/2/84.

MR. WHITE said the Committee met on Saturday, March 31, 1984, at 11:00 A.M. on this matter. Present were Grace Guroian, David Jachimczyk, John Schlechtweg, Lathon Wider, Dennis White. Also present were Reps. Terrence Martin, David Blum, Richard Lyons and Sandra Goldstein. The hearing on the referral which had been scheduled for Thursday, March 29th, was postponed due to inclement weather and re-scheduled for March 31st.

The referral is at the request of the Colonial Road neighborhood residents who seek to overturn the Zoning Board's decision who found in favor of the applicant #83-046, to change 2.1 acres on the south side of Colonial Road from R-10 to RM-1. An earlier attempt by the applicant had been to change the properties in question from R-10 to R-5 which had been denied by the Zoning Board without prejudice.

Both the earlier application and the present one had been approved by the Planning Board. The applicant also made it clear that they reserved the right to come in for an R-5 zone change in the future.

M. Dean Montgomery, Agent for the applicants, presented the rationale he was going on record saying that he believed the referral to the Board of Representatives by the residents was improperly drawn. He did not believe the petition contained 20% of the property owners within 500 feet of the property in question as required by the Charter. He asserted if the property is held by more than one person, all owners must sign and he believed this was not done in some cases. Basically, it was the contention of the applicant that the property in question is bounded on three sides by properties that are zoned R-5, a multi-family medium density zone.

Mr. White further quoted Mr. Montgomery as saying that to the west and south of the properties at issue, the development is multi-family condominium-type of development; and east of the property, going down to Hope Street, the area is zoned R-5 but developed significantly lower densities than allowed. Immediately across from subject properties, is the site of the Orthodox Congregation of Agudath Sholom. This is zoned R-10 but since it is not a residential situation, it is the contention this zone designation is irrelevant to the case, the applicant feels.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)

Mr. White continued to say that pursuant to this, the applicant maintains the rest of the north side of Colonial Road is zoned R-7½, and the area north of the synagogue is also zoned R-7½ for some distance; ergo, a proper gradation of development from more intense to less intense, it is sensibly and uniformly established by this zone change. The applicant also maintains that the recent storm and sanitary improvements make such development responsible from the standpoint of municipal services. The applicant also points out both an independent traffic study, and a traffic analysis by the municipal Traffic Department contend that vehicular increase would not be generally significant and that the present road system could handle such.

It is the contention of the residents taking the referral that the area in the south side of Colonial Road, although zoned R-5, is largely developed far below that intensity. Aside from the properties immediately contiguous to the lots in question, the area is still single-family residential. Moreover, the area across Colonial Road, i.e., the north side of Colonial Road, is zoned R-7½ and R-10, single family residential, and that this is an integral part of the neighborhood and should be so considered. Continuing, those referring the Zoning Board's decision, also assert that as a result of recent development of condominium properties adjacent to these structures, the Pasquino project was particularly singled out, are flooded during heavy and not-so-heavy rains. Although a new storm sewer was installed on Colonial Road, it was laid down the north side of the street, hence of little benefit to the areas in question.

Aside from this, the area is not well-drained essentially and therefore unlikely to be held by more and bigger drains. Part of the answer is to restrict development by maintaining present zoning.

The traffic analyses were also contested by those taking the referral. While not agreeing with Ford's 13% increase, which they say is a significant jump anyhow, or Markham's 1.3% which they plainly don't understand, they contend that traffic is now so bad on Colonial Road that any measurable increase is dangerous and not acceptable. They stress that the traffic increase adds to whatever is already there and should be judged in that light. Compounding the problem, the residents assert it is a neighborhood of young families with many children, making the danger more immediate. Perhaps the most trenchant summation of all was Mrs. Peters' contention that Colonial Road has become a through street linking two major thoroughfares and to impose an increased traffic volume on the street is more than any residential community should be required to bear.

Finally, on the question of the validity of the petition with regard to signatures, is the assertion of those taking the referral, that they have the required number of signatures. They believe the confusion may arise because some of the units are held in a sort of corporation arrangement whereby a piece of property is listed as belong to a named corporate entity. Obviously, the corporation is individual owners. Is the corporate entity to be considered the owner as listed in the Grand List, or are the individual owners, many of whom are absentees, to be the signatories. Or, more frequently, and perhaps more important in this case, many of the condo units are owned by one person. Some people in these developments own more than six units; are these people to be counted as six owners, or as one property-holder. It would make a difference in the percentile

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. WHITE (continuing)...calculations. It is a belief of the residents that a propertyowner should be considered once in the 500 ft. radius, if he wishes to sign or not. The residents bringing in the referral maintain that according to their calculations, 89 families are found within the 500 ft. radius and they got 41 signatures; actually, they got 52 signatures .. I checked the list this afternoon.

Moreover these 41 signatures were recruited within 100 ft. radius. Logic would dictate that had they gone out to a 500 ft. perimeter, they would have gotten more signatures. Be that as it may, the residents maintain that they are well over the required percentage. Beyond this, the residents assert that all the properties canvassed were signed by the full ownership.

MR. WHITE asked PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN if she wished him to give the Committee Report now. She said she needed a Motion so discussion on this referral can begin.

MR. WHITE said that was the report of the public hearing. He will now give the report of the Committee.

He said the Planning and Zoning Committee meeting was held on April 2nd, at 6:30 p.m., in the Republican Caucus Room. Present were Reps. Grace Guroian, David Jachimczyk, John Schlechtweg, Lathon Wider, Donald Donahue, Cadie Vos, and Dennis White. Also present were Reps. Terrence Martin, Annie Summerville. By a vote of one Yes, five No, and one Abstention, the Committee's recommendation that the Zoning Board's decision be over-turned and that the zone remain R-10.

Mr. White Moved that the Application 83-046, Dean Montgomery, Agent, for change in the Zoning Map from R-10 single-family resident district, to RM-1 multiple-family resident district for 2.1 acres located on the south side of Colonial Road be approved. (This is in accordance with President Gooldtein's request that the Motion be in a positive vein as is the practice of the Board, even though the Committee voted against it.)
Seconded.

MR. BLUM said Mr. Dean Montgomery prepared a list of propertyowners within an 100 ft. radius of the property in question, containing 113 names. The first three names included the property in question. Of the remaining 100 names, J. Pasquino was listed 13 times, as owner of 13 units located within 100 ft.

Omega Service Parts Corp. two times; Agudath Sholom two times; Stanley Udell two times; Sandra Corbo two times; Adele Scipione two times. Therefore, six of the 110 accounted for 22 names; in reality, it should have been only 110 minus 23, plus 6 equals 94 names of which 41 who opposed this zoning change, or 44% of the people. Seven of these 94 are owned by some form of business or institution or corporation, and not by individuals; therefore only 94 minus 7 equals 87 individuals own the property within the 100 ft. radius of the property in question. Of these individuals, 47% opposed the change in zoning. The question is does one man get one vote, or one property one vote? Mr. Montgomery was incorrect in his statement of how many names were needed and who was eligible.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM said Mr. Montgomery brought up a technical matter, stating the Board should not hear this petition because less than 20% of the owners within the 500 ft. did not sign the petition. In fact more than 20% signed, and the people beyond the 100 ft. were not even contacted. Mr. Blum went on to say that on Nov. 15, 1983, the date of the original application to change the zoning, the application was in error as it stated Pauline Arena, Frances Smeriglio, Joseph Arena, P. Raymond Arena, all of 162 Colonial Road, Stamford, Conn., and of the above listed names, only Pauline Arena lives at that address.

Mr. Blum, as the Representative for the District in which the property is situated and being quite familiar with the area and streets involved, wishes to correct the Zoning Analyst, Mrs. Brady, in her statement about the contour of the land, that the property is on a slope coming from Strawberry Hill down toward Mayflower Avenue. He said that on Strawberry Hill there is no storm drain system between Holcomb Avenue and on into Belltown Road. In a heavy rainstorm, the property is inundated and everyone is flooded. Adding more houses to those already existing now would create serious flooding situations not only in the Colonial Road area but also in the Mayflower area and including Plymouth Road coming down from Strawberry Hill. Colonial Road is a very, very steep road, narrow; and people park their cars on both sides, making it hazardous in the best of weather.

MRS. SIGNORE asked her colleagues to give great weight to the feelings of the residents of the area in question. No board or commission knows an area as well as those who live there and will continue to have to live there after changes are or are not made. This neighborhood should not be disrupted or allowed to erode.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said the record will show that Mr. Livingston has arrived at the meeting and there are now 39 members present and one absent.

MR. MALLOZZI agrees with Mr. Blum and Mr. Signore that neighborhoods should be preserved. He is interested in spot-zoning which this is. He asked why the neighbors did not come in with an application to up-zone to R-7½. Some are R-5, some R-10. Next, the drainage problem. Building single family homes in R-10, the City Engineer does not require that one provide an off-site drainage system; but developing one project as a condominium, then that water has to be collected and go into the pipe that exists on the north side of the street which everyone says is not doing any good. The developer would have to cross the road and connect there for drainage. However, he will vote in favor of the neighbors at this time.

MRS. PERILLO Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote unanimously.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTIN called for a machine vote, stating that a Yes vote supports the Zoning Board's change; a No vote over-turns the Zoning Board. The Motion is framed in the positive, cognizant of the fact that the Committee voted to over-turn the Zoning Board's decision. It requires 21 votes to over-turn the Zoning Board.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. LIVINGSTON Moved for a Roll Call vote.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said there were not sufficient hands for a roll call vote, and they would proceed to vote by machine. The vote is 36 opposed to the Motion with 3 Abstentions. The Motion has been defeated and the Zoning Board's decision has been over-turned.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

MR. DIXON said the Appointments Committee did not meet due to the inclement weather. He has no report, therefore.

WELFARE COMMISSIONTerm Expires

(1) <u>FRED SIMPSON</u> (R)	Replacing K. Scinto	Dec. 1, 1985
51 Halpin Ave.	(deceased)	

HELD IN COMMITTEEHEALTH COMMISSION

(2) <u>STEFFIE BLOCH</u> (D)	Re-appointment	Dec. 1, 1986
6 Cooper's Pond Road		

HELD IN COMMITTEEBOARD OF RECREATION

(3) <u>GUY BAILEY</u> (D)	Replacing A. Marrucco	Dec. 1, 1986
1062 Sunset Road	whose term expired	

HELD IN COMMITTEETRANSIT DISTRICT

(4) <u>THOMAS FINN</u> (D)	Replacing M. P. Baxter	Dec. 1, 1987
678 High Ridge Rd.		

HELD IN COMMITTEEURBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

(5) <u>CHARLES LEE</u> (D)	Replacing J. Schlechtweg	Aug. 7, 1987
384 Cascade Road	who resigned	

HELD IN COMMITTEE

(6) <u>THERESA MAGISTRO</u> (D)	Replacing John Mallozzi	Aug. 7, 1985
12 Van Buren Circle	who resigned	

FISCAL COMMITTEE

MR. DONAHUE said the Committee met on March 25, 1984. Present were Reps. Hogan, Rybnick, Rinaldi, Lyons, David Martin, Donahue. They also met at 6:30 this evening with Reps. Hogan, Rinaldi, Lyons, Donahue, Conti, Vos and McInerney in attendance.

He placed on the Consent Agenda: Items 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 3, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19.

(1) \$ 1,745.00 - FAIR RENT COMMISSION - Code 115.1110 SALARIES -
DEDUCT 1,037.00 Additional appropriation request to grant step
APPROVED \$708.00 increases to ~~secretary-(from S-09-A to S-09-G,-~~
~~\$1,037)~~ and an investigator (from A-12-A to S-12-C,
 \$708.00). Per Mayor Serrani's request 12/27/83.
 Board of Finance approved 1/12/84. Held in Commit-
 tee 2/6/84 and 3/12/84.

MR. DONAHUE said this item reads \$1,745.00 for Salaries. The secretary has left City employment. He Moved to approve \$708.00 for the investigator, 6 in favor, none opposed. Seconded.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote. There were 3 No votes, Mrs. Conti, Mrs. Maihock, and Mrs. McInerney. Motion has CARRIED.

(2) \$ 13,500.00 - FIRE DEPARTMENT - Code 450.1212 STAND-BY (ACTING) -
 Additional appropriation request to cover salary of
 officers that are replacing injured personnel; per
 Mayor Serrani's request 2/28/84. Board of Finance
 approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Personnel Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(3) \$133,000.00 - FIRE DEPARTMENT - Code 450.1110 SALARIES - Additional
 appropriation request to cover shortfall in original
 request and collective bargaining agreement; per Mayor
 Serrani's request 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved
 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Personnel Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(4) \$140,000.00 - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET - PUBLIC WORKS
DEPARTMENT - Project to be known as #341.388 HARBOR
BULKHEAD - EAST BRANCH. To be financed by issuance
 of bonds. Per Mayor Serrani's request 3/6/84. Plan-
 ning Board approved 3/6/84. Board of Finance approved
 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Public Works and Sewer Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (5) \$ 30,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - Code 323.2620 - VEHICLE REPAIRS - Additional Appropriation requested, per Mayor Serrani 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8.

Above also referred to Public Works and Sewer Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (6) \$ 3,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - Code 311.1201 - VEHICLE MAINTENANCE - OVERTIME - Additional Appropriation requested, per Mayor Serrani 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Public Works and Sewer Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (7) \$162,000.00 - WELFARE DEPARTMENT - Code 510.3610 GENERAL HOSPITALS. 90% of this is reimbursable from State of Connecticut. Additional Appropriation requested to pay for in and out-patient bills for residents whose income is below certain limits. Per Mayor Serrani's request 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Education, Welfare and Government Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (8) \$ 3,700.00 - ZONING BOARD - Code 107.2910 OFFICIAL NOTICES - Additional Appropriation requested to cover publication of notices, per Mayor Serrani 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Education, Welfare and Government Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (9) \$ 1,350.00 - ZONING BOARD - Code 107.2921 PRINTING - Additional Appropriation requested to cover printing regulations, workshop materials, etc., per Mayor Serrani 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (10) \$ 1,600.00 - ZONING BOARD - Code 107.2922 POSTAGE - Additional Appropriation to cover postage for changes to Zoning Regulations, per Mayor Serrani's request 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (11) \$ 500.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Code 560.2750 GASOLINE - Additional Appropriation requested for two MAA employees, per Mayor Serrani 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (12) \$ 3,150.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - DRUG FORENSIC LABORATORY - Code 552.1110 SALARIES - Additional Appropriation requested for laboratory technician, Mayor Serrani 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Health and Protection Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (13) \$ 120.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - DRUG FORENSIC LABORATORY - Code 552.1135 PERMANENT PART-TIME SALARIES - Additional Appropriation for Laboratory Technician, per Mayor Serrani's request 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (14) \$ 1,802.00 - BOARD OF RECREATION - Code 655.4130 SELF-SUSTAINING PROGRAMS - Additional Appropriation for Men's Industrial Basketball to continue on-going program, per Mayor Serrani's request 2/28/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (15) \$ 310,222.72 - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET - DEPT. OF TRAFFIC AND PARKING - Transfer from Code 280.0658 State-Assisted Projects to a project to be known as COMPUTER SIGNAL SYSTEM DESIGN, per Mayor's request 12/29/83. Planning Board approved 1/3/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Transportation Committee.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said they have already spent some \$143,000 on a System Feasibility Study of which there is a copy in the Board office. For a number of years now, they have been trying to fund the next phase of this which is for plans, specifications and estimates. It is only through the funding and implementation of this project that the City will be able to deal with the ever-growing traffic problem. The Committee voted 6 in favor and none opposed to approve this transfer of money. Seconded.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said the Transportation Committee did not have a quorum but they did discuss it, and he Moved to waive their Committee report. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. DeLUCA said this item keeps popping up like a bad penny. They think they have gotten rid of it, but given time, it comes back again. He believes he has voted against this at least three times in the last three years. This was originally supposed to be a Federal Grant, but they backed out because they could not afford it. The State, then, was going to fund it, and they, too, backed out due to lack of funds, or priorities. Yet certain people in Stamford feel that the Stamford citizens living on "The Gold Coast" can well afford and that the pockets of the taxpayers are bottomless.

Mr. DeLuca went on to say that Jim Ford's estimate two years ago was \$2 Million. By the time this project is completed, you can rest assured it will be closer to three, four, or \$5 Million, and perhaps ^{be} out-moded by then. After all, the Transportation Plaza was estimated at \$20 Million and it now up to \$50 Million. You can imagine what this will mushroom into.

People say there is a growing traffic problem, so perhaps it is time to put a moratorium on building as Greenwich has done. People say the more buildings that are put up, the more jobs are created, but if you go down to the railroad station, you will find more people coming into Stamford on trains than going out. Mr. DeLuca wonders for whom does all the building create jobs. We hear a lot about infrastructure; perhaps the corporations should foot the bill for the feasibility study. It would be a tax write-off for them and alleviate the taxpayers' burden. Mr. DeLuca cannot afford another 8½% increase in his tax bill. Has Mr. Ford conferred with the corporations to effect staggered working hours, rotating shifts, to spread out the traffic on the streets.

Mr. DeLuca said a Mr. Joseph Mattell of 27 Northhill Ave. had some recommendations on alleviating traffic but instead of a meeting, all he got was a polite little note thanking him for writing and that was the end of it. Rep. Scott Morris has met Mr. Mattell.

Mr. DeLuca recommends denial of this request and that Mr. Jim Ford do a little more work on it. Stamford cannot afford it.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. CONTI said she, too, is in opposition to this appropriation. On a priority scale, for capital money, she considers this a frill item. The Sewage Treatment Plant is in violation of the State environmental laws. The Incinerator is deteriorating. There are many more vital needs requiring money. If this is excess money in the Capital Budget, she would like to see it closed out and re-appropriated for a greater need.

Mrs. Conti said you can computerize the signals and do any number of things, but the roads themselves are inadequate for the traffic generated here. Buildings have cut off what have been hitherto through streets, such as North State Street, and other accessways. No computer is going to solve what we have created.

MR. ZELINSKI said he would like to pose a few questions to Mr. Donahue through the Chair. First, what is the bottom line cost going to be for this project?

MR. DONAHUE said the back-up material which everyone received gives a projection of \$2.2 Million. The possibility exists for some funding costs of construction in the future. And this is not for a feasibility study, as Mr. DeLuca mentioned. The feasibility study was conducted and completed August 1, 1981. This cost \$143,000.

MR. ZELINSKI asked, next, how many times has this item come before any Board?

MR. DONAHUE said he does not understand the significance of the question. It has been before this Board several times, but he does not have an exact count and perhaps Mr. Zelinski does have.

MR. ZELINSKI said that does not answer his question; if he knew, he would say so and not ask.

MR. DONAHUE thinks it might have been twice but he is not sure; it could be more.

MR. ZELINSKI said by approving this tonight, they are in essence giving a green light to allocating of over \$2 Million. He said think that over. A small computer problem could cause a serious gridlock in this City. He does not think this should be approved tonight.

MR. LYONS said the solution is to put a system in place that will expedite and speed up the traffic. This proposed system as outlined will help that. It is not a cure-all but will help. There are three peak travel patterns in the City; the commuters from 6:00 A.M. on going to New York City and they face the same lights that people do at Noon. It can be changed at 8:00 A.M. for the next peak. Putting our heads in the sand will not make it go away. We are strangling on traffic. This ranks with the Sewage Treatment Plant and the Incinerator and should be approved tonight.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said the Committee discussed this with Mr. Ford, focussing on three areas; first, the benefits; second, the alternatives we might have; and third, the risk we are investing in a system that is not appropriate for us. He would like to address each one of these. At the conclusion, he was convinced this is what should be done and he is in favor of this.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR DAVID MARTIN said that when the feasibility study was done a few years ago, it was found that the traffic was moving at 10 to 15 miles per hour in a thirty-mile-an-hour speed zone. It has gotten worse as the City has approved development. New construction will exacerbate the problem even worse. The financial projections indicate that the benefits outweigh the cost by a favor of 2-1. The benefits are the time saved by the motorists. Other benefits are substantial.

There are other alternatives such as widening all the streets, if all the sidewalks could be bulldozed over and spend billions of dollars thereby. Car pools can be pursued. The Transportation Center is using an inter-modal facility and other alternatives. Two elements on the risk are that we are not dealing in space age technology, sending a man to the moon. What is proposed is already in place in White Plains and in Norwalk. The Committee was advised that they will have a design such that they can test the components before they are committed to the entire capital funding. He recommends moving ahead on this.

MRS. GUROIAN said she understands from the literature supplied that this \$2 Million does not cover the computerized system for the whole City. It is only 50 or 60 intersections in the downtown area. Is computerizing just those intersections enough to alleviate the traffic problem since the problem is much broader and spreads outside of downtown. It applies to all the access roads into downtown, all the way up to the Merritt Parkway, and possibly beyond.

Starting with the \$2 Million expenditure, it very likely will mean many more millions of dollars to extend it, and totally disrupt future priorities. Sewage and drainage disposal in the Glenbrook area has already been mentioned as high priority in terms of the interceptor. Is that less important than computerizing 50 or 60 downtown intersections? It has been the trend for some quite time, from the Mayor on down to the boards and commissions, to throw 90% of the capital funds into the downtown area, ignoring the ever-pressing problems in the older neighborhoods surrounding downtown; and it has got to stop sometime, and sometime soon.

MR. WHITE does not object to the project, but feels we should start looking at things other than mechanical or technical approaches.

MRS. MAIHOCK agrees with Mrs. Conti's and Mrs. Guroian's enumeration of the City's priority needs. However, stalled traffic produces more air pollution.

MRS. McINERNEY said we are reaping the effects of over-development within the last 10 years without one thought to the support system that had to go along with it. The next time this Board has zoning issues before it, they should think of these issues before they vote to approve more increased density in this community. She will support this item.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MS. POWERS said it is a fact that the corporations are here, and people must be able to get about the City. This is no commitment for hundreds of millions of dollars for a mass transit system. The proposed system seems to be one that can work and she would like it passed tonight, so it does not come back at a later time and be a \$10 Million item.

MRS. SIGNORE agrees that our drainage problems are horrendous and the sewage treatment plant is in a critical condition. She has, however, heard projections that by the end of this decade there will be 25,000 people coming into Stamford daily to work and will be leaving each evening. There will be massive gridlock which has already started. Things like General Reinsurance should not have been built, but it is there; it is a fact. That company had told us they would generate 4,000 vehicular trips per day. She believes this proposed program should be funded.

MR. WIDER said now the Board will hear from the poor area, which is suffering from traffic and pollution. He would like to see this passed.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote, stating two-thirds were required for approval. Mrs. Perillo is to be recorded as a No vote. The Motion is APPROVED with 32 Yes, 7 No.

- (16) \$ 2,500.00 - BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES - Code 102.2230 GENERAL MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES - BLDG. - Additional Appropriation to cover costs resulting from new Board, per Mayor Serrani's request 3/8/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Education, Welfare and Government Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (17) \$ 2,500.00 - BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES - Code 102.2910 OFFICIAL NOTICES - Additional Appropriation, per Mayor Serrani's request 3/8/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Education, Welfare and Government Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (18) \$ 2,000.00 - BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES - Code 102.2922 PRINTING - Additional Appropriation, per Mayor Serrani's request 3/8/84. Board of Finance approved 3/8/84.

Above also referred to Education, Welfare and Government Committee.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (19) \$ 1,000.00 - BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES - Code 102.2930 STATIONERY AND SUPPLIES - Additional Appropriation per Mayor Serrani's request 3/8/84. Approved by Board of Finance 3/8/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (20) \$ 49,613.00 - PERSONNEL APPEALS BOARD REFERRAL OF APPEALS BETWEEN NANCY L. MITCHELL AND OTHER EMPLOYEES OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM vs. THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF STAMFORD. Additional Appropriation requested to cover the appeal sustained by the Personnel Appeals Board in accordance with Section 740.2 of the Charter. Decision by Personnel Appeals Board James Rosecrans, Co-Chairman 3/7/84.

Above also referred to Personnel Committee.

MR. DONAHUE said on Item #20 the term "additional appropriation" is inappropriate as the money to fund these increases is already in the Community Development Program budget, so there is no additional appropriation required.

The problem that is faced as a result of this appeal and the appeal itself is very specific that, due to the implementation of Ord. #510, the opinion of the PBA, implicit in Ord. 510 is the requirement that each employee be treated as a separate item by the Board of Representatives in proceeding under the ordinance. With that, and in an effort to take the step that is now before the Board, it is appropriate that each individual position be placed before this Board separately and that the total of those positions, should they pass, would be the \$49,613.

What is before the Board is not an appropriation. The Board is now acting to recommend either step increases, merit increases, salary increases of one time or another, and the Board is now voting on the merits of those increases, not on the appropriation as such.

The employees of SCDP, like other classified and unclassified employees, are granted salary and step increases, in line with City personnel guidelines; and proportionate to employees with similar jobs and responsibilities. In fairness, the Fiscal Committee can provide no grounds to deny the proposed salary increases based on merit alone. These individuals are not represented by a bargaining unit, but as unclassified employees, they, like others who are not in the Civil Service, receive raises in the same ratio to those who are represented. The vote of the Committee was 5 in favor and 2 opposed to each of these increases by position. Mr. Donahue makes this report once and then will move separately each item, asking Board members to remember that in each case the recommendation was in favor of the increase by a vote of 5-2.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE Moved that the Director of SCDP's salary be increased by \$3,548 from \$44,363 to \$49,686. Seconded by Mr. Wider.

MR. DUDLEY Moved to waive the secondary committee (Personnel) report. Seconded. CARRIED.

MRS. SIGNORE said she noted the footnote stating "Retroactive Salary Increases for individuals who have left City employment" in three instances. She said it is outrageous to give increases to people who have left City employment. It may be legal but she questions how ethical it is.

MR. BLUM said persons who were working for the City at the time the appeal was taken and subsequently left their positions, they are entitled to that retroactive pay. Also that when the SCDP budget was approved, the salary increases were included in the amount indicated for "Administration" salaries.

MRS. CONTI refers back to the minutes of 1974 when this grant first came to the City, there was then a contribution required by the City, and she would like to know if the City is still required to make a contribution and if so, how much.

MR. DONAHUE said the money for these salary increases is within the SCDP budget which this Board approved.

MRS. CONTI wanted to know does all this come from the Federal Government, or is it a matching grant, does the City have to put a portion into this, or is it all a grant?

MR. DONAHUE said the Co-Chairpersons of this Committee are both shaking their heads "No" so he takes that as No.

MRS. CONTI asked when did the City's contribution cease?

MR. WIDER said that was only the starting up money of the SCDP and that the City only contributed during Year I.

MR. BOCCUZZI said he will vote against all of these. The first grant was \$2.35 Million (Mr. Wider supplied this figure). The salary account at that time was not very high. Now the grant is \$1.2 Million, and the salary account is now \$570,000. The money is all going into the administrative salaries and not to the people for whom this grant is intended.

MR. WIDER said the \$500,000 is program money, coming from people who take out bank loans and pay people to carry out rehabilitation programs, and they pay for those rehabilitation programs from their money, their loans that they get. The only money that comes from Community Development grant is 20% and that is what HUD allows, and no more, for administration.

MR. BOCCUZZI asked if these people's salaries were not being paid out of the grant money.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. WIDER said they are being paid out of people who use the technical skills of the grant program, and when they borrow money and get loans to fix their houses with. They are not being paid out of the grant. No program employee has been paid out of the grant. They have \$8 Million commitment of matching money for renovation of people's houses in Stamford at 3% and 6% interest.

MRS. SANTY asked since this is not an additional appropriation, what is the vote required, a simple majority? Also when this program was originated, what was the Director's salary at that time?

MRS. McINERNEY said the request that came before the Board on August 5, 1974 included a line item for salaries amounting to \$17,000.

MRS. SANTY said that was 1974, ten years ago, and you look from \$17,000, which she thinks may have included a Director and a Secretary or Clerk, staff, you go from \$17,000 to \$49,686, that is quite a raise in ten years. It doesn't matter who is paying for it, or where it is coming from, because it ends up coming out of the taxpayers' pockets. These are the things the Board has to decide tonight. It doesn't matter what funds, who is paying, it is still taxpayers' dollars. She asked if she understood correctly that HUD sets the salaries, directing her question to Mr. Wider.

MR. WIDER said they submit to HUD every year the salary schedule for all employees on the application.

MRS. SANTY said then it is set here and HUD just approves it. She asked since it is now budgeted for \$49,686 for the Director, how much higher can it go? She agrees with Mr. Boccuzzi and will vote no on all of these salary increases.

MR. WHITE said this whole program has increasingly bothered him. He said he approves what they are allegedly supposed to be doing, but he does not ever see any of this stuff being done. He said all he ever gets are abusive letters instructing him on what he ought to do and should do and must do. When he is involved with up-zoning or reducing densities, the SCD group seems to have an array of people out to testify to the fact that we are wrecking Stamford or kicking little old ladies out of houses or denying senior citizens zones, which is not the case at all. He is serious. He does not see SCDP doing what he would like to see them do, or what he thinks they are supposed to be doing, and asks what are they doing? He sees them testifying against quality-of-life programs. He sees no real or substantial neighborhood rehabilitation or renovation going on. They have appended themselves onto the regular government here.

MR. DeLUCA said he and Rep. Boccuzzi both have doubts about this appropriation this evening. He finds it disturbing to see an One Million Dollar grant of which some \$486,000 or 43.3% goes for salaries. He understood that 20% was for administration costs. It is also disturbing to see that the higher-salaries personnel are getting the larger percentages of increases, not even across-the-board percentages. He will vote against this because the grant is not being spent for its intended use. Back in 1974, \$17,000 was for a staff of two people. Now there are 22 people for half a million dollars, which is outrageous and ludicrous.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. McINERNEY said she is in agreement with several of the previous speakers and she plans to vote against it. In a letter dated Jan. 28, 1975 to the Board of Finance from then Mayor Frederick Lenz, it was indicated that Ms. Nancy L. Mitchell joined his staff on Nov. 11, 1974 filling the Development Coordinator position in the Mayor's office. "This position funded under the 701 Comprehensive Planning Assistance Program provides coordination of urban redevelopment housing and citizen participation. In addition, Ms. Mitchell has been assigned to the specific responsibility for the presentation of Stamford's Housing and Community Development Application."

Mrs. McInerney continued that the original intent of the Board at that time was that much of the money that would be coming in from the Community Development Program might offset some of the funding that was being incurred through the Urban Redevelopment Program; and the Board was also led to believe that that money would only be available for three, to possibly four, years. Many years have passed and the program continues and the program does go out into other areas of the City which were in a blighted state and needed repair. However, Mrs. McInerney plans to vote against each and everyone of these based on the fact that most of this money would be better spent into programs that would actually help the people and the community over-all, instead of salary increases.

MRS. PERILLO Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

MR. BURKE asked for clarification since the Personnel Appeals Board has mandated this, and he thinks they have the force of State Law and legal precedent behind them; if, in fact that is the case, and the Board is taking this amount of money out of it, that is all the Board can do, and they cannot deny these raises which have been granted, but can take the money and say it will not be given to them, or is his understanding incorrect?

MR. DONAHUE said this is a very strange situation as the SCDP budget was approved, and these increases were said to be included. Then when they came in and asked for increases, it was denied by this Board back on Oct. 11, 1983. The Personnel Appeals Board said that was all well and good but you did not consider the employees on an individual basis and you did not consider whether to approve a raise or reject a raise based on individual merit. They, and I quote, say "Ord. 510 by its term applies to every City position. Implicit in the concept of fundamental fairness to the employee of the City, is that each raise, transfer, promotion, or other items within the scope of Ord. 510 will be considered on its own merits." Mr. Donahue said, as he stated in his report originally, there has been no information given to the Committee and they cannot come here in fairness and say that some person who is working in this program has done something to not merit the increases as they have been outlined. This is the problem that is going to face the Board with Ord. 510 in the future and it faces the Board now. The money is there and technically this Board approved the concept of increases at least. Then the Board denied the whole ball of wax. Everybody was denied a raise and the merits of each case was not considered. Now before the Board are not the increases, but the merits of allowing for a salary or step increase. What is being said is no reason to deny, therefore the Board should approve.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said that is what the PAB is saying. This has to be clear. The Board can take any position it wants individually. That is what the PAB suggests. She asked Mr. Donahue if he were through.

MR. DONAHUE said it also follows that the recommendation of the Fiscal Committee is to approve.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said the Board need ^{rubber-}not stamp anything that is not the Board's desire, or they can, but it has to be clear.

MRS. McINERNEY said that under "Appropriate Action" listed in the findings, "The appropriate action of the Board of Representatives in rejecting as a unit, the salary increases for the employees of the SCDP was improper because such salary increases were not considered on an individual employee by employee basis. For that reason the action of the Board of Representatives of Oct. 11, 1983 must be set aside." It was set aside for the reason that the Board did not consider them individually and no other reason.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said that was correct and it was only a procedural reason for which it was set aside. She called for a machine vote on an increase for the Director of \$3,548. Motion DENIED with 7 Yes, 27 No, 3 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said the next position is Assistant Director, SCDP, for an increase of \$4,709 from \$39,247 to \$43,956. Seconded.

MR. SKOVGAARD said in line with what was said by Mr. Donahue and Mrs. McInerney, if people intend to vote in the negative for these particular raises, there should be some articulable reasons on the record as to the reasons why they are being voted down.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on the \$4,709 increase for the Assistant Director of the SCDP. DENIED with 23 No, 10 Yes, and 6 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE asked if it would be appropriate, since this sheet can be made a part of the record, that he just read the position and the amount of the increase.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said the Chair would prefer that each position separately since it is the subject of an appeal.

MR. DONAHUE said next is the \$2,589 increase for the Director of Neighborhood Preservation Program, SNPP, from \$36,985 to \$39,574. He Moved to approve this. Seconded.

MR. WIDER said he wanted to inform the Board that they might be jeopardizing the funding. He said this has to be made a part of the record and submitted to HUD.

MRS. PERILLO Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with 2 in opposition.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on the increase of \$2,589 for the SNPP Director. DENIED The President said the vote would be taken over as there appears to be some problem of recording.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said because this is going to be a series of votes, would the members please pay attention and not vote until the Chair asks them to vote, because if they vote prior to that statement, it will not record properly. Another vote will now be taken on this item as it appears that some members' votes did not record in any way. Please vote now, Yes if you are in favor of the increase for the Director of Neighborhood Preservation Program, SNPP, or down for No. DEFEATED with 19 No, 12 Yes, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said the next position is Housing Development Specialist - SNPP for an increase of \$3,189 from \$28,600 to \$31,789, and he Moved for its approval. Seconded.

MR. LYONS asked that Mr. Wider go over and make clear to him what he said about the appropriation and funding of these salaries through Community Development. He said he understood there was a lid of 20% on these maximum salaries. He said Mr. Wider mentioned something about \$8 Million being brought in and that these in some way ^{were} offsetting the \$469,000 in salaries.

MR. WIDER said the money that pays for Administration is 20% of the grant, whatever the amount is. He said that the money paid to the people working out in the field under Neighborhood Preservation, comes out of projects that are being done, programs that are being administered. They are paid for out of those programs. They are not paid out of the grants program.

MR. LYONS asked for example, the Technical Service Manager, is he paid out of grant funds or out of the proceeds from the field?

MR. WIDER responded out of the program from the field and to work directly under the Neighborhood Preservation Director, Mr. Herb Seer.

MR. LYONS asked if these were all non-classified employees.

MR. WIDER said they were all non-classified, but all come through the personnel system, through a list that Mr. Sim Bernstein submits.

MR. DeLUCA Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on the increase of \$3,189 for the Housing Development Specialist, SNPP. DEFEATED 19 No, 10 Yes, 9 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said the next item is \$1,374 for Housing Development Specialist - SCDP from \$27,483 to \$28,857. And he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. BURKE said whether they speak of grant money or other money, or Federal money, it is all the same money that comes from one sort of tax or another on the people.

MR. BLUM said there is grant money, there are subsidies, as well as what goes through the I.R.S. These increases were appealed to a Personnel Appeals Board. If this is defeated, as it was once before, Mr. Blum feels it will be paid for one way or another, going to court and the City will have to pay.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. LIVINGSTON would like clarification from Mr. Wider about HUD having approved these increases and that the grant might be jeopardized if they are not approved here.

MR. WIDER said HUD has already approved what this Board is disapproving. And that there is no reason why HUD should give us money to pay employees if we are not going to pay them. It may even jeopardize other grants that come to the City.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said she is a committee member of Housing and Community Development and disagrees that the SCDP grant would be put in jeopardy. This particular budget is no different than any other line item budget. HUD allows a certain percentage of the total budget to be allocated to salaries, or Administration. They do not dictate that we must use the whole 20%, or how many people are employed. For instance, if we wanted to give the whole 20% to the Director, we could do that. If the employees do not get their increases, they can either stay and be happy, or leave and go away.

MR. MALLOZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on \$1,374 for the Housing Development Specialist SCDP. Vote up for Yes, down for No. DEFEATED by a vote of 13 Yes, 16 No, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said the next position is Technical Services Manager, SNPP, an increase of \$2,576 from \$28,600 to \$31,176. So Moved. Seconded.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said it is as clear as mud. He said most of the members don't have the foggiest idea of what is going on. So far, no one is speaking to the individual positions as yet. Many may know what is going on, but by the conflicting reports, he can't figure it out. He will continue to vote abstention as he cannot understand it. Perhaps the Personnel Dept. or the Personnel Appeals Board could come and explain it.

MR. SKOVGAARD Moved to Re-commit. Seconded.

MR. DONAHUE said if this is re-committed and no action is taken to approve or disapprove, then the raises will be considered approved.

MR. SKOVGAARD said he would withdraw his Motion. The Secunder concurred.

MR. WIDER Moved the total report be returned to committee.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said Mr. Wider could not move to re-commit the whole report as the Motion on the floor was for the one item of \$2,576; but he could move to re-commit that one motion.

MR. BURKE made a Point of Order asking if it were possible to reconsider all the Motions that have been passed prior to this, of the same kind.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said a Motion to Reconsider is always possible if a person who has voted on the prevailing side wishes to make that motion. She said that Mr. Donahue has mentioned to the Board that if this is re-committed, it is automatically approved by the Board, as the Personnel Appeals

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN (continuing)....Board will have taken effect. The motion is to re-commit \$2,576 and only that motion can be spoken to, which means that the merits of the raise cannot be addressed.

MR. DeLUCA said he was against re-committing \$2,576 or any of the items because this is just going around the back way to give these raises. He believes it is the duty of this Board to follow the PBA ruling and sit here and vote on each item as long as it takes.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said she, too, is against re-committing. We are supposed to vote on positions, not persons. These are persons who have asked for increases and somewhere along the line, somebody said no. They went before the Appeals Board and this is their last resort, other than the courts. We hav had them long enough and should vote tonight.

MR. ZELINSKI is against re-committing, too. In one hour, five positions have been considered. There are 19 more to consider. If someone does not understand at this point, they can abstain. This is the legislative body and if it decides by majority vote, it is against something, that is it; they are not to be intimidated nor be a rubber stamp to any appropriation that comes up. This was voted down once, and all the rest should be the same. The vote is on principle, not position or individual.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said she is clarifying that they are indeed voting on position and not principle.

MR. SKOVGAARD Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on re-committing \$2,576. Motion has been DEFEATED with 33 No and 2 Abstentions.

The Motion on the floor is for approval of \$2,576.

MR. DeLUCA Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on approval of \$2,576. Motion DENIED with 17 No, 11 Yes, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$3,703 for Financial Manager, SNPP, from \$27,473 to \$31,176, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. PERILLO Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$3,703. Motion DENIED, 21 No, 9 Yes, and 7 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$3,247 for Administrative Officer, SCDP, from \$27,565 to \$30,812, and he so Moved. Seconded.

MR. DeLUCA Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, with Mr. Skovgaard voting No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$3,247. Motion DEFEATED with 20 No, 11 Yes, 7 Abstentions.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$2,632 for Senior Rehabilitation Specialist II, SNPP, from \$23,528 to \$26,160, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with Mr. Skovgaard voting No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$2,632. Motion DENIED with 18 No, 14 Yes, and 6 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$1,894 for Senior Rehabilitation Specialist I - SNPP from \$21,027 to \$22,921. Seconded.

MRS. SANTY Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with Mr. Skovgaard, No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$1,894. Motion DENIED with 18 No, 12 Yes, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$1,956 for Project Coordinator - SCDP, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. MORRIS Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with Reps. Skovgaard, Blum and Summerville voting No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$1,956. Motion DENIED with 20 No, 11 Yes, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$2,222 for Program Specialist II - SNPP from \$20,157 to \$22,379, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. SKOVGAARD said he would like to point out it is a merit increase.

MR. DeLUCA Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$2,222. Motion DENIED with 19 No, 12 Yes, and 7 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$2,199 for Carpenter - Emergency Repair/Health Dept., from \$19,026 to \$21,225, and he Moved for approval. SECONDED.

MR. LIVINGSTON asked exactly what does this carpenter do in the Health Dept.

MR. DONAHUE said he is a carpenter who provides emergency repairs for the Health Dept.

MR. LIVINGSTON said he understands that, but specifically what is this man repairing; certainly he is not going around repairing the entire Health Dept. What does he work on, being paid by Community Development.

MR. DONAHUE said the carpenter provides service for the Health Dept. when Housing Code violations are cited of a carpentry nature; in emergencies that may be needed to safeguard the health and safety of citizens.

MR. LIVINGSTON said this is something that cannot be said of some of the other positions. In view of Mr. Donahue's explanation, he urges the support of this increase and he will vote for it himself.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MS. SUMMERVILLE is in favor of this increase as she knows the role that each individual plays in the Community Development Program. She thinks the man is underpaid. She urged approval.

MS. RINALDI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with one in opposition.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$2,199. APPROVED with 17 Yes, 8 No, and 10 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$1,676 for Administrative Assistant, SCDP, from \$18,588 to \$20,264, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MRS. SANTY Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with one in opposition.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$1,676. Motion DENIED with 16 No, 10 Yes, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$1,676 for Code Enforcement Officer/Health Dept., from \$18,588 to \$20,264, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. MALLOZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. Voice vote not conclusive, and a Division was requested.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on Moving the Question. Motion DEFEATED with 22 Yes, 11 No, and 1 Abstention. Two-thirds required.

MRS. SANTY said she understood the Code Enforcement Officer is a line item on the Health Dept. budget and she asks why is it appearing on this budget.

MR. DONAHUE said under the Community Development Program, there are positions that are funded to supplement existing staff in various departments, such as Health and Planning, especially emphasizing the target areas.

MRS. SANTY said she understood there was just one Code Enforcement Officer in the City, or are there others.

MR. DONAHUE said he believes there is more than one. As he said this is to supplement the Health Dept.

MR. BLUM said Mr. Nehring is the Director of Code Enforcement, and he has several Code Enforcement Officers in the Health Dept., and one of them is paid by Community Development. If the carpenter is approved, then this officer should be approved.

MR. LIVINGSTON supports this increase as it affects the health and welfare of all of the people of Stamford.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said one thing should be made clear and that is that each person is entitled to vote anyway they see fit on these raises; and if that means if one person gets a raise, that may be unfortunate, but one is permitted one's own vote. There being no further speakers, vote will be taken on the machine for \$1,676 for the Code Enforcement Officer/Health Dept. APPROVED with 19 Yes, 7 No, and 5 Abstentions.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$62.00 for Rehabilitation Specialist I - SNPP - from \$17,899 to \$19,513*** (**Retroactive salary increases for individuals who have left City employment - pro-rated.) Motion to approve \$62.00. Seconded.

(Tape ended - some dialogue lost here.)

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on the \$62.00. DENIED with 15 No, 13 Yes, 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$835 for Rehabilitation Specialist I - SNPP from \$16,699 to \$17,534 and he so Moved. Seconded.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$835. APPROVED with 14 Yes, 13 No, and 9 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$1,499 for Executive Secretary - SNPP from \$16,648 to \$18,147, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$1,499. APPROVED with 15 Yes, 13 No, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$1,499 for Plumber - Emergency Repair/Health Dept. from \$16,648 to \$18,147, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. MALLOY Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with Mr. Skovgaard voting No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on \$1,499. APPROVED with 19 Yes, 12 No, and 6 Abstentions. Ms. Summerville is voting Yes, Jerry Livingston also Yes.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$1,796 for Secretary SCDP, from \$13,898 to \$15,694, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. SKOVGAARD asked what are the specific functions that this individual performs.

MR. DONAHUE said typing, filing, dictation, steno for the Community Development Program, probably answers the telephone.

MR. MALLOY Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on the \$1,796. APPROVED with 17 Yes, 12 No, and 8 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$672 for Clerk Typist II - SNPP, from \$13,446 to \$14,118, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. ZELINSKI said this item carried three asterisks indicating this is for an individual who has left the City employment, and is retroactive payment.

MS. RINALDI Moved the Question. Seconded. Carried, with Mr. Skovgaard voting No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on \$672. DENIED with 21 No, 11 Yes, and 6 Abstentions.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said next is \$2,497 for Clerk Typist II, Emergency Repair, Health Dept. from \$12,104 to \$14,601, and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. BOCCUZZI asked what does this person do.

MR. DONAHUE said when the Health Dept. goes out and corrects code violations, either the plumber or the carpenter, the City bills the property owners for those emergency repairs, and liens can be filed against the property to recover those repairs, so the Clerk Typist takes care of the paper work involved.

MRS. PERILLO Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, with a few No votes.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on \$2,497. APPROVED with 18 Yes, 13 No, and 7 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said next is Assistant Neighborhood Planner, Planning Department, for \$864 from \$17,283 to \$18,147, and he Moved for approval. SECONDED.

MR. DUDLEY Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, with Mr. Skovgaard voting No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on \$2,497. APPROVED with 15 Yes, 14 No, and 7 Abstentions.

MR. DONAHUE said the next and last item is \$699 for Secretary, SNPP, from \$13,972 to \$14,671, and he Moved for approval.

MRS. SANTY Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, with Mr. Skovgaard voting No.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on \$699. APPROVED with 16 Yes, 14 No, and 8 Abstentions. Mrs. Maihock is an Abstention.

MR. DONAHUE Moved for approval of the Consent Agenda, Items #2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. BOCCUZZI said that on Item #5 for Public Works Vehicle Repairs, the Committee had asked that they be given a list of the vehicles bought during the past several years, and since it has not been received yet, he is requesting the Chair to request of Mr. O'Brien that Mr. Canavan produce that list for the Public Works Committee.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said the next Committee is Legislative and Rules and asked Mr. Skovgaard to proceed.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

MR. SKOVGAARD said L&R met on March 26, 1984 at 7:30 P.M. in the Public Works Conference Room. Present were Reps. Skovgaard, Morris, Dudley, Maihock, Terrence Martin, Nakian, Powers and Zelinski; also Wider, David Martin, as well as Mayor Serrani, Bill Hennessey, Greg Morrissey of the OTB, Bill Drew of AMTOT Systems, and Robin Topping of Advocate.

Mr. Skovgaard Moved Items 3 and 5 to the Consent Agenda.

Item 1 concerning proposed changes to the Rules of Order was voted 7-0 by the Committee to withdraw from the agenda in consideration of the ^{stated} willingness of the Board Leadership to implement such a program, and he so Moved. Seconded.

(1) PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE BOARD'S RULES OF ORDER:

Following addition to be placed between the Section on Committees and the Section on Regular Order of Business. Tentative title of this section is: Legislation Tracking System.

The Board shall develop and maintain an adequate and efficient tracking system of the current status of all proposed ordinances and resolutions, and shall so inform members of the Board of said status by means of the issuance of a monthly report. Such a report should enable members to better identify the stages in the policy-making process at which important and critical pieces of legislation can be located for purposes of retrieval and reference.

Submitted by Reps. Scott Morris, Maria Nakian, David Martin and Cadie Vos 1/17/84. Held in Committee 2/6/84 and 3/12/84.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote on withdrawing Item #1 under L&R. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. SKOVGAARD said the vote of the Committee was 7-0-1 to recommend Final Adoption of the ordinance and attached contract as amended, copies of which were sent to all Board members the day after the Committee meeting, and he so Moved. Seconded.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved that the item be returned to committee. Seconded.

MRS. GUROIAN asked why Mr. Boccuzzi wanted to return to committee.

MR. BOCCUZZI feels that there are several things to have to be straightened out and some of the wording gone over. He feels the only way to do this properly is to have Corporation Counsel sit down with the Committee and go over the several items in question.

MRS. MAIHOCK asked how the members will know what these questions are.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BOCCUZZI said Mr. Skovgaard would call a Committee meeting and any Board members who wish to do so, can attend.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote on returning the item to committee. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY by voice vote.

- (2) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE PLACING THE POSITION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR OUTSIDE OF THE CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE SYSTEM. Submitted by Mayor Serrani 12/14/83. (Ordinance submitted by Mayor 1/5/84. Held in Committee 1/9/84. Approved for publication 2/6/84. Held in Committee 3/12/84.

Above also referred to Housing and Community Development Committee.

RETURNED TO COMMITTEE.

- (3) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE SEC. 6-16 OF CODE OF ORDINANCES REGARDING TAX ABATEMENT COMMITTEE requesting that Tax Collector be made a permanent and voting member of the Committee. Submitted by Mayor Serrani 1/9/84. Held in Steering 1/23/84. Approved for publication 3/12/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (4) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE PLACING THE THREE (3) NEW POSITIONS OF ASSISTANT CORPORATION COUNSELS OUTSIDE OF CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE SYSTEM. Submitted by Corp. Counsel Designate Jay Sandak 2/10/84. Amended and approved for publication 3/12/84.

MR. SKOVGAARD said the Committee voted 5-0 to recommend adoption and he so Moved. Seconded.

MR. ZELINSKI said he wished to amend the ordinance. Item VI, under Costs and Expenses, contract for Senior Assistant Corporation Counsel, delete "Sub-section a, b, c, and e", dealing with the professional dues and Bar Association fees, Occupational Taxes, and any tuition and other expenses for seminars.

The amounts of money involved are not that great, but the principle involved is whether the City should be underwriting the membership fees for professional City employees. He thinks not. There are other professional people both in the City and private industry, and they pay their own dues, etc.

MRS. SANTY agrees totally with Mr. Zelinski, and in addition, when applying for a job, these are usually prerequisites for consideration for employment, whether with the City or private industry. These are new positions and the Board should take advantage of that fact and try to save money.

MR. SKOVGAARD said after last month's meeting when these same questions came up, he did some homework and got the costs attributable to each expense, and he read them off. Mr. Sandak told them in Committee that these expenses are very important to the ongoing practice of law and tend to allow a person to grow and mature in the practice to be exposed to fresh ideas to new ways of thinking to new thoughts. There is no requirement to be a part of these organizations.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. SKOVGAARD went on to say that the current Law Department attorneys have these expenses paid for by the City, and he urged this policy be continued.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on Mr. Zelinski's amendment. DEFEATED with 12 Yes, 23 No, 1 Abstention, and 3 Non-Voting.

MR. BLUM asked how does the Corporation Counsel plan to hire these new Assistant Corporation Counsels?

MR. SKOVGAARD said he does not have an answer, and perhaps some other Committee member might know.

MR. BOCCUZZI recalled he heard Mr. Sandak say they would post the positions and he would go over the resumes, and would interview the applicants, decide which ones qualify, and then he would hire them.

MR. BLUM said the Personnel Department should be used at least for the preliminary search and assistance in hiring all personnel.

MR. BOCCUZZI said he neglected to say that Mr. Sandak was going to use the Personnel Department.

MR. BLUM said it does not state that in the ordinance and it should.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote on Item #4 for the new positions in the Law Department. Ordinance ADOPTED by a vote of 32 Yes and 3 Abstentions.

- (5) REQUEST FOR A WAIVER AND REFUND OF A BUILDING PERMIT FEE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$960 PAID ON SEPT. 15, 1983 BY BERNARDINE SISTERS, A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION, FOR NEW ADDITION TO EXISTING FACILITIES AT 159 SKY MEADOW DRIVE. Requested by Sister M. Fabine and the Bernardine Sisters, OSF, 159 Sky Meadow Drive, Stamford 06903. Held in Committee 3/12/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (6) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE PERMITTING OFF-TRACK BETTING IN STAMFORD - per letter from A. W. Oppenheimer, Exec. Dir., Dept. of Revenue Services, State of Connecticut 2/29/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. SKOVGAARD said the Committee voted 7-0 to Hold in Committee pending receipt of further information, and proposed ordinance or resolution from Law Department.

- (7) REQUEST TO HAVE THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO APPOINT AN HISTORIC DISTRICT STUDY COMMITTEE, submitted by Sidney Chomar, 424 Old Long Ridge Rd., resident of Long Ridge Village.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. SKOVGAARD said the Committee voted 7-0 to Hold in Committee pending receipt of proposed ordinance or resolution from Law Department.

MR. SKOVGAARD Moved the Consent Agenda. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

MR. DUDLEY said the Committee met on Wednesday, March 28, 1984 at 8:00 P.M. in the Republican Caucus Room. Present were Reps. Terrence Martin, Morris, Burke and Hogan. Reps. Blum and Jachimczyk were excused. Also present was Wm. Hennessey of the Law Dept. He thanks everybody who braved the rain, snow and sleet to attend the meeting.

The first item is being Held in Committee as no new information was available. The second item was also Held in Committee as many language problems, and other questions arose. Legal opinion was requested on the contract itself.

Item 3 was also Held in Committee pending further clarification. It was, however, a productive meeting.

- (1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORD. #510. Submitted by Reps. DeLuca, Boccuzzi, M. and A. Perillo 11/30/83. Held in Committee 12/13/83, 2/6/84 and 3/12/84. Approved for publication 1/9/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (2) EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT FOR ZONING ANALYST - covering period of 4/21/84 to 4/20/85; increase salary from \$30,000 to \$32,500 starting 7/1/84. Contract received Mayor's approval. Submitted by Martin P. Levine, Chairman, Zoning Board 1/9/84. Returned to Committee 2/6 and 3/12/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (3) APPROVAL OF INCLUDING SHAPE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH DEPT. AS A CIVIL SERVICE POSITION. Requested by Health Dir. Ralph Gofstein. Established by Personnel Commission 12/15/83. Approved by Mayor.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

- (1) REFERRAL OF ZONING BOARD'S ACTION APPROVING APPL. 83-046 - M. DEAN MONTGOMERY, AGENT - REGARDING CHANGE OF ZONE FROM R-10 to RM-1 FOR 2.1 ACRES ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF COLONIAL ROAD, pursuant to Section 552.2 of Charter. Received from Zoning Board 3/2/84.

See Pages 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of these Minutes, as this item considered out of order on the Agenda, immediately before Appointments Committee. The Zoning Board's decision was over-turned by a vote of 36 opposed to the Motion to approve and 3 Abstentions.

PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

MR. TARANTO said the Public Works Committee met Wednesday, March 28, 1984. Present were Reps. Malloy, Zelinski, Boccuzzi, and Taranto. Items 1, 2, and 3 were Held in Committee for one month.

- (1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING ORD. #385 WHICH AMENDED SEC. 8-14(d) (4) OF CODE OF ORDINANCES - "SANITARY LANDFILL OPERATIONS: FEES." - submitted by Rep. Betty Conti 1/17/84. Held in Committee 2/6/84 and 3/12/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (2) PROPOSED RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION OF BELLTOWN SCHOOL FROM BOARD OF EDUCATION TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF ORD. 144 OF CODE OF ORDINANCES OF STAMFORD. Submitted by Allen Grafton, Asst. to Supt. of Board of Education 2/24/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (3) PROPOSED RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION OF BURDICK SCHOOL FROM BOARD OF EDUCATION TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF ORD. 144 OF CODE OF ORDINANCES OF STAMFORD. Submitted by Allen Grafton, Asst. to Supt. of Board of Education 2/24/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MS. RINALDI said the Committee did not meet so the item is being held for one month.

- (1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE, AMENDMENT TO ORD. #206 REGARDING FIRE ALARM SYSTEM - submitted by H. C. Oefinger, Communications Dir., 1/18/84. Held in Committee 3/12/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

MR. DeLUCA said the Committee met on Monday, March 26, 1984 at 7:30 P.M. in the Republican Caucus Room. Present were Reps. Owens, Malloy, Skovgaard, who left early to Chair his own Committee meeting, and DeLuca; Police Chief Considine, John Thompson of Traffic Dept., and Recreation Supt. Giordano. The Committee voted 4 in favor, none opposed to place Items 1, 2, 3, and 4 on the Consent Agenda.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

- (1) REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A BANNER ON BEDFORD STREET FROM MAY 7th THROUGH MAY 14th PUBLICIZING THE SPECIAL OLYMPICS. Requested by Sue DePreta, Co-Chairperson, Stamford Special Olympics, 18 Island Heights Circle, Stamford 06902 - 3/6/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (2) REQUEST FOR APPROVAL FOR CROP WALK TO BE HELD ON SUNDAY, MAY 20, 1984. Sponsored by the Council of Churches and Synagogues, 628 Main St., Stamford 06901. Requested by Margaret Halleck, Arrangement Committee 2/16/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (3) REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HANG BANNERS OF BEDFORD STREET AND SUMMER STREET FOR A PERIOD OF TWO WEEKS STARTING JUNE 7, 1984 (OR NEAR TO THAT DATE AS POSSIBLE) TO PUBLICIZE THE STAMFORD FESTIVAL OF THE ARTS. Requested by Charles A. Ukkerd, Pres., Stamford Festival of the Arts, 16 Third St., Stamford 06905 2/15/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (4) REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HOLD BICYCLE RACES ON JUNE 24, 1984, FROM 12:00 P.M. to 3:00 P.M. FOR THE SOUTH END COMMUNITY. Requested by Bill Macklin, Director, South End Community Center, 137 Henry St., Stamford 06902 3/1/84.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

MR. DeLUCA said that Item #5 requires no approval by the Board of Representatives. It just requires approval by the Parks Dept.

- (5) REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO USE THE LOOP DRIVE AT COVE ISLAND PARK FROM 8:30 A.M. to 12:00 NOON, SATURDAY, MAY 19, 1984. EVENT WILL BE THE STAMFORD WALK OF AGES. Requested by Harry Selin, Chmn., Commission on Aging 3/15/84.

REMOVED FROM AGENDA; DOES NOT REQUIRE BOARD ACTION.

- (6) STUDY OF COMPREHENSIVE AND RESPONSIVE PROCEDURES FOR PLANNING AND APPROVAL OF SPECIAL EVENTS IN THE CITY. Submitted by Rep. DeLuca 3/19.

MR. DeLUCA said he has a long-winded report, but he will just touch on the highlights of it as time is of the essence. Chief Considine assured them that the primary purpose of coming out with a new form for approval by various organizations wishing to hold a parade or rally, etc., was not to discourage anyone from holding these events but just to make this Board aware of the costs involved by the different Departments. In all cases, this Board would have the final decision as far as waiving the costs is concerned.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DeLUCA said a new form was revised by John Thompson of Traffic, copies of which have been put on the desks tonight. This item will go on next month's agenda for approval, after Board members have a chance to examine the revised form.

MR. DAVID MARTIN asked if there were a time limit for the various departments to respond to this request.

MR. DeLUCA it would depend on the specific request; for instance, a Memorial Day parade request would take more time than a simple banner request. This is a report on what has transpired thus far, and any changes can be brought up before it receives final Board approval.

MR. DeLUCA Moved for approval of the Consent Agenda, Items #1, 2, 3, and 4. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY by voice vote.

REQUEST FOR SUSPENSION OF RULES FOR ITEM NOT ON AGENDA:

MR. DeLUCA Moved for Suspension of Rules to consider a new item. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, voice vote.

- (7) REQUEST BY NAACP YOUTH COUNCIL TO HANG BANNER ACROSS BEDFORD AND SUMMER STREETS FOR PERIOD APRIL 7th to MAY 5th, ADVERTISING THE STAMFORD TEEN-AGE PAGEANT TO BE HELD ON MAY 12, 1984.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote on this request. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, voice vote.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

MRS. NAKIAN said her Committee met this evening, April 2, 1984 at 6:45 P.M. Present were Reps. Powers, Nakian, and McGrath.

- (1) MATTER OF BI-MONTHLY REPORT FROM SMITH HOUSE SNF. REPORT IS REQUIRED PER THE APPROVAL OF THE CONSOLIDATION OF FUNDS AT THE MONTHLY MEETING OF THE 17th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES ON OCT. 11, 1983. Referred for further examination at 1/9/84 Board meeting. Reports made 2/6 and 3/12.

MRS. NAKIAN said she has a short report up-date on the Smith House Renovation Project. Friday, March 30th, Reps. Powers and Nakian met at the Smith House with Mr. DeFino and other administrators there to get an up-date on the project, and at that time found out there were three contractors who bid on the project and the lowest bid came in \$250,000 above the amount of money that has been appropriated. Now, they have to go to the State to get a Certificate of Need, which can take anywhere from two weeks to who knows how long. They will also have to come to the City for more money. They have already applied to the State for the Certificate, and they are submitting a request to the Mayor for the additional funds. This item will remain on our agenda for future follow-up and reports.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE (continued)

- (2) INQUIRY INTO PETITION SENT BY 46 MEMBERS OF NURSING STAFF OF SMITH HOUSE SNF RE DIRECTOR OF NURSING AT THAT FACILITY - submitted by Rep. Maria Nakian 2/10/84.

MRS. NAKIAN said the meeting was cancelled because of the bad weather and will be re-scheduled. Item is in Committee, therefore.

HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - Lathon Wider, Chairman

MR. WIDER said the meeting had to be cancelled due to the inclement weather, so that Items 1 and 2 are Held in Committee.

- (1) DISCUSSION CONCERNING A REQUEST FROM COUNCIL OF CHURCHES AND SYNAGOGUES TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR INSTALLATION OF FOUR SHOWERS AT EMERGENCY SHELTER FOR THE HOMELESS AT 66 WEST MAIN STREET - submitted by Robert Johnson, Acting Director, Stamford Community Development Program 3/9/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (2) DISCUSSION CONCERNING THE STATUS OF CONTRACTUAL NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF STAMFORD CONCERNING PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS TO THE CHESTER A. ADDISON CENTER. Submitted by Robert Johnson, Acting Dir., SCDP 3/9/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

- (1) PROPOSED RESOLUTION CONCERNING APPROVAL OF CONTRACT FOR SALE OF LAND IN THE SOUTHEAST QUADRANT (EXTENDED) URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT FOR PRIVATE REDEVELOPMENT TO STAMFORD CENTER FOR THE ARTS (SLIVER PARCEL WS 13A). Submitted by J.P. Condlin, Exec. Dir., Urban Redevelopment Commission.

MR. MALLOZZI said the Committee met on Wednesday, March 28, 1984, but because of the weather only Dennis White and John Mallozzi were present. Jack Condlin and Irv Steinberg from URC were present. He Moved for approval of this resolution. Seconded.

MRS. CONTI asked the size of this sliver of land.

MR. MALLOZZI said it is 200 sq. ft., and he said the building where the theatre is located right now, already rests on this piece of property. There already was a question there of their ownership. When Tresser Blvd. was widened, the land taken included this 200 sq. ft. which was not used for the road, and the building was already there. When the Center for the Arts went to the bank for financing of their project, they did not have clear title to the property as far as the 200 sq. ft. was concerned.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on approval of the Resolution, using the machine. APPROVED with 31 Yes, 2 No, and 6 Non-Voting.

- (2) PRESENTATION BY CANTERBURY GREEN ON THEIR PROJECT. Submitted by John Mallozzi, Chairman, Urban Renewal Committee 3/15/84.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. MALLOZZI said Item 2 is being Held in Committee for the next meeting.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MRS. MAIHOCK said there is no report.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

- (1) REVIEW OF THE CURRENT STATUS OF ADMINISTRATION OF PARKING FINES IN STAMFORD. Submitted by Rep. David R. Martin 1/11/84. Held in Steering 1/23 and 2/15/84.

MR.. DAVID MARTIN said the Committee met on Monday, March 26th. Present were Reps. Maihock, Vos and David Martin. Item 2 was discussed first with Chief Considine and Larry Cece. Security was discussed and the Chief said they would do what they could but did not commit the Police Department to specifics. Mr. Ford said security at the new train station will be extremely high.

MRS. MAIHOCK said Hawley Oefinger told her this evening that he has been trying to get a police call box installed at the RR Station, but has been unable to find a permanent spot to install one with the constant construction going on and everything being moved about.

- (2) MATTER OF PRESENT AND FUTURE SECURITY MEASURES AT RAILROAD STATION. Submitted by Rep. Audrey Maihock 2/10/84. Held in Steering 2/15/84.

MR. DUDLEY asked when Chief Considine advised there were not enough police available for foot patrol, did this include the consensus of the additional police that may be coming on the force.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said their concern was to have car patrolmen get out of their cars and walk about the station from time to time. The Chief said they are constantly being called to emergency calls and would lose valuable time in answering those emergencies if they were away from their patrol cars and possibly in a crowd of commuters, so it would hard for them to plan to foot patrol on a regular or scheduled basis. He did not address the situation of hiring more police in the future.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. WIDER said police are needed there 24 hours a day, round the clock, not just at night and in the morning. In the past, when they have been requested, they are there three weeks and then are taken away. Foot patrols should be all the time. They just got eight new police.

MR. LYONS said the question came up at one time of opening a police sub-station there at the new station when it is built, and this might be a solution.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said in discussing Item #1 re parking fine processing, that situation is substantially the same as described in Mr. Ford's memo of 2/9/84 to this Board. There are a variety of reasons that caused those problems. The type of errors that occurred in that citation processing do no one in government much good as the public tends to lose faith in our ability to administrate correctly and properly.

MR. BLUM said he would like to see something done about parking in front of this building since he was ticketed four times outside here on Federal Street where he had to appear.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said they are addressing that problem by getting a new municipal office building. There is no parking.

SPECIAL COMMITTEESHOUSE COMMITTEE

MR. RYBNICK said he has no report.

CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

MRS. McINERNEY said the Committee met on Tuesday, March 27th, at 7:30 P.M. Present were Reps. Vos, Burke, Nakian and Livingston and McInerney. Also present was Asst. Corp. Counsel Barry Boodman.

- (1) CODIFICATION AND INDEXING OF CITY'S CHARTER. Submitted by Rep. McInerney 3/9/84.

MRS. McINERNEY said the Committee voted unanimously to approve this item, and carry out the action after the April 10, 1984 Referendum Election. On the desks is a resolution authorizing action on this and further authorizing the Mayor to carry out the purposes, if necessary, of codification. The reason for this is that there might be a technical problem with the outcome of the April 10th election, concerning the effective dates of any of the questions that might pass. She Moved for approval. Seconded.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. McINERNEY said the other item that is not on the agenda, however is in committee, concerning the ordinances. She said in 1979 action was taken to repeal approximately 250 or less ordinances which were determined to be obsolete or unnecessary, redundant or superseded by the State Statutes. Unfortunately, after the vote of this Board in 1979, final action was not taken in accordance with Charter Section 204.1d. The Committee will review the previous action done by the Law Dept., to consider those 250 ordinances for possible deletion by this Board prior to any codification and indexing of the Code of Ordinances. Work will be started, section by section, but it will take some time.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said they have published the ad for a researcher.

PETITIONS - None.

RESOLUTIONS

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said there is a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution.

MS. SUMMERVILLE Moved to Suspend the Rules to consider this Resolution, Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY by voice vote.

- (1) PROPOSED SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION SUPPORTING ELIMINATION OF TOLLS ON THE CONNECTICUT TURNPIKE I-95 - submitted by Reps. Goldstein, Summerville, and Santy.

MS. SUMMERVILLE Moved for approval. Seconded. CARRIED with three No votes: Reps. Conti, Maihock and Blum.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES

March 12, 1984 Regular Board Meeting.

Moved and Seconded.

MR. TERRENCE MARTIN said on Page 20, it was not he but Rep. David Martin who spoke. This is the first time he is addressing the Board officially.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on acceptance of the minutes as corrected by Mr. Terrence Martin. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, voice vote.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS and INDIVIDUALS

None.

NEW BUSINESS

None.

OLD BUSINESS

None.

ADJOURNMENT

MR. LYONS Moved for Adjournment. Seconded. There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:07 P.M.

By Helen M. McEvoy
Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Assistant
(and Recording Secretary)

(Meeting proceedings taped by H. McEvoy)
(Computer by A. Kachaluba SG:AK:HMM)
Encls.

APPROVED:

Sandra Goldstein
Sandra Goldstein, President
18th Board of Representatives