

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. CONTI: (continuing) I don't know how many of you are old enough or have been around long enough to remember the flood of 1955. I remember that area very well. People were going out of there in rowboats. That bridge needs to be replaced. It is a hazard. It is already closed to traffic, is creating great problems for many people; it's the direct access route across town. The only access route directly across town, and it's a great inconvenience because that bridge is going to stay closed until it's replaced.

I think that we should just go ahead. They will take care of the condemnation procedures. There's some misunderstanding here with regard to the land being taken from the Housing Authority. It is not actually being taken. It is being set aside for future use in the event they wish to widen that bridge maybe 40 years or a 100 years from now. The Housing Authority in the interim, it may never be widened, but in the interim, the Housing Authority will have the full use of that land. It's only if, long after all of us are dead and gone, 40 years, a hundred years from now, some other administration may decide to widen that bridge, but in the meantime, the Housing Authority will have the full use of that land. I think it's foolish. This has been before us for three or four months. There's an emergency situation there. We have seen how a bridge can fall when we saw the Mianus River bridge collapse. Whoever thought that that would happen. Don't forget, you have two schools there. You have an elementary school and you have a junior high school. Those children walk over that bridge every day. Do you want to be responsible for some of those children being killed if that bridge collapses? I don't. I think we should appropriate the money and get on with it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you.

MR. BURKE: I think the record somewhere along the line should be clear that if this Board decides in it's wisdom, to send this back to Committee, they are not voting against a bridge. They are not saying that the bridge that is there is safe. What we're trying to say is the people within the Public Works Department apparently can't even agree amongst themselves whether it should be or should not be repaired or replaced.

Perhaps, the condemnation proceeding are, as Mrs. Conti said, but let's stop playing semantic ping pong here. There are not aware of the fact and I think until all the acts are gotten together, that this thing should be put on hold. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Burke.

MR. FRANCHINA: Yes. I can't see sending this back to Committee for several reasons, and one is an emergency reason. There is a flooding problem that exists there because of the design of the bridge; the arches being old and archaic has caused the rotting of the bridge.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Just one moment, Mr. Franchina. I think this is our final meeting and Mr. Wiederlight is leaving and I think it's appropriate at this time to say, God bless you, Mike; it's been great and we all enjoyed you. Mr. Wiederlight has left the meeting.

Mr. Franchina, I'm sorry to interrupt you.

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. FRANCHINA: As I was saying, if those arches were taken care of that support the bridge, the only way they are going to be taken care of not to be repaired but to be replaced with new design. I think if the money has already been appropriated, we should go ahead and vote for it. I would vote against returning it to Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Franchina.

MRS. HAWE: Thank you. I realize that a lot of the members have concerns about this because there have been things that have been brought up since last week. I so want to say that what Mrs. Conti said is, in affect, true. No land has been taken from the senior citizens' project. The plan is in the eventuality of 30, 40 years from now, if that bridge should be widened, at that point, perhaps some land will be taken. But, as of now, that is not the case. I don't see any real problem with the next Board looking at this and answering these questions, but I think what is going to happen is that this thing has to be replaced. There's a flooding situation because of the design of this bridge; because of the way the arches are built. When there's a large flow of water, things get caught there. It acts like a dam and things just back up as we've seen several times in the past.

One big concern of the Committee's was the allegation that the Army Corps of Engineers was going to pay for this or could possibly pay for this, and we have a detailed explanation of the history of this and the history of the Army Corps of Engineers participation in this which I'm not going to go into because in my notes it is like three pages going back to 1955, and the Corps involvement in it, but it appears that if we waited for the Corps of Engineers to possibly contribute some money to this which even isn't probable because the ratio of the federal to local match in this will probably be reduced over the years. The earliest that we would possibly be looking at is probably 1986, and I don't really think that that's a viable alternative. I'm still going to vote not to send this back to Committee and to approve this because I think it's a situation that is an emergency and is dangerous and I don't think the problems with the senior housing is really something that is of major concern. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Hawe.

MRS. PERILLO: Move the question, please.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made and seconded to move the question. All in favor of moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? How many no votes? 1 no vote. We're going to move the question. The question on the Floor is returning this item to Committee. Just a simple majority is all that is necessary. If you vote yes, you want to return it to Committee. If you vote no, you don't want to return it to Committee. Has everyone voted? The motion to return to Committee has PASSED 22 affirmative, 12 negative, 0 abstaining and 0 not-voting. Mrs. Hawe, that item is referred back to Committee.

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

(27) \$ 45,268.00 - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET - ASSESSOR'S OFFICE
 (Transfer) AND LUIS-MAPPING PROJECT - to add to the existing LUIS-
 PLUS \$ 44,732.00 MAPPING PROJECT the sum of \$90,000 to be financed as follows.
 Addtl. Board of Finance approved 9/15/83. Held in Committee
 Total \$ 90,000.00 10/11/83.

Transfer from:

#250.801 Grand List Evaluation (Assessor's Office) \$45,268.
 (and close-out Project #250.801)

Transfer to:

#135.998 Luis-Mapping Project \$45,268.

PLUS Additional appropriation (by taxation funding)

#135.998 Luis-Mapping Project 44,732.

Total....\$90,000.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The only problem with this, Mrs. Hawe, before you go on, we have two items. The transfer only requires a majority vote and an additional appropriation is two-thirds. You're going to have to divide that item in two.

MRS. HAWE: Should I just speak about it?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Start with the transfer.

MRS. HAWE: First we will take the transfer. That's the transfer from #250.801 Grand List Evaluation (Assessor's Office) transfer to item #135.998 Luis-Mapping Project. This transfer and the subsequent appropriation which would total \$90,000 would automate all of the information contained on the City's assessment cards using Luis-Mapping technology. In addition to the automation of the assessment changes and retrieval of the assessment information, cross-referencing of key information on assessor and related files will provide information critical to successful defense against assessment legal challenges. As we know, there's quite a few challenges towards City assessments of last year.

Fiscal voted 6 in favor and 1 opposed and I so move. This is the transfer we're talking about.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to that motion? Seconded. Secondary Committee, E, W, & G, Ms. Rinaldi. We're only addressing the transfer part; \$45,268.00, Ms. Rinaldi.

MS. RINALDI: We concur.

PRESIDENT SANTY: E, W, & G concurs. Any speakers? No further speakers, we'll move right to...

MR. BONNER: Inasmuch as this equipment involves a company that I'm concerned with, I would like to abstain from both votes. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Bonner will abstain from this vote at this time. We'll move right to a machine vote on the approval of a transfer of \$45,268.00 Capital project Luis-Mapping project. A majority is all that's necessary. Has everyone voted?

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: (continuing) The motion PASSED 29 affirmative, 1 negative, 1 abstaining and 3 not-voting.

MRS. HAWE: I make a motion to approve \$44,732.00, an additional appropriation into Code 135.998 Luis-Mapping Project.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to that motion? Seconded. Secondary Committee, Ms. Rinaldi.

MS. RINALDI: We also concur.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The Secondary Committee, E, W, and G concurs. Any speakers?

MRS. CONTI: Thank you, Madam President. There are many good features in this program. One in particular is that we would be able to have the minutest detail of the assessments of large corporations. The very type of people who are prone to go to overturn their assessment in the courts. The only thing, I see a very ominous picture here for the one-family homeowner. There's this talk of keeping demographics on the various one-family homes; who is being overtaxed; where is the burden the heaviest and I just foresee here a long-term project of shifting the burden from one group of taxpayers to another constantly. There was also talk of maybe reassessing every year instead of every ten years, or possibly reassessing every five years instead of every ten years.

I just see something very ominous here in keeping such fine detail on the one-family homeowner. As far as the commercial property and maybe the large income property, and things of that nature, the type of people that are prone to try to overturn assessments, but I don't think it's necessary to keep that kind of detailed information on one-family homeowners, and I think it's a dangerous invasion of privacy. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Conti.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Move the question.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made and seconded to move the question. All in favor of moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? The no votes please raise their hands. Mr. Zelinski and Mrs. Conti. We're going to move the question. The question is on \$44,732.00 additional appropriation Luis-Mapping Project. Please use your machine. It's an additional appropriation and 24 votes are required. Has everyone voted? The motion PASSED 29 affirmative, 1 negative, 3 abstaining and 1 not-voting. The motion PASSED.

(28) \$ 6,650.00 - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET #687 DIVISION STREET TOT LOT - Board of Finance approved 4/21/83. Returned to Committee 7/11, 8/15, 9/14, and 10/11/83.

Above also referred to PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE.

MRS. HAWE: This has been a long-standing problem. Many people in the Planning office, the Grants office and people on our Board have been working for a long time to try to come up with a resolution to this, and the Committee has some recommendations that perhaps won't be the answers that all of us wanted, but, at least it's an effort at solving this problem which has really lingered on and has been almost impossible to untangle this situation.

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. HAWE: (continuing) There appears to be three separate problems involved here, and I'll address them one at a time. The first is the request for \$6,650.00 and this is to pay back Connecticut Newspapers for part of their purchase price of 9 Division Street. There can be arguments as to whether it's right that we have to pay them, and arguments that we have heard for years on this Board. The only thing I'm going to say is that the Corporation Counsel feels that we owe them this money legally and probably would have to pay them anyway after a court fight. Fiscal voted 6 in favor and 1 opposed to approve this money.

Now if I can just go on a little bit. The second part of this problem is where to put the Tot lot. 9 Division Street, that property does not seem to be satisfactory to anyone involved in this situation. The Planning Department and the Grants Department and various people in searching out the neighborhoods for a possible site, really didn't find much. They found one possibility and that is at the lower end of Roger Smith Park which is at the end of West Park Place. The suggestion was to put the playground equipment there in the existing park. That's on this side of Washington Boulevard behind Stamford Manor. It's a possibility. I know it's not something that people are thrilled about but I think it's something that could be pursued by the Planning Department and by the new Administration.

And the third issue that's involved here is the fact that the State and the Federal Government are holding up money for land acquisition that we have applied for because we haven't resolved this problem. They say that we are not going to give you, why should we give you money for something else when you can even get this little Tot lot thing off the ground.

The solution to this seems to be perhaps this, that the City take the Division Street property and we not dedicate it as a park because once you dedicate land as a park, you really lock it in forever as a park, but we put a plaque on this land and we claim it as open space. This would appear to satisfy the State and the Federal Government, and if necessary later, we could transfer this open space designation to another location. The Committee in its vote to approved this money also voted to have this Board send a letter to the Mayor-elect stating that it is a recommendation of this Board that the Mayor request funds from the Public Works Department; that Public Works should request these funds to enable the clean up of this 9 Division Street property, and perhaps a fencing in of this area. Designated as open space, this would make the State government happy. Hopefully, they would consider any new grants we have in before them, and pursue another place to put the Tot lot.

To sum up, Fiscal voted 6 in favor and 1 opposed to approve this money for repayment to Connecticut Newspapers, and to send a letter to the new Mayor asking him through Public Works to request money for a clean up of the 9 Division Street property.

PRESIDENT SANTY:Committee concurs.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: First I would like to say, thanks to Marie Hawe especially for all the trying times that she tried to get all the parties together, along with some of the members of her Committee. Those of you know that you've been very helpful on this particular item. I, too, would like to say thanks to you. Those of you who never saw it fit to address it, that's my loss and your gain, but, Marie, I am really grateful for what you have tried to do. I know that this has been like a nightmare to you as Co-Chairman. I would like to express

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MS. SUMMERVILLE: (continuing) if I might, Madam Chairman, to this whole Body that she has really been very helpful everytime I call, and she has been very courteous and tried to work it out.

I am not happy to see tonight that this is your last report for this particular group. I would have loved to see you come back on the 18th Board of Representatives, and I would have left it on the Agenda. I do think that you have tried to do your best and by the report that you have given, and the results that the district will be receiving from your help and some of the members of the Committee, the 6th District would like to thank you for trying to solve the problem and coming to the conclusion that you have. We appreciate the fact that you are going to send a letter to the Mayor along with your Committee's grace to try to do the things you have said. I stand here for the 6th District and say thank you very much and we will keep in touch with you. If we ever do get a park, I think the plaque should say something about you. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Ms. Summerville.

MR. DUDLEY: Thank you, Madam President. I have to agree with everything that Ms. Summerville just said.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Please give Mr. Dudley your attention. For two years we didn't say too many nice things about each other. Let's listen to all these nice things.

MR. DUDLEY: I have to agree with everything that Rep. Summerville said. It's been almost as long as the telephones. I don't know at this point, if we'll ever see the Tot lot. It's been said before and I'm beginning to think that maybe they should call it a Senior Citizens lot. It may sound funny but to the people of the District, it's not very funny at all. They have been trying very hard to get this Tot lot. There's been a number of circumstances which I haven't agreed with. On principal, I will not vote in favor of this tonight. I understand the money does have to be paid back. I appreciate the efforts that were put in by Fiscal and Marie. Again, hopefully, with the new administration, we will be able to get something done and I appreciate everybody's support. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Dudley. Any further speakers. We'll move right to a machine vote on the approval of \$6,650.00 amendment to the Capital projects budget, Division Street Tot lot. Please use your machine. Has everyone voted? The motion PASSED 26 affirmative, 4 negative, 0 abstaining and 4 not-voting.

MRS. HAWE: I'd like to move the following items on the Consent Agenda. Item #5, \$1,350.00 for the Commission on Aging, Code 114.4201 Program services. Item #6, \$1,150.00 Commission on Aging, Code 114.2650 New equipment. Item #7, \$25.00 for the Health Department, Code 571.4292 SHAPE Health Fair. Item #10, \$600.00 Mayor's Office, Code 201.2750 gasoline. Item #11, \$2,560.00 Mayor's Office-Group 20.5609 Connecticut Conference of Municipalities (CCM).

PRESIDENT SANTY: May I just get a Secondary Committee, Mrs. Hawe? E, W, & G.

MS. RINALDI: We concur.

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: Concur on #11. Continue.

MRS. HAWE: Item #13, \$1,194.00 Recreation Department, Code 655.4183 Self-sustaining Programs, Miscellaneous activities. Item #14, \$840.00 Recreation Department, Code 655.4183 Self-sustaining Programs, Miscellaneous activities. Item #15, \$448.50, Recreation Department, Code 655.4180 Self-sustaining Programs, City Annual Tennis Tournament. Item #16, 789,583.00 Stamford Day Care Program, Codes 750 through 760.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Secondary Committee, Ms. Rinaldi.

MS. RINALDI: We concur.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Ms. Rinaldi. E, W, & G concurred.

MRS. HAWE: Item #21, \$74,000.00 Parks Department, amendment to Capital projects budget by adding a new project to be known as Code #610.458 Vehicle Replacement.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Parks and Rec, Secondary Committee.

MR. DeLuca: We concur.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca. Parks and Rec concurs.

MRS. HAWE: Item #23, \$31,000.00 Public Works Department, Code 343.5903 Bureau of Sanitation.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Bonner, Public Works Committee.

MR. BONNER: We concur on that. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The Public Works Committee concurs.

MRS. HAWE: And I so move.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion is made and seconded to move all the Consent items. All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? Anyone opposed on any item?

MRS. CONTI: Please count me as an abstention on #16.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Conti is abstaining on #16. Anyone else abstaining or voting no on any of the items? All passed unanimously.

MRS. HAWE: May I say something, Madam President?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Certainly, Mrs. Hawe.

MRS. HAWE: I would like to thank really personally, the members of the Committee who have really been fantastic over the last two years, and they are Co-Chairperson Hogan, and in alphabetical order Betty Conti, Joe Franchina, Sandy Goldstein, Jerry Livingston, Barbara McInerney, John Roos, and Gerry Rybnick. And, I would also like to mention several people who made a contribution to the Committee over the years. One of them is Mr. Donahue who filled in for Gerry Rybnick for month or two this spring during budget time. One is Burt Flounders who was a very important member while he was on this Board, and thirdly, my former Co-Chairperson Paul Esposito, and I'm going to miss you all a lot. Thank you.

FISCAL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. BOCCUZZI: Madam President, at this time, I would like to publicly and personally thank Mrs. Hawe and congratulate her on the job that she has done for the past two years. We've had a lot of Fiscal Committee Chairpeople and we've had a lot of good ones. I'm not trying to make anyone feel that they weren't appreciated. I just feel that Marie has done one yeoman job these past two years. This Board has been very critical of a lot of appropriations, and she always seems to have the answer. We don't necessarily have to agree with her, but I think you have to give credit where credit is due. Marie, congratulations and I'm sorry you won't be back ^{the} next Board and we appreciate what you have done.

MRS. HAWE: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I just said to Marie, don't cry until after we adjourn.

MRS. HAWE: Thank you all very much. I really love you all. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you so much, Marie and I think John said it so eloquently.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: John said it.

MRS. MAIHOCK: Yes, I would like to comment also. I believe we all owe Marie Hawe our special thanks for her conscientious work and responsible dedication. For as Chairman and Co-Chairman of the Fiscal Committee over the years, we were always certain to receive very comprehensive reports on Fiscal matters, and, Marie, we shall certainly miss you. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Maihock.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, Madam Chairman. I would just like to state that I think we are all going to miss Rep. Hawe because of her outstanding ball playing ability when we played the City. She was an asset to our team and she will be sorely missed.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Audrey Maihock

- (1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL CONCERNING COMMERCIAL TREE SPRAYERS TO BE LICENSED BY HEALTH DEPARTMENT FOR PROTECTION OF WATER SUPPLY. Submitted by Rep. Audrey Maihock 7/21/83. Held in Committee 8/1, 9/14/83. Approved for Publication 10/11/83.

Above also referred to LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE.

MRS. MAIHOCK: The proposed tree spraying ordinance was published in the Advocate on October 20, 1983. A Public Hearing as advertised in the Advocate on October 17, 1983, was held on Monday, October 24, 1983, at 8:00 p.m. in the Board of Reps meeting room. Present were all Environmental Protection Committee members; Marie Hawe, Dennis White, and Audrey Maihock, Chairman. Others present were Dr. Ralph Gofstein and Mr. Paul Mazik of the Health Department, and Dr. Sam Kahn of the Long Ridge Association, all of whom indicated their support for the ordinance. Supportive letters were received also from the Conservationists and Mrs. Victoria Wood. An Environmental Protection Committee meeting was held after the Public Hearing. The Committee voted unanimously for final adoption.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. MAIHOCK: (continuing) We feel this is a very important ordinance for control over possible pollution of our City's water supply.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Do you want to move for final adoption?

MRS. MAIHOCK: I do move for final adoption.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to that motion? Several seconds. Any discussion? No discussion. We will now move right to a machine vote on the final adoption proposed ordinance concerning commercial tree sprayers to be licensed by the Health Department for protection of water supply. 21 affirmative votes are necessary for adoption. Has everyone voted? 28 affirmative. The motion PASSED. 0 negative, 2 abstaining and 4 not-voting. I didn't ask for an L&R Committee report on that. Mr. Zelinski, after the fact, would you mind?

MR. ZELINSKI: We did not vote on that. I would have moved to waive it but it's over the hill.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Fine, it did pass anyway. Mrs. Maihock, that concludes your Committee report? Thank you, Mrs. Maihock.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein - NO REPORT

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Goldstein

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE - Chairman Robert "Gabe" DeLuca

MR. DeLUCA: The Parks and Recreation Committee met on Monday, November 14, 1983, at 7:30 p.m. in the Republican Caucus Room. Attendees were Committee members Owens, Rybnick, Gaipa and myself, Gabe DeLuca, Parks Superintendent Bob Cook, Reps. Gershman, Maihock, Zelinski, Tarzia, Millie Perillo, newly elected Rep. Vos, Dr. Kahn of the Long Ridge Association, Phil Ragozzino, Charles Pike, and Mike Zarkardi of the Stamford School System, Gerald Pia of the Board of Ed as well as Len Gambino of WSTC and Peter Healy of the Advocate.

- (1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL AND LEASE BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND HALLOWEEN YACHT CLUB. Current ten-year lease expires June 30, 1984. New lease for another 10-year period. Submitted by Mayor Clapes and Ed Condon 8/24/83. Held in Committee 9/14/83. New lease approved 10/11/83.

MR. DeLUCA: Our Committee voted 4 in favor and none opposed to waive publication of the ordinance and lease between the City and the Halloween Yacht Club and I so move.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made to waive publication of the proposed ordinance lease between the City of Stamford and the Halloween Yacht Club. Is there a second to that motion? Several seconds.

MRS. CONTI: I'm always opposed to waiving publication on anything because the public is not allowed input. I have a question on this. Why are we renewing this lease now when the existing lease runs through June of '84?

MR. DeLUCA: This was something done at the request of the Halloween Yacht Club and the City went along with this because they figured it was a good lease and to go along with increasing the lease to a 10-year period.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. DeLUCA: (continuing) It's been a lease that has been in existence for 57 years; it's something that we voted on unanimously at the last meeting, but through some technical error was created as an ordinance rather than just as a lease agreement and that's why it's before us this evening.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Does that answer your question, Mrs. Conti?

MRS. CONTI: Not really.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I think that's the only answer you're going to get.

MRS. SAXE: I, like Mrs. Conti, would like to know why you're waiving publication?

MR. DeLUCA: We feel that it's something that's been in existence for 57 years. The public is aware of this, and we don't feel that there is really a need. As I stated before, it was just through a technical error that it was even made up into an ordinance.

MRS. SAXE: Would you say that for 57 years that you've had a constant...

MR. DeLUCA: No, it's been renewed every 5 or 10 years. It's just up for renewal a year ahead of time.

MRS. SAXE: Were there not a lot of different things put into this lease that were not in the last lease?

MR. DeLUCA: Yes, there's been things put into this lease that are beneficial to the City; add no cost to the City, and as I stated before, the fact that we approved it at our last meeting, we felt, our Committee, that there wouldn't be a need to publish this at this time.

MRS. SAXE: I can't agree with that. I think it should be published.

MR. DeLUCA: It's your prerogative to vote no on it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: One other speaker.

MRS. GUROIAN: It isn't made clear to me. I know I'm not too swift tonight, but it isn't made clear to me since we have until June, 1984, why we have to waive publication and put this through in a hurry? Why can't we go through the proper procedures; have the public come in if they so choose and possibly have more explanation as to the ramifications of some of those changes in the lease?

PRESIDENT SANTY: You don't have to answer that, Mr. DeLuca, if you'd like. You've given your report, your Committee report and that's Mrs. Guroian's sentiments. Anything further, Mrs. Guroian?

MRS. GUROIAN: No, it was a rhetorical question.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I move the question.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made to move the question. Is there a second? Seconded. All in favor of moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? We're going to move the question and the question is on waiving publication of proposed ordinance lease between the City of Stamford and the Halloween Yacht Club.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: (continuing) If you are in favor of waiving publication, please vote yes. If you're against waiving publication, vote no. 27 votes are necessary to waive publication. Has everyone voted? The motion to waive publication has been DEFEATED 22 affirmative, 11 negative, 0 abstaining, and 1 not-voting. A firm 27 were needed to waive publication.

MR. BURKE: I may be unobtrusive but I, too, was at that meeting and my name was left out.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, will you include that? The Minutes will so state, Mr. Burke.

MR. DeLUCA: I move for publication of the ordinance and lease agreement between the City and the Halloween Yacht Club.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca has made a motion for publication. We just voted on waiving publication and we did not waive publication. Now the motion on the Floor is to publish the ordinance which is a proper motion. Is there a second to that motion? Seconded.

MRS. CONTI: Point of information. I thought everything had to end with this Board. Can we publish something? Doesn't it have to be resubmitted for the next Board?

PRESIDENT SANTY: It really has to be resubmitted, Mrs. Conti, to the next Board. The only thing that will carry over are the Charter Revision proposals that we have. That's the only mandate we have.

MRS. CONTI: Well, then, isn't this motion out-of-order?

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's properly before the Body. I'm not going to rule that out-of-order. It's not incumbent upon the 18th Board to grant our wishes for publication.

MR. DeLUCA: A point of information, please. If this Board would vote for publication this evening, why can't it be published before the 18th Board goes into effect which is December 1st? I would have to disagree with Rep. Conti.

MR. DONAHUE: I believe it's been the practice in past Boards, and this goes back even before I was on this Board, that all the items to be published at the last meeting were either taken off the Agenda by Steering or were not published by the Board on the last meeting because there is a question about publication by this Board and action by another Board. I would think that this is something that we would hold for the next Board and maybe at that time, the Board would consider waiving publication anyway. I think that it would be improper, at least, there could be a problem with our publishing it and another Board acting on it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Right. Mr. Donahue and Mr. Hogan are the Co-Parliamentarians; Assistant, and I would ask for your ruling on this but I would have to agree. It was left on at Steering with the assumption that there's a possibility of waiving the publication. Now that publication has not been waived, it should be resubmitted to the 18th Board for publication again, and that I would have to agree with Mr. Donahue, and Mr. Hogan?

MR. HOGAN: And that would be my ruling also.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: The Parliamentarians agree and the Chair would state, Mr. DeLuca, that that would have to be referred to the 18th Board.

MR. DeLUCA: So be it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will be resubmitting that to the 18th Board; on the next Steering Committee.

MR. DeLUCA: The Parks and Rec Committee will resubmit it. In other words, that is going to be holding true for every item that we held in Committee prior like on Fiscal also, right?

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's resubmitted for the Steering of the 18th Board. If the Steering Committee of the 18th Board chooses not to put it on the Agenda, fine, but we are getting many submissions for the 18th Board Steering since the 18th Board is not legally here yet, it's still going on the Steering Committee.

MR. DeLUCA: I'm requesting as a re-elected Representative, I plan on putting it back on Steering.

- (2) REQUEST FROM THE SALVATION ARMY FOR PERMISSION TO PUT THEIR CHRISTMAS KETTLES ON THE STREETS OF STAMFORD STARTING WITH THE WEEK OF NOV. 21st.
10/24/83 letter from Major Irving L. Cranford, 20 Beehler Street.

MR. DeLUCA: We voted 4 in favor and none opposed and I move for acceptance.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion is made to approve the request from the Salvation Army to put their Christmas kettles on Stamford streets during the week of November 21st. A motion has been made and seconded. Any discussion? All in favor of, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

- (3) REQUEST FROM THE LANDMARK ICE RINK FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A 30' X 4' canvas banner on Summer Street for two weeks commencing Dec. 11, 1983.
Banner to read "The Landmark Ice Rink, 327-Rink". Letter of 10/28/83 from John R. Harness, Manager, Landmark Ice Rink and Racquet Club.

MR. DeLUCA: In view of the fact that this is a commercial firm and our policy is to grant permission only to non-profit organizations, we voted 2 against and 2 abstentions for a denial. I make a move for acceptance of the request with the knowledge that a no vote means...it's a vote in favor of our Committee's report of denial.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You're going to put that in a positive motion bearing in mind that your Committee voted no.

MR. DeLUCA: I move for acceptance of the request.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to that motion. Seconded. We are now on #3 under Parks and Rec, request for the Landmark Ice Rink for permission to hang a 30' x 4' canvas banner on Summer Street. The motion was put positively as the policy of this Board bearing in mind that the Parks and Recreation Committee said no to this request. Mr. DeLuca, would you like to elaborate why the Committee stated no?

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. DeLUCA: Yes, because our practice is only to approve requests to hang banner or hold any functions in town to non-profit organizations. The Landmark Ice Rink, even though I received a phone call from one of the owners of the facility that they haven't been making a profit, that's not our problem. They are a commercial firm, and, therefore, we reject this request without prejudice based on our past policy.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca.

MR. CONTI: One question to Mr. DeLuca. Is there anything else that wants to use that space during that interim of time?

MR. DeLUCA: I haven't received any request from anybody.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Does that answer your question, Mr. Conti?

MR. CONTI: Yes.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Conti. No further speakers, we'll move right to a vote on the request for the Landmark Ice Rink's permission to hang a banner bearing in mind, the Parks and Recreation Committee voted no. Has everyone voted? The motion has been DEFEATED 4 affirmative, 26 negative, 0 abstaining and 4 not-voting.

- (4) REQUEST FROM THE SOUTHWESTERN CONNECTICUT GIRL SCOUT COUNCIL, INC. FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A BANNER FROM MARCH 9, 1984 THROUGH MARCH 17, 1984 - to publicize Girl Scout Week. Letter 10/25/83 from Ann Balas, Executive Director. On Summer Street.

MR. DeLUCA: We voted 4 in favor and none opposed for acceptance and I so move.

MRS. McINERNEY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca. Is there any discussion on the request from Southwestern Connecticut Girl Scout Council for permission to hang a banner?

MR. CONTI: Another question for Mr. DeLuca. Is not this something that would come under the scope of the new Board?

MR. DeLUCA: Mr. Conti, this here necessarily wouldn't have to. There is no reason why we cannot vote ahead of time. I think one of the reason this might have been submitted to us is that in the past, they waited until March but other organizations submitted their requests and these people were locked out of their request to hang a banner. In fact, I've been encouraging people to submit requests six months to a year in advance if they want to get the dates that they are looking for. This would come under the jurisdiction of this Board.

MRS. McINERNEY: Does that answer your question?

MR. CONTI: Yes, it does. Thank you.

MRS. McINERNEY: Is there any other discussion on this item? Will those people in favor of granting permission to the Southwestern Girl Scout Council to hang a banner from March 9 through March 17th, please indicate by voting aye. Any opposed? Any abstentions? The motion has PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

- (5) REQUEST TO LOOK INTO CRITERIA USED TO APPOINT HIGH SCHOOL BASKETBALL COACHES, ETC. - per letter 10/30 from Rep. Robert "Gabe" DeLuca.

MR. DeLUCA: This is one that I have a report. Rather than to into the report, I'll just send a copy to our Administrative Assistant. She can send copies out to all the Board people because the hour is getting late. If you want, I can read the report but I really don't see any need in it.

MRS. McINERNEY: You will be submitting a written report. Is there any discussion?

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I'd like to ask through you to the Chairman Mr. DeLuca, if he would also ask the office to send a copy to every member because I'm sure the Media will keep writing. We'd like to hear your side of it.

MR. DeLUCA: I would highly recommend it.

MRS. McINERNEY: If Mr. DeLuca is submitting this as a report, then a copy will go to every member of this Board.

MR. DeLUCA: The report is already done. It's just a question of going on our copy machine tomorrow.

MRS. McINERNEY: The Administrative Assistant will be directed to make copies available to everybody.

- (6) REQUEST TO EXPEDITE THE ACQUISITION OF STATE-OWNED DEN-BANGALL ROAD TRACT (NEAR MERRITT PKWY. AND LONG RIDGE ROAD) - letter received 10/25/83 from The Long Ridge Association, Priscilla Bollard, Chairman, Parks and Recreation Committee. Law Department is waiting for plans and specs from Public Works Department in order to negotiate with State.

MR. DeLUCA: Likewise on item #6, a request to expedite the acquisition via lease agreement of State-owned Den-Bangall Road tract near the Merritt Parkway. Once again, I have a report here which our Committee is recommending that a Public Hearing be held in December and I have submitted a request to place this on the next Steering Agenda, and hopefully by tomorrow or the day after, copies of this report will be submitted to everyone.

MRS. McINERNEY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca. I was just going to direct the Administrative Assistant to make that copy available to all the members also. Mr. DeLuca, I'm sorry.

MR. DeLUCA: In conclusion, I would just thank the members of the Parks and Recreation Committee, Vice Chairman Bobby Owens, young, reliable Gerry Rybnick who gets better as he gets older, Walter Gaipa and Joe Franchina. It's been a wonderful Committee and enjoyed working with each and everyone of them and I believe we have accomplished much during the past two years to make our parks and recreation facilities a much better place for the people of Stamford. Thank you.

MRS. McINERNEY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca. If I can take a point of privilege, I'm sure that if Mrs. Santy were in this seat, she would thank you for all of the diligence and hard work your Committee has put forth on behalf of the City of Stamford handling the parks and recreation matters. Congratulations to all of you.

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE - Co-Chairmen John Roos and Jeremiah Livingston

(1) PROGRESS REPORT on ballot questions.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Thank you, Madam Acting President. The Charter Revision Committee had several meetings and we did, in fact, have our final meeting tonight shortly before this meeting. On Tuesday morning, I had a meeting with Corporation Counsel's office from 8:30 to around 10, Mr. Hennessey and I went through a number of things, and he gave me a recommendation which I gave the Committee and by polling them, they concurred with the thoughts of the Assistant Corporation Counsel. He proposes that this present Board leave the matter of the Charter Revision to handled by the incoming Board; the next coming Board. His reasons were and to try and quote him, he told me that this document was so much better organized than our past Charter that it would be a shame to allow all of the technical problems that exist in this Charter to carry over into the new Charter.

If you have your Charter book with you, on page 5, I'll give you an example of what we mean. It's a very short example. On page 5, if you look at section 1-40-3, and you go down to the second paragraph because the first paragraph has been eliminated, and I'll read it to you. "The effective date for all Charter changes that have been approved by the Electorate will be December 1, 1985 with the exception of sections referring to the Stamford Golf Authority, the Parks and Recreation Commission which sections will take effect on the first day of the fiscal year following approval by the Electorate."

Now, just if there is no Stamford Golf Authority and Parks and Recreation Commission, what will happen if we approve this document will almost lead to chaos because you can't go back, he told me and cross out the sections that no longer pertain to the Charter. So, what has to be done, and he told me that he and Corporation Counsel were up until about 2 o'clock that Monday morning going through this. There are so many problems that are inter-married with the questions and with different sections, that he said it would be impossible for this Board to make final approval or adoption of this Charter, and so my recommendation, Madam President, is that this be held in Committee.

MRS. McINERNEY: Is that a motion, Mr. Livingston?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, it is.

MRS. McINERNEY: There's been a motion to hold this item in Committee and a second. Is there any discussion? Mr. Livingston, the motion has been made to hold. Is that a consenses of your Committee, Mr. Livingston?

MR. LIVINGSTON: No, it's not. The consenses of our Committee was that this be turned over to the next Board which means a motion to hold.

MRS. McINERNEY: Which technically means a motion to hold. I don't, therefore, feel that it's necessary to have a vote on that, Mr. Livingston, and as long as you as Committee Chairman have indicated that that item will be held, I will take that as your Committee report and I appreciate your remarks and we understand the problems with the technicalities. Thank you very much, Mr. Livingston.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I'm not finished, Madam President.

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. LIVINGSTON: When I Committee was first formed, we had one mammoth job, but at the same time, we had some very outstanding, good people especially my Co-Chairman, Mr. Roos. Our Committee was plagued by sickness, by vacation, . . . and by a number of things, but still our Committee hustled on right down to the very end and our last meeting was concluded tonight. In behalf of Mr. Roos and myself, I would like to personally thank the members who served on the Committee with us; Ms. Summerville, Mrs. Guroian, Mr. Roos, Mr. Hogan, Mr. Donahue, Mr. Bonner, Ms. DeGaetani, Mr. Blais and Mr. Flounders. It was certainly a pleasure working with all of you. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Livingston.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I'd like to echo what Mr. Livingston said as a member of Charter Revision. I think that this Board should know that Mr. Roos played a very important part in whatever happened with this Committee, and if I am one that is on the Charter Revision Committee for the 18th Board of Representatives as a Committee person, I will be looking for Mr. Roos as a consultant to the Committee as he was very helpful in supplying us with a lot of information. Even though he is not present, I would like the record to show that we will miss him and congratulate him for all that he has done for the 17th Board of Representatives and that Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: In that same note, Mr. Roos has spent hours, and hours, and hours in this Board room working on the microphone and working on the set-ups here and I think if he got paid for every minute that he put in here, he'd be a rich man today, and I think that should be part of the Minutes, too. He is a very quiet, gentle person. The hours he gave, he didn't even want to be recognized as serving us so well but he did and this Board will truly miss Mr. Roos and I think he would be complimented if the 18th Board Committee did consult him on this. Don't you feel that way, Jerry?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Most certainly.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Let's give John Roos a hand. (Applause)

MR. LIVINGSTON: Would you stand up, please?

MRS. SIGNORE: Thank you, Madam President. I'd like to make a motion that we, since we are recording this Meeting as we always do, it would be nice to take that section and send it to John.

PRESIDENT SANTY: He'll get a copy of the Minutes, anyway.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE - Co-Chairpersons Handy Dixon and Mary Jane Signore

MRS. SIGNORE: Before I defer to Mr. Dixon for the Appointments Committee report, I'd like to thank Mr. Dixon, my Co-Chairman for all of his help and support these past two years, and also for the times that he's filled in for me as he did this past week when I was ill from pre and post election problems. The Committee has been marvelous. They've been a great group to work with. I think in the two years, we've probably have had no more than three meetings without a quorum. They've been very cooperative, and it's really been a pleasure to work with them. I'd like to defer my report this evening to Handy who ran the last meeting. Mr. Dixon.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. DIXON: Thank you, Madam President and Co-Chairman Signore. I must ask your indulgence as I'm not at my best in making reports this early in the morning. The Appointments Committee met on Monday, November 14, at 7:00 p.m. in the Democratic Caucus Room. Committee members present were Reps. DeGaetani, Perillo, DeLuca, Boccuzzi, Tarzia and myself, Handy Dixon.

At this time, Madam President, I would like to place on the Consent Agenda item #1, Mr. Mark A. Marseglia.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Item #1 is off Consent.

MR. DIXON: Madam President, that being the only item for the Consent Agenda, I would just go into item #1 at this moment.

PATRIOTIC & SPECIAL EVENTSTERM EXPIRES

(1) <u>MARK A. MARSEGLIA</u> (R) 46 Little Hill Drive	Replacing A. Pia whose term expired.	Dec. 1, 1987
--	---	--------------

MR. DIXON: The time of the Appointment is 5 years and will expire Dec. 1, 1987. He is a life long resident of Stamford and is employed as head custodian for the Stamford Board of Education. The interview with Mr. Marseglia was lengthy and very productive which resulted in the Committee's vote of 5 in favor and 1 abstention, so I would now so move for confirmation.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made and seconded to confirm Mark Marseglia to the Patriotic and Special Events Commission.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, I'd like to move this back to Committee, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made to refer Mr. Marseglia back to Committee. Is there a second on returning Mr. Marseglia back to Committee? Seconded. Now we are discussing returning Mr. Marseglia to Committee.

MR. DeLUCA: I would recommend against sending this back to Committee. In my own judgement that Mr. Marseglia really expressed a keen desire to volunteer services to this particular Commission. He has ideas about promoting patriotism in the City of Stamford. He has ideas about working with the children to make them more aware of patriotism. He has ideas about getting the different ethnic groups together for special events such as renewing the Block Party. He seems to be a person that's dedicated and wants to contribute something to the City and I don't see what can be gained by sending this back to Committee. It's very rare that we do get a person that has keen desire and interest to do something.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca. The motion on the Floor is to return Mr. Marseglia back to Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I have to agree with Mr. DeLuca. Mr. Marseglia is a wonderful person for this particular Committee; a very, very dedicated gentleman, a wonderful constituent of mine and I really agree with Mr. DeLuca and will vote against sending him back to Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Goldstein.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. SIGMORE: Thank you, Madam Chairman. Although I was not present at the interview of Mr. Marseglia, I've talked with him on the phone a number of times, and I really must share with you my feelings of enthusiasm this man has for this Committee. I think in an age where we are all perhaps somewhat jaded in many areas, this man is not, and I think his enthusiasm would be a great asset to this group.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Signore.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, Madam President, my motion to send this back to Committee in no way is to refer anything against Mr. Marseglia, and I hope that's perfectly clear. I just made the motion to send it back to Committee and that's it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Zelinski.

MR. WIDER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I would say like Mr. Zelinski said. My vote to send back to Committee will be nothing against the man; it would be against the time. He happen to have been once my assistant and I do know how dedicated the man is. He worked as my assistant at Martha Hoyt when we were taken care of the little people there. I appreciate the man but I don't think the time is right. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Wider.

MR. BURKE: I'm at a bit of a loss. I'm suppose to sit here and vote intelligently on something and all I hear is I just want to send it back to Committee; it's not against anybody, it's just and everybody is voting for, how can you make a decision on something like that? I don't know; I'm just asking.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It would be nice if someone enlightened, but they're not going to, Mr. Burke.

MRS. McINERNEY: Madam President, through you to either Mr. Zelinski or Mr. Wider, what exactly do you mean a matter of time or the wrong time? Could you give us a definition of that?

MR. BOCCUZZI: Madam President, personal privilege.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Boccuzzi, no personal privilege. She asked a question to Mr. Zelinski and Mr. Wider. They don't have to answer it if you don't want to. Mr. Zelinski, do you want to answer the question? Mr. Wider?

MR. ZELINSKI: Madam President, as I said, I just made a motion to send this back to Committee. As long as I've been on this Board, a Representative has never had to give a reason for sending it back to Committee so I'm not going to set something new up tonight.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That's right. You don't have to do that. Mr. Wider? Mr. Boccuzzi, you're the next speaker.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I don't want to speak.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: You had a point of order. You raised your hand. Do you want to say something.

MR. BOCCUZZI: No, Mr. Zelinski said it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: No further speakers, we'll move right to a machine vote on sending him back to Committee. The motion on the Floor is sending it back to Committee. Would just be a simple majority to send him back. If you are in favor of sending him back to Committee, vote yes. If you do not want to send him back to Committee, Mr. Marseglia, vote no. The motion is to send him back to Committee. Has everyone voted? The motion to send Mr. Marseglia back to Committee has LOST 15 affirmative, 17 negative and 2 not-voting. Mr. Dixon, do you want to move again for the confirmation? We'll have the motion on the Floor. Mr. Dixon, you don't have to repeat that. A motion was made and seconded to confirm Mr. Marseglia. Any discussion? No speakers, we'll move right to a machine vote on the confirmation of Mr. Marseglia to the Patriotic and Special Events. Has everyone voted? We are voting on the confirmation of Mr. Marseglia to the Patriotic and Special Events Committee. Has everyone voted? Mr. Marseglia has been CONFIRMED by a vote of 24 affirmative, 3 negative, 2 abstaining and 5 not-voting.

STERLING FARMS GOLF AUTHORITYTERM EXPIRES

(2) <u>MR. CHARLES DeLUCA</u> (D)	Reappointment	Jan. 1, 1986
30 DeBera Lane		
Held 5/23, 7/11, 8/15, 9/14, 10/11/83.		

MR. DIXON: This appointment has been held in Committee since May while the Committee, among other things, did a study of the Authority's financial obligations to the City. The study has come to a satisfactory conclusion and the Appointments Committee has no further reason to delay the appointment to the Golf Authority. We, therefore, have voted 3 yes, and 3 no and 2 abstentions, which is a tie vote, Madam President, which is equal to a no vote, but I would move for confirmation.

PRESIDENT SANTY: ...Mr. DeLuca to the Sterling Farms Golf Authority bearing in mind that the Committee with a no vote by virtue of being a tie. Is there a second to that motion? Seconded. Mr. Dudley has left the Floor and will not partake in this vote because of a possible conflict. We are now discussing Mr. Charles DeLuca, an appointment to the Sterling Farms Golf Authority.

MRS. CONTI: Thank you, Madam President. May I inquire from the three who voted no on the Committee as to why they voted no?

PRESIDENT SANTY: If anyone would like to give their reason they can, but they're not obligated to do so. Mr. DeLuca, would you like to respond? You a no vote?

MR. DeLUCA: Actually, I made a mistake of abstaining but I do plan on voting no this evening. I have no quams about stating why I voted no because I get a little annoyed at when you interview these people, they're all in favor of, "Yes, when I get up before the Sterling Farms Golf Authority, we're going to see to it that we replace the item on the Agenda to start returning funds to the City of Stamford, and paying of the bonds and the interest and the principal that was set up to establish Sterling Farms."

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. DeLUCA: (continuing) We sat in our meetings with Charles DeLuca who is presently the Chairman of Sterling Farms, granted he didn't make a firm commitment, but he was more in favor of the City getting some funds provided there was a surplus. Yet, when it came time to have a vote as to whether or not funds should be sent to the City in two payments per year at \$10,000 each payment, he abstained on the voting. To me, this is more or less making a mockery of the Appointments Committee where you give the people the impression that you are in favor, and then you go back and just do the opposite.

I think I would have had more faith in the fellow if he voted no rather than abstain, therefore, I feel somebody that acts in this manner shouldn't be on the Sterling Farms Golf Authority.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca. Mrs. Signore would like to relate her vote to you, Mrs. Conti.

MRS. SIGNORE: I really didn't want to take up the time of this Board to repeat what Mr. DeLuca said, but that's exactly the reason that I am voting against Mr. DeLuca this evening. I was a no vote. I will continue to be a no vote. There were two abstentions on sending money back to the City, surplus money from Sterling Farms; Mr. DeLuca, Mrs. Jannicky and I will vote against both of them.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. Ms. DeGaetani, would you like to give your reason why you voted no?

MS. DeGAETANI: I was also a no vote for the exact same reasons and I will vote no on the Floor on both of those appointments.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Fine, thank you very much.

MR. GAIPA: Thank you. Through you Madam Chairman to Mr. Dixon, how many terms has Mr. DeLuca been on the Sterling Farms Authority?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Dixon, do you have that information at your finger-tips?

MR. DIXON: As close as I can get to it at the moment is that this will be his second.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Does that answer your question, Mr. Gaipa?

MR. GAIPA: I'm trying to get a feel. Maybe it was in the backup material we received. You know when you get into your sunset years on the Board of Representatives, you kind of miss some of these things, and I don't remember how many years Mr. DeLuca has been up there. That's really in terms of years that I would like to know.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We just don't have that information, Mr. Gaipa. Ms. DeGaetani, do you have the information?

MS. DeGAETANI: I have a letter here signed by Charles DeLuca dated November of 1982 in which he says, "I've had the pleasure of serving on the Golf Authority from mid 1981."

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: That would give two years at this point, Mr. Gaipa.

MR. GAIPA: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There being no further speakers, we'll move right to a machine vote on the confirmation of Mr. Charles DeLuca bearing in mind, that the Committee had no vote by virtue of a tie vote. A majority vote is all that is required. Has everyone voted? Mr. DeLuca is NOT CONFIRMED by a vote of 8 affirmative, 20 negative, 2 abstaining and 4 not-voting.

STERLING FARMS GOLF AUTHORITYTERM EXPIRES

(3) <u>MS. KATIE JANNICKY (D)</u>	Reappointment	Jan. 1, 1986
96 Alexandra Drive		
Held 6/6, 7/11, 8/15, 9/14, 10/11/83.		

MR. DIXON: This appointment has been held since June basically for the same reason given for item #2. Ms. Jannicky's first appointment to the Authority was to fill 16 months of an unexpired term. During that time, she was elected Chairman and claims to have demonstrated innovative leadership and positive attributes for continued sound operation of the Sterling Farms Golf Authority. The Appointments Committee has voted 2 yes, 4 no and 1 abstention for a disapproval. However, Madam President, to avoid making a motion in the negative, I would move for confirmation.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to that motion? A motion has been made and seconded for the confirmation of Ms. Katie Jannicky to the Sterling Farms Golf Authority bearing in mind that the Committee voted no. Any discussion? No discussion, we'll move right to a machine vote on the confirmation of Ms. Katie Jannicky to the Sterling Farms Golf Authority. Has everyone voted? Ms. Jannicky IS NOT CONFIRMED by a vote of 2 affirmative, 23 negative, 2 abstaining and 7 not-voting.

HEARING OFFICERS FOR PARKING APPEALS

(4) <u>MR. ALLAN BARON (D)</u>	Two-year term
35 St. George St.	from date of
Held in Committee 10/11/83.	appointment

MR. DIXON: The meeting scheduled for the interview of Mr. Baron was unofficial due to the lack of a quorum. Therefore, in the absence of a report, I would move to take this out of Committee for Board action, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made to take this item out of Committee, Mr. Allan Baron for the Hearing Officer for Parking Appeals. Is there a second to that motion? Seconded. Any discussion? The motion is taking it out of Committee.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, Madam President, thank you. If I can ask a question through you to the Co-Chairperson of the Appointments Committee, Mr. Dixon, was Mr. Baron approved by the Democratic City Committee?

MR. DIXON: Yes, Mr. Baron was approved by the Democratic City Committee and he went through the Mayor's office.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. ZELINSKI: Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The motion on the Floor is to take Mr. Baron out of Committee. All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. You want to move for his confirmation, Mr. Dixon?

MR. DIXON: I would so move, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made. Is there a second? Seconded to confirm Mr. Allan Baron as a Hearing Officer for Parking Appeals.

MR. DUDLEY: I will be abstaining on this vote due to the fact that I was part of the Committee when we first set-up the Hearing Officers and it was agreed that there would be citizen representation. I have nothing against Mr. Baron but I will be abstaining because to this day, there has not been citizen representation other than attorneys, and I will be abstaining for that reason.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Dudley. We'll move right to a machine vote on the confirmation of Mr. Baron. Please use your machine. Has everyone voted? Mr. Baron is CONFIRMED by a vote of 20 affirmative, 12 negative, 1 abstaining and 1 not-voting.

HEARING OFFICERS FOR PARKING APPEALSTERM EXPIRES

(5) MRS. ELLEN EPSTEIN BROMLEY (D)
41 Idlewood Place (06905)

Two-year term
from date of
appointment

REMOVED FROM AGENDA

MR. DIXON: Item #5 has been taken off the Agenda and referred to the Democratic City Committee and hopefully, from there to the Mayor's office. The Committee agreed that the item is not properly before us since the appointment is claimed to have been made and processed by the Corporation Counsel.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Dixon, do you want to make a motion to return this item to Committee since it is an Agenda item? Do you want to make a motion?

MR. DIXON: Madam President, the motion is to take it off the Agenda being that it's not properly before this Body.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Alright, you're making a motion to take this item off the Agenda. I have to confer with my Parliamentarians. It's on the Agenda by virtue of the Steering Committee. You were charged with action. You can confirm; you can deny or you can send it back to Committee, but I don't think that the Committee has the authority to remove this, Mr. Dixon, but I have to confer with my Parliamentarians.

MR. BOCCUZZI: The problem here is that the process was not used. The lady did not go through the process, so, therefore, like the items under Fiscal, we didn't take any vote. They were taken off the Agenda.

PRESIDENT SANTY: But that was a completely different situation.

MR. DIXON: Madam President, the Committee has been informed that this item, this appointment, was never processed through the Mayor's office. It came to this Body improperly.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. DIXON: (continuing) It had no reason to come to this Body. The Corporation Counsel does not make appointments and submit them to this Board for approval, and that is what happened. The Mayor has yet to speak to this person the first time. The only consultation between this appointee and the position that he was appointed to was made by the Corporation Counsel and not the

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's really hard to believe that this got on the Agenda through the Corporation Counsel. Our Administrative Assistant is checking the records now. Mr. Donahue and Mr. Hogan, may I have your opinion on this?

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I would just like the record to show that in Steering and as a member voting on this item, I had assumed that this item had come before us as all other appointments and that is why I voted for the item to be placed on the Agenda.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I think that's what everyone in Steering assumed.

MR. HOGAN: Madam Chairman, I think that the Parliamentary situation is such that the Mayor has not officially submitted her name for approval to this Board, and, therefore, it is improperly on the Agenda. If we should follow any other course, the same course should be followed on any name in the future. I think it should be stricken from the Agenda and resubmitted through the proper channels.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Donahue, may I have your opinion, please?

MR. DONAHUE: Mr. Hogan is correct in my estimation, but I also believe that the intention of the Committee is hold this name and not to act on it in anyway that might prejudice further consideration. If that is the intent of the Committee to hold it, then no vote would be necessary.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Dixon, the intent of the Committee is to strike this from the Agenda?

MR. DIXON: That is correct, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I quite agree with what has transpired and that this name should be held, but I do want you to know that Steering put it on the Agenda because we did receive a letter from the Mayor. It's just that it never came through the City Committee. I have the copy of the Mayor's letter here along with the woman's resume. It's just that it didn't go all the way through the process.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It went through part of the process but he side-stepped the Democratic Committee. The Mayor sent her name down to us, Mr. Boccuzzi. We have a letter.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Madam President, a point of information. According to the appointee, the Mayor never notified her that her name would be submitted to this Board for that appointment #1, and #2, the Mayor never interviewed her to make the appointment. I have nothing against the young lady. It is just that two steps were left out as far as the Appointments Committee was concerned.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Boccuzzi, she stated that the Mayor did not tell her about this appointment then.

MR. BOCCUZZI: That's correct. She stated the Corporation Counsel's office.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Although the Parliamentarians' mix, I will go along with their opinion and say that this is not properly before us because it was not properly submitted through the right channels. It will have to be resubmitted through the Mayor.

FAIR RENT COMMISSIONTERM EXPIRES

(6) <u>MR. JOSEPH A. WHITE, JR.</u> (R) Saddle Hill Road	Replacing Carol Walker who resigned. (Mr. White is an alternate at the present time)	Dec. 1, 1985
---	---	--------------

MR. DIXON: Thank you, Madam Chairman. This is an unexpired term previously held by Carol Walker and it will expire on December 1, 1985. Mr. White is presently serving as an Alternate on the Fair Rent Commission and has attended almost all of the Commission's meetings. He is quite knowledgeable of the affairs and functions of the Commission and after experiencing most of its difficulty and misfortune, he wants a chance now to contribute positively to its complete recovery and success. The Committee voted 4 in favor and 1 abstention for confirmation and I would now so move.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to that motion? Several seconds. We're now voting on the appointment of Joseph White, Jr. to the Fair Rent Commission. Discussion?

MRS. GERSHMAN: Thank you. I'll well acquainted with Mr. White and I'd like to concur with everything that Mr. Dixon. I think that he would add enormously to the Commission and bring to it an understanding and intelligence that the Commission would appreciate.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Gershman.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, thank you, Madam President. I would like to move this back to Committee without prejudice, please.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made and seconded to return this item to Committee. That's what we are discussing now. Mr. Stork, you were the next speaker. Is that on returning it to Committee?

MR. STORK: No maam, only to the main motion.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, Madam President. I would speak against returning this to Committee. As a member of the Education, Welfare and Government Committee this past year, we went through an arduous process of interviewing and reviewing and trying to come to somekind of conclusion to the problems which became blown up in the Media in the City of Stamford over the responsible running of the Fair Rent Commission. We worked very hard; we sat through many hours; we waded through many hours of material, and we were finally able to work out a situation where we had people who were appointed as Alternates and regular members where a service was provided to the residents of the City and we didn't

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. McINERNEY: (continuing) have to go through the same traumas that these people had to go through. I think that Mr. White has served on that Commission, has tried to straighten it around. The Commission because of his presence has seen benefits and certainly, it's too important to take a group like this and hold this particular item when the man has a value and he belongs there. I would speak against returning it to Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. McInerney.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I would also speak against returning this to Committee. I've known Mr. White to be a very conscientious person who takes whatever duties he undertakes with thoroughness and fairness and I feel he has the expertise to deal with this problem, and he should be reappointed.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Maihock.

MR. DeLUCA: The question I have to ask does relate to whether or not I want to return it to Committee. Just a question to Co-Chairman Handy Dixon. At this point in time, can you happen to tell me the make-up of the Fair Rent Commissioners? How many Republicans, how many Democrats presently on the Fair Rent Commission?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Dixon, do you have that information?

MR. DIXON: I'm sorry, I just can't give you that information right now.

MR. DeLUCA: I'm just curious if the make-up right now is 2 Republicans, 2 Democrats and the fact that the Republican Administration is in and the majority can be 3 Republicans and the idea is to return this to Committee because with the new incoming administration now, the make-up can be 3 Democrats and 2 Republicans. If that's the ulterior motive of sending this back to Committee, so this way here when it comes back before the 18th Board, instead of putting in a Republican, you put the Democrat in. That's why I cannot vote to send this back to Committee. I'd rather vote on this item this evening.

MR. BURKE: Perhaps, I'm naive. I'm young on the Board and I sort of remember an oath that I took to do something to the best of my ability; morally, mentally, intellectually, and however else you want to put it. All I hear are good things about the man and then all of a sudden a motion to return to Committee; no reasons, except, perhaps, timing and if we ascribe the motives that Mr. DeLuca just mentioned, perhaps, perhaps not, but outside of any reasons, I just can't see how anyone could make an intelligent decision to send it back to Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Burke.

MS. DeGAETANI: Yes, I think I can answer Mr. DeLuca's question. There are currently of the full-time members on the Commission, two Republicans and two Democrats.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Ms. DeGaetani. Any other discussion? We'll move right to a machine vote on the motion to send Mr. Joseph White... one more question.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. LIVINGSTON: Through you to Mr. Dixon, if this is sent back to Committee, isn't the man serving on here at the present time?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Dixon, the question is, is he serving on here. I think the answer is yes, Mr. Livingston. He is serving as an Alternate member.

MR. LIVINGSTON: And he will continue to serve until a replacement. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT SANTY: I would assume so.

MR. DIXON: He is an Alternate. He will continue serving in that capacity, and filling in; filling in the vacancy until a regular has been elected.

PRESIDENT SANTY: He's an Alternate. He's filling in the vacancy of the permanent member, and if he isn't confirmed, he'll still be an alternate. The motion is to return him to Committee. If you are in favor of returning him to Committee, vote yes. If you are against it, vote no. Has everyone voted? The Motion to return to Committee has FAILED 10 affirmative, 19 negative, 0 abstaining and 5 not-voting.

There is now a motion to confirm Mr. White to the Fair Rent Commission.

MR. STORK: Thank you, Madam President. I had an occasion last month to attend a hearing held by the Fair Rent Commission both as an interested observer and a supporter of a tenant who had brought a matter before the Commission. In his capacity as Alternate, Mr. White sat on the Commission that night due to the absence of a regular member, and I was quite impressed by the intelligent questions that he asked, and also by the constructive summations that he made during the course of the evening. I think this would be an excellent choice for us and I would certainly support his candidacy.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There being no further speakers, we'll move right to a machine vote on the confirmation of Mr. White to the Fair Rent Commission. Please use your machine. Has everyone voted? Mr. White is CONFIRMED by a vote of 21 affirmative, 0 negative, 2 abstaining and 11 not-voting.

MR. DIXON: Madam President, that concludes the report. I would like to take one-half of a minute to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to what I've always considered, a wonderful Appointments Committee. I would also like to express my joy and gratitude for the work and good cooperation that I've experienced with Mrs. Signore. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That's very nice. I think when we were organizing many months ago, we were under the impression that after a few months that the Co-Chairpersons would get along very well, and I think that's exactly what happened. I know that everyone feels the same way.

At this time, Mr. Owens is leaving and Mr. Gaipa is leaving. Mr. Owens will be returning to the Board, but Mr. Gaipa will not. I think, Mr. Gaipa, we want to say, hail and farewell to you. Thank you for your service.

We now have 32 members present.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE - Co-Chairpersons Alfred Perillo and James Bonner

MR. BONNER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. The Public Works Committee meeting was held on November 14, 1983. The meeting was called to order at 8:20 p.m. in the Public Works Conference Room. The attendees of the Committee were Alfred Perillo, Ann King Saxe, John Boccuzzi, Thomas Burke and James Bonner. From the Board of Representatives, Mildred Perillo and Gabe DeLuca. From the Media, Leonard Gambino of WSTC and Peter Healy of the Advocate.

- (1) REPORT OF WORK PROGRESS ON GLEN AVENUE, RUTZ STREET AND DeLEO DRIVE STORM SEWERS AND BLACK TOPPING PROJECT - submitted by Rep. Dziezyc 9/14/83. Preliminary report made 10/11/83.

MR. BONNER: The October 8th letter was received from Comm. Spaulding to Rep. Bonner stating that the design is complete and ready for bid as soon as easements for the sewer routes are agreed upon. Also, the estimate of the price has not changed. It still is \$370,000 for the Rutz portion. Mr. Roloff of the Engineering... incidently, the data was sent to the Law Department in May of 1982. The Law Department had asked Mr. Roloff of the Engineering Department to work with them and he is currently negotiating two easements. They expect completion of the negotiations soon. The Public Works should monitor the easement negotiations so as to go out to bid as soon as all items are in order. That completes the report for the Glen Avenue, Rutz storm sewer.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Stork, does that satisfy the question?

MR. STORK: Yes, we thank the Committee very much.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Bonner. Thank you, Mr. Stork.

MR. BONNER: I have a copy of the report that I will give to Mr. Stork.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Bonner.

- (2) REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF LEASE OF PORTION OF RICE SCHOOL BETWEEN CITY AND O & G INDUSTRIES, INC. (General Contractors for Stamford Transportation Center Project for \$1,000 per month and pro-rated share at 3.32% of all costs and expenses for utilities of every kind and nature. Submitted by Mayor Louis A. Clapes 10/13/83. Effective May 1, 1983.

MR. BONNER: There will be a motion before the Board at the conclusion of this information; a motion to approve the lease. The lease is between the City of Stamford and O & G Industries. The purpose of the lease is to provide office space for project management group which is working on the Stamford Transportation Center. Included in the Project Management Group is City Inspection Team. The space to be leased is 1500 square feet which constitutes two offices on the second floor. The O & G will pay to the City \$1,000 per month which is \$12,000 per year or \$8.00 per square foot. A month to month lease with provisions to terminate on October 1, 1985 or 60 days after the City accepts substantial completion of the Stamford Transportation Center, if that is sooner, or if necessary, upon 60 days notice by the City. There is no parking. Utility costs will be shared with others. The Committee voted 5 for and none against to recommend acceptance. I place the motion before the Board to approve the lease between the City of Stamford and O & G Industries for rental of 1500 square feet at the Rice School property. The Rice School is at the corner of Washington Boulevard and North Street. Thank you.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to that motion? Several seconds. Any discussion?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, thank you, Madam President. Through you to Rep. Bonner, how long is this lease going to be for?

MR. BONNER: The duration of the lease is October 1, '85, or if it's completed previous to that, it would be 60 days substantial completion. It's on a month to month at present, or within 60 days, they will evacuate the premises.

MR. ZELINSKI: Thank you. One more question, if I may? Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Zelinski.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, second question through you to Rep. Bonner, how much space are they actually leasing; either square footage or number of rooms?

MR. BONNER: They're leasing two rooms, offices 5 and 9 on the second floor, each approximately 750 square feet.

MR. ZELINSKI: Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. Any other speakers? We are now going to vote on the lease between the City and O & G Industries. Please use your machine. We are now voting on #2 under Public Works, approval of the lease of Rice School of the City and O & G Industries.

MR. FRANCHINA: Point of information.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Franchine, we're right in the middle of a vote.

MR. FRANCHINA: I understand. Through the Chair to Mr. Bonner, one question. He mentioned something about \$8.00 a square foot.

MR. BONNER: That is correct.

MR. FRANCHINA: At 1500 square feet, \$8.00 would be \$1,200.

MR. BONNER: This is on a yearly basis.

PRESIDENT SANTY: On a yearly basis.

MR. FRANCHINA: I'm sorry.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We're right in the middle of a vote. I allowed that question. Please use your machine if you approve the lease. While we're voting and we're waiting for the people to return from the Caucus Rooms for the vote, Mr. Dixon is leaving giving us 31 members, and since Mr. Dixon is returning, we still would like to say farewell to you, Mr. Dixon and thanks for all your work on this Board. Has everyone voted? The motion has PASSED 22 affirmative, 0 negative, and 1 abstaining.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

- (3) REQUEST FOR COMPLETE ACCOUNTING OF RENOVATION WORK AT MUNICIPAL OFFICE BUILDING, 6th Floor and any consequential thereto - submitted by Reps. John Hogan and John Boccuzzi 10/26/83; also Gabe DeLuca 10/24.

MR. BONNER: This was placed on the Steering Committee on November 1. With the time that was available, the following information is submitted.

The persons contacted were Comm. Spaulding, Mr. Canavan, Mr. Friedrich of Purchasing and Mr. Canino of Purchasing. Rearrangements required on the 6th floor and other floors to accommodate computer and personnel from various departments. A housing shortage was temporarily created by the rearrangement activities. This information that I'm reading is information that I've picked up from the persons that I've talked to. The City Management, Comm. Spaulding, Public Works, Mayor Clapes, Comm. Marra, Finance and Purchasing Agent Canino, determined that in the interest of maintaining efficiency and causing minimum interruption in city employee work schedules, a waiver of bidding process was in order for contractor selection for the work. The waiver was officially signed by the four parties. A reading of Charter section 484.7 was interpreted as allowing the action to be taken. Funds were available under the Public Works capital budget 320-0574 which is floor covering, various.

A breakdown of costs totalling \$15,826.50 was provided by letter of Friedrich's to Bonner on 11/4/83. All of this information, the reports plus the breakdown, plus the letters will be submitted to you at the end of this report. It can be passed on to the next Board because we have some recommendations.

If further action the 18th Board so elects, if they wanted to check out the costs, they could check the square footage of space actually carpeted. They can check the competitive carpet price for similar carpet. They can check the competitive labor prices for the work performed and the personnel from Public Works for the hours they spent on the project. It might be well for them to establish a definition of emergency in the Charter to include only items affecting health, safety, security and life and thus place tight boundaries on the use waivers.

It is further recommended that to ease the burden of all parties concerned with rearrangements, a system could be set up by Public Works and Purchasing whereby, once a year or a similar time period, the City could go to bid with eligible firms for unit prices on a specified class of carpet; on labor to install the carpet; labor to rearrange partitions and so on and painting, and the various firms having low bids could then be given contracts, and work could be done throughout the year on a need basis and work could be done under the accepted bid prices and with minimum interference, and that's the end of the report on that particular one.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Bonner. It was an excellent report on that item.

MR. HOGAN: Madam Chairman, may I ask Mr. Bonner a question on the report?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Certainly, Mr. Hogan.

MR. HOGAN: Jim, at the beginning of your report, you said that the waiver was signed by four people. Would you mind telling me who they were again?

MR. BONNER: That was Comm. Spaulding, Mayor Clapes, Comm. Marra, and Purchasing Agent Canino. I have a copy of that. It will be submitted.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. HOGAN: One more question. A little bit further on, you said the Charter provision was interpreted. Who interpreted the Charter provision pertaining to the waiver?

MR. BONNER: I would say, all four interpreted.

MR. HOGAN: Fine, thank you very much, Mr. Bonner.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Hogan.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Boccuzzi. Do you have a question?

MR. BOCCUZZI: Just a little statement to add to the report. I have nothing against the report. I think it's a good report. I think it's very questionable in my mind and I believe the minds of the members of the Public Works Committee, that you could purchase such an item as carpeting under emergency appropriation. I really think that that was stretching the definition in the Charter about as far as you could stretch.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Are you on #3 or #4? #4 is carpeting. You're just generally commenting, Mr. Boccuzzi? We're on the renovation work. The carpeting is the next step.

(4) REQUEST FOR EXAMINATION OF PROCEDURES FOLLOWED IN PURCHASING CARPETING FOR SIXTH FLOOR OF M.O.B., AND IMPALAS FOR 2 DPW ADMINISTRATORS ON BID WAIVER, ETC. - submitted by Rep. DeLuca 10/24/83.

MR. BONNER: #4 is a request for information that was contained in #3, but also was a request for examination of the procedures followed in purchasing two Impalass for Public Works Administrators on bid waiver.

Here again, this information was picked up by talking to the various parties in Purchasing and in Public Works. Public Works determined they had need for two passenger cars, station wagons for use by Public Works administrators. Public Works had submitted plans to the City for purchase of rolling stock. Plan was approved. Capital budget item 311-0142 was written. According to Public Works, rolling stock includes all types and sizes of passenger vehicles as well as pick up trucks and all other large trucks. Public Works and Purchasing considered the new station wagon request as part of the rolling stock requirement. 9 station wagons were purchased previously on 9/1983 and charged against this budget 311-0142. The order was placed with the low bidder, Russell Chevrolet of Fairfield and two other bidders, Michael Chev of Greenwich, and Pelletier of Norwalk. The low bid was approximately \$6,000 less than the high bid and \$4,000 less than middle bid. Stamford, at present, does not have a Chevrolet dealer, hence, no local city bidder.

Upon request from Public Works for two more cars, Purchasing called previous bidders. The previous low bidder, Russell, had two vehicles on hand which would meet physical specifications. One car was a 1983 model. The other a 1982 model. The dealer offered these two cars at discounted prices. The '83 was sold for \$11,532 versus \$11,890 in the bidding previously held which is a discount of \$358 for an '83 car.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. BONNER: (continuing) The '82 was \$10,259 versus \$11,890 which was a discount of \$1,640. These savings were compared against the price of the low bid in the previous purchase. City management reviewed the savings and determined that they were significant and it would be in the best interest of the City to purchase these two cars. The Mayor, the Commissioner of Finance, the Department Head of Public Works, and the Purchasing Agent signed a waiver form to implement savings and to achieve standardization. Cars were purchased and charged to budget item 311.0142.

We have some recommendation which should be acted upon by the 18th Board, if they so elect. The rolling stock should be categorized into two parts; passenger vehicles 1, and pick up trucks and larger multi-vehicles 2, so that people know whether they're voting for passenger cars or heavy equipment.

The next item is to determine the need for full size cars versus small cars for assignments other than police work. These are large cars that are being used by Public Works. They may use small cars.

When purchasing year-old models, they should evaluate the depreciation against the savings. They should also establish rules for standardization or fleet maintenance. This would determine whether they would have to buy Chevrolet cars or any other kind of a car. They should review the needs of the Administrators of the City whether they should be supplied vehicles or not. That concludes that report.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Bonner. Any questions?

MR. DeLUCA: I was just going to question the part about the savings when you consider the depreciation. Fortunately, we only have a few more weeks to go and hope that in the present administration, there won't be anymore emergencies such as carpeting or anymore waivering of bids to say that we saved \$2,000 to buy two over-stacked Impalas. I'm pretty sure the Police Department rides around all over town with the small cars, and I find it difficult to believe why the Public Works Department had to get fully loaded Impalas.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca. Any other comments?

MRS. CONTI: I just want to say it was very gracious of the present administration to carpet for the new incoming administration.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you.

- (5) REQUEST FOR EXAMINATION OF PROCEDURES WHICH RESULTED IN COLLAPSE OF BLACK-TOPPED SURFACES AT PUBLIC WORKS GARAGE, MAGEE AVENUE, INSTEAD OF REINFORCED CONCRETE FOR GASOLINE TANKS INSTALLATION - submitted by Rep. DeLuca 10/27/83.

MR. BONNER: The Public Works engineers designed new fuel storage and fuel dispensing areas. This meant that we had a fuel dispensing area in one area, then we had a set of fuel tanks some distance away and the pipelines connecting the two units. The Public Works engineers designed this system. 8" reinforced concrete slab was designed for the dispensing area and this was shown in the bid documents. The area for the storage and the dispensing area is a fill area. It was raised to proper grade with various earth fills including incinerator waste. Soil borings taken indicated poor soil conditions and exposure to differential settlement throughout the area in the future.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. BONNER: (continuing) This meant that in certain areas the compaction may have been we'll just say for 75% or 85%, and in other areas 95%, and this differential settlement would cause strain on the pipes.

Such settlement in the storage tank area, pipe line area or dispensing area, could cause severe breakage of fuel supply lines in the future.

An engineering judgement was made. Use asphalt instead of concrete in the dispensing area. When settlement would occur, asphalt could easily be and cheaply be opened up to relieve the pressure on fuel lines to prevent breakage or to repair the lines if the breakage occurred. 8" reinforced concrete was considered to be expensive to break up and repair. The contractor was authorized to change the surface at the dispensing area from concrete to asphalt. Difference in cost was applied against as the additional paving, and there were no net savings.

In the process of placing the asphalt pad, the underground fuel lines were crushed and expensive repairs were required. The judgement to use asphalt in lieu of concrete was in error. The procedure to place responsibility and to review the changes leaves a lot to be desired and must be improved.

Public Works in the past has initiated significant yearly savings and has maintained control of spending and returned money to the city budget, approximately \$700,000 in '81 and also in '82, and should be recognized for this achievement. However, when errors such as this occur, proper discipline should be administered to parties responsible to insure that procedures are followed in making changes in design and to contracts, and the type of procedures that we're talking about are procedures that line up that any time a change is made in a design that we should have a proper sign-off and when changes are made to a contract, it should be done by a vehicle of a change order or a bulletin or a field order so that we have a record of everyone who is involved in each change.

This concludes the report and as I say, I have the letters and documents from which these report were taken, and all of this will be given as a bundle to you that can be handed over to the next group, the 18th Board. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Bonner.

MRS. McINERNEY: I'd like to congratulate Mr. Bonner on the scope of his report on all of these items. It's been very informative. It's been very detailed. He's hit the problem on the head. He's come up with some specific recommendations that we should follow in the future, and certainly, I think it's a credit to you Mr. Bonner, for sitting there for such a short period of time and I know which was time consuming work to study and review and come up with a report as detailed as it was. I'd like to congratulate you and I was proud to have you as my partner, Jim. Thank you.

MR. BONNER: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We all share those sentiments.

MR. PERILLO: Madam President, may I have a word?

PRESIDENT SANTY: You certainly may, Mr. Perillo.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. PERILLO: To Mr. Jim Bonner: For the short time we served together, it was really a privilege. A man of his expertise and professionalism in engineering is just what we need in Public Works. Although we had a good, little Committee and survived this Administration, we did make it to the end. Thanks for everything.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Perillo.

MR. BONNER: May I also say that I have certainly enjoyed, thoroughly enjoyed my work here with everyone on the Board. It has been very enlightening to me; very helpful and I feel that I have 39 new friends among you.

MR. DeLUCA: Just one or two questions on the report that Mr. Bonner gave on this last item. It's really a good report. I happened to be present at the meeting. I was disappointed but I more or less expected, in fact I even won a bet laying dollars to donuts, that the Commissioner would not attend the meeting, and true to form, Commissioner Spaulding did not attend.

Was it ever determined who authorized the switch from concrete to asphalt?

MR. BONNER: The answer is no. I did not determine that because the Commissioner said that the buck stopped with him, and he did not want to tell me who actually had caused it. However, in talking to him, I did expressly tell him that we felt that whoever it was should properly be disciplined because this is the type of error had an architect or engineering firm done this work, we would of probably sued them, back charged them to pay for the change. So there is disciplinary action and it should be taken. I don't know how much and what's appropriate, but the person should know that this is the type of things that is not tolerated.

MR. DeLUCA: I have to chuckle about the statement that the buck stops at the Commissioner. I looked at his comments in the Advocate on October 31st, and he says it was an honest mistake. I look at his letter of November 1st to the Board of Reps on this particular item, stating that Rep. DeLuca did not make a phone call to him or write to him to get an explanation. Rep. DeLuca, more or less, got frustrated and passed the attempts to reach the Commissioner on other items and never received a return call or answers to letters.

The term "honest mistake" seems to be the pet remark by the Commissioner. The reason why I state that is that going back on 6/15/83,...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, I don't want to cut your remarks off but this pertain to the....

MR. DeLUCA: I think it all pertains to the honest mistake that was made and to some of his comments in his letter.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The question here is the black-topped surfaces on Magee Avenue. Is it pertinent to this item?

MR. DeLUCA: It's about an honest mistake. It deals with asphalt. They went out and repaired an unaccepted street with asphalt and this was an honest mistake.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, it's ten minutes to two in the morning. The item on the Agenda has been completely given.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. DeLUCA: He says, "The buck stops here." Does the buck really stop here or does it depend on whose the city engineer in charge? Approximately a year ago, two of our city inspectors were guilty of obtaining overtime pay from a contractor.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, I don't want to cut you short, but we have an item on the Agenda, and it's for the procedures used...

MR. DeLUCA: I realize that the Commissioner is from the ... past. It's nice things about the Commissioner.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Are you finished, Mr. DeLuca?

MR. DeLUCA: I'm not finished. It all bears relevance to the comments about the asphalt. Where the buck stops and where it shouldn't stop. Or it all depends who you know and that's where the buck stops.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Your comments are well taken and they're permanent for the record. I hope we put our remarks to item #5 under Public Works.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Madam President. Look, I think we ought to determine who made this change. I really do because there are mistakes. I know very little about construction but when I saw the pad going down, when I saw them put down asphalt as I went by, it struck me immediately that anyone that knows the least little thing about construction, knows that when you put down a roadway for trucks that are going over pipes, you never, ever put down asphalt. You always put down concrete, and if an engineer made that change, then we ought to really question as to what he knows about engineering. I'm a school teacher and I know very, very little about construction, but even I know that if you are putting down a roadbed where trucks are going to go over pipes, you never, ever put down asphalt. You put down concrete and you certainly never turn around and change an order that says concrete to asphalt.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. White.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I think Mr. White did a very good job but I'm not going to let you off the hook that easy. I just would like to ask Mr. Bonner, I was at the meeting but I forgot. Did you say the boring reports indicated that there was a difference or something of that nature?

MR. BONNER: Yes. The boring reports that were made, and I don't know when they were taken.

MR. BOCCUZZI: You answered my second question. You never saw the paper on the boring reports?

MR. BONNER: I did not have time to go through the boring reports. I only heard that they indicated different compactions at different areas where they were taken, and I might describe that a boring report as you go down and take a core....

MR. BOCCUZZI: Mr. Bonner, I know what a boring report is. My point is the paper was never made available to you about the boring report.

MR. BONNER: No, I did not see the paper.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. BOCCUZZI: Then we assumed that we had a boring report, #1. We really don't know if we had one and I have to agree with Mr. White, we should when there's a change order in any contract, there should be a signature. If the Commissioner doesn't want us to know whose signature it is, that's one thing, and when he says, "The buck stops here," getting back to Mr. DeLuca, that's something else. I feel that whether you like it or not, a mistake was made and something should be done. If a person forgets to wear his steel-toed shoes, he gets two days suspension, no pay. Here it costs us \$38,000 and the buck stops here. That's all I'm going to say, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: This can be referred again to the 18th Board, and maybe we can get a definite answer as to who was responsible.

MR. BURKE: Maybe the report is boring, but I don't think that's what they mean when they talk about boring reports, Jim. The reports of the test bores as they were taken, if my recollection is correct at our meeting, led one to believe and wonder whether that whole installation should have been put there in the first place. Irrespective of the types of pads or anything. There is a little more involved than just one change order.

PRESIDENT SANTY: As I said to Mr. Boccuzzi, to all the members concerned, I think that's as far as we can possibly go with this Board. It can be resubmitted to the new Board. I do thank Mr. Bonner because he did a very comprehensive study on that. Thank you, Mr. Bonner.

PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - Co-Chairmen Lathon Wider & David Blum

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's now 5 minutes to 2.

(1) REPORT

MR. WIDER: I certainly appreciate to be given this opportunity at 2 o'clock in the morning. On November 8, 1983, the Co-Chairmen of the Public Housing and Community Development Committee met with P.B. along with the staff from Stamford Community Development Program. They couldn't come up with any kind of solution. Ms. Summerville representing the Board also attended the meeting. They could not come up with any decision because the Minutes of our action of our last meeting were not ready and they will not be able to come up with anything on the salaries for our employees until after those Minutes are ready. So, we'll be listening to hear from them in the very near future after the Minutes are completed. Thank you so much.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Wider.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE - Chairman Philip Stork

MR. STORK: Thank you, Madam President. The Personnel Committee met on Thursday, November 10, at 8:00 p.m. in the Main meeting room. Members of the Committee in attendance were Reps. Gaipa, Gershman, and myself. Excused were Reps. Dziezyc and Dudley. The Committee did not have a quorum, Madam President, but there are two important matters that I would like to move our of Committee for action by our Board tonight.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Let's do one at a time, Mr. Stork.

MR. STORK: Fine.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. STORK: I'd like to move for Suspension of the Rules to move item #1 on our Agenda out of Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You don't have to Suspend the Rules; just bring it out of Committee.

- (1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO CREATE THE POSITION OF "SAFETY AND TRAINING OFFICER" - text as submitted by Law Department and Finance Department. Approved for publication 10/11/83. Rep. Wiederlight's letter to Risk Mgr. I. Center 5/5/83. Public Hearing to be held.

MR. STORK: I move out of Committee item 1 on our Agenda, which is for final adoption, a proposed ordinance to create the position of safety and training officer.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Due to a lack of a quorum of the Personnel Committee, it has moved by the Chairman of that Committee, to move out of Committee the final adoption of the proposed ordinance creating the position of safety and training office. Is there a second to that? Seconded. Any discussion? All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? We have to use the machine. Just a Majority is needed. Use your machine. The motion is to bring the proposed ordinance creating the position of a safety and training officer out of Committee. Please use your machine. Has everyone voted? The motion to bring this item out of Committee, Mr. Stork, has been defeated 14 affirmative, 17 negative, 0 abstaining and 0 not-voting.

MR. STORK: Finally, I would like to bring out of Committee item #3 on our Agenda which is a consideration of rescinding the approval granted at our October 11, 1983 meeting...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Stork, can we go on to item 2?

MR. STORK: I'm not acting on item #2, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You can report somekind of action on item #2 since it's on the Agenda.

MR. STORK: Again, we didn't have a quorum and didn't meet, Madam President. I was only attempting to bring 1 and 3 out.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Stork, but you are obligated to go down the whole Agenda. Let's go with #2.

- (2) REQUEST FROM REP. ROBERT "GABE" DeLUCA 9/15/83, pursuant to Ord. 510, TO CONSIDER EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT OF Thomas Barrett, Labor Negotiator, with the City of Stamford, which is expiring end of this year. Personnel Commission discussed this contract 10/27/83 at their meeting.

MR. STORK: Item #2 could be considered completed because we received a letter from the Mayor saying that the contract of the labor negotiator was not even prepared and presented for approval.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Alright, so that's just a report.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

- (3) REQUEST OF REPS. DeLUCA AND BOCCUZZI to consider the rescinding of approval granted at 10/11/83 meeting to utilize existing funds in salary accounts of Police and Fire Departments to fund salary increases as follows, retroactive to 7/1/83; Mayor's letter 9/20/83:

Police Chief.....\$50,000/annum, 7/1/83.
Fire Chief..... 50,000/annum, 7/1/83.
Deputy Police Chiefs (2 positions)..... 45,000/annum, 7/1/83.
Asst. Fire Chief (appointment effective 8/29)... 44,000/annum, 7/1/83.

Letters from both Departments indicating funds available in salary accounts and no new monies are requested.

Above also referred to HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

MR. STORK: Item #3 I would like to move our of Committee which is a consideration of rescinding of approval granted at our 10/11/83 to utilize existing funds in salary accounts of the Police and Fire Departments.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made to bring item 3 as just stated by Mr. Stork, our of Committee. Is there a second to that motion? All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? We will use the machine for a vote. Please use your machine. Has everyone voted? There's only 31 members present. We cross-off Mr. Wiederlight's vote. Cancel that. Audrey Maihock did not.... Ms. Summerville directed Mrs. Maihock to vote in her place and Mrs. Maihock voted. But, we have to strike off the yes vote for Mr. Wiederlight at 38. That's was a yes vote, I'm sorry. So the vote has PASSED. The vote to take this item out of Committee has PASSED 24 affirmative, and 7 negative, 0 abstaining and 0 not-voting. Let the record show that Mr. Wiederlight did not vote. The motion has PASSED.

MR. STORK: Thank you. When we voted on this item last month, Madam President, you announced that 21 votes were needed for passage. The vote was 13 in favor, and 10 opposed thus resulting in a defeat of the request for approval of salary increases. Subsequently to that meeting, you determined that only a majority vote was needed and that, in fact, our Board had approved the request for salary increases. The misrepresentation of the vote has lead to the request before us tonight to rescind the previous action taken by this Board last month and I so move.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There's a motion made. Is there a second to that motion? There's a motion made and seconded to rescind the action that we took at our October 11th meeting granting salary increases to the Police Chief, Fire Chief, two Deputy Police Chiefs and an Assistant Fire Chief. Discussion?

MRS. GERSHMAN: Thank you. I don't think that it really matters what you called it from the Floor, I think that it matters what the vote was and what the legalities are of the vote, and the vote was legally that this was passed by a majority, and, therefore, I think that this is improperly before us, and I would certainly move not reconsider it. I guess I can't move not to reconsider it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Before you go any further, Mrs. Gershman, it is legally before us. I have Corporation Counsel's ruling here, and we can rescind any action, and I have Robert's Rules here so it is legally before us. We're going to vote on this tonight.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. GERSHMAN: I'm happy to hear that that's been cleared up then. I would then certainly urge that we once again, vote in the affirmative to give these salary increases because...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Gershman, a little mistake here. The motion on the Floor is rescind the action so you are stating to vote negative if you want the raises.

MRS. GERSHMAN: I think that that has to be it. That we are then asking that with a negative vote that we do not rescind the raises; that we allow them to stay.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Gershman.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Point of information, Madam Chairman. I'm sorry. I just missed that exchange. Would you please explain what...

PRESIDENT SANTY: The motion on the Floor made and seconded to rescind the action that we took at our October 11th meeting. It is legal and properly before us. It needs a simple majority.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The affect of rescinding would mean...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Would mean that the action we took on October 11th is null and void and these raises are not granted.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: O.K. That's what I thought.

MR. BURKE: A point of information, please.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Burke.

MR. BURKE: On this vote, what is needed? A simple majority, two-thirds?

PRESIDENT SANTY: A simple majority; just a majority of those present and voting.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Madam President. I voted for these salaries the last time believing, in fact, that I was voting for them. I would urge that we vote for them once again whatever vote is needed; either affirmative or negative. The point is this; is that, look, when you talk about police, when you talk about a fire, when you talk about sanitation and so on, you are talking about the very gut of government. You're talking about what really makes a community tick. One of the problems with Stamford is the fact it's growing the way it's growing; very badly as far as I'm concerned, but we always talk about the fact that Stamford has become a big city and then we turnaround and we expect and do the most one-horse things with respect to city government. The point is whether you like these men or not, the point is these jobs in a town like Stamford are worth this salary, and you cannot expect to get, you may get, but you cannot expect to get high type of personnel in these positions if you're not going to shell out the money to get them, and I certainly believe you're talking about critical services; you're talking about police; you're talking about fire; you're talking about sanitation; you're talking about the guts of a city. Certainly, you should start to fund these services adequately and I think these salaries, in fact, should be approved. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. White.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. WIDER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I hate to feel confused and right now, you have really confused me. The fact is that you said, and I heard you say, even on radio, that the vote that we have taken was actually legal last time. It was a majority vote. What are we doing now?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Nothing, nothing. It was brought to the Floor of this Board by the Steering Committee and members to place on the Agenda rescinding the action we took on October 11th. What we did October 11th, was proper and legal. The raises were granted, but some members of this Board felt that we should rescind that action and that's what we're doing tonight. If you're still in favor of the raises, you vote against rescinding the action.

MR. WIDER: Thank you. That kind of clears up something.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's proper. The members of this Board do have the right to rescind the action that was taken at the October 11th meeting.

MR. WIDER: I don't think it's really necessary to even be working on it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Well, it is because the Board member has the right to do it, Mr. Wider.

MR. DUDLEY: Move the question.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There's a motion made and seconded to move the question before we go...

MR. LIVINGSTON: Point of information, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There's five speakers left and there is a motion on the Floor to move the question. Yes, Mr. Livingston.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I don't know if you are going to call my point of information a valid one, but, however, because of illness, I wasn't at our last meeting and I don't really understand the reasoning for rescinding this. Please, I would like someone to explain it to me.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You received many letters regarding this, Mr. Livingston, in the mail, and it was brought to this Board by certain members to rescind the action that was taken. It's legal and it's proper. If they would like to bring those points forth now, fine.

MR. LIVINGSTON: They didn't give a reason, Madam President?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, you received that in the mail, Mr. Livingston. Right now, the question has been moved and that really is not debatable.

MRS. GUROIAN: Point of order, Madam Chairman.

MRS. PERILLO: May I say something which is confusing everyone?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mrs. Perillo.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. PERILLO: At the October meeting when this item came up, you stated we needed 21 votes. We did not. It wasn't an additional appropriation; we just needed a simple majority and that's where the error came in.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Right, and it was ruled by the Chair at that time, that the vote was defeated. Prior to the action report, it was ruled by the Chair that it was not defeated; that the salaries stood as an increase. It was brought by members of this Board to rescind that action that we took granting the salary increases.

MR. WIDER: So what do we need now, Madam Chairman.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Right now there is a motion on the Floor...

MRS. GUROIAN: Madam Chairman, point of information.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Just one moment, Mrs. Guroian. Right now, Mr. Wider, the motion is on the Floor to rescind the action we took at October 11th. That's what we're doing at this point. The motion has been moved. Point of information, Mrs. Guroian.

MRS. GUROIAN: Like Mr. Wider, I am terribly confused. What do the Minutes say for that meeting.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Guroian, the motion is on the Floor, the question has been moved, it's legal and proper.

MRS. GUROIAN: The point remains, I would imagine the Minutes reflect exactly what happened. The Chair ruled that the motion did not pass, and that's what the Minutes will reflect. How did the Minutes change, if anything?

PRESIDENT SANTY: The Minutes did not change. The Action Report changed before the Action Report went out, Mrs. Guroian. The motion is legal and proper before us. We now have a motion to move the question. That's what we're voting on now. All in favor of moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? I need two-thirds. We better use the machine to move the question. We need 21 votes to move the question. Has everyone voted? There's 31 members present and we need 21 votes to move the question. Has everyone voted? Ms. Summerville, for the final vote, maybe you and Audrey better change places unless you can reflect your vote.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: She can handle it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The motion to move the question has been defeated 17 affirmative, 12 negative, 0 abstaining and 2 not-voting. We'll go to the next speaker.

MRS. MCINERNEY: Madam Chairman, last month I spoke against this item, and I brought to the attention of the Board I thought very specifically and very clearly that no new monies were needed according to the letters that I had received. A copy of the Minutes from the October 11th Board meeting indicate that after the item was introduced, that no new monies were required. That material that we got in the mail indicated that there was an assumption that additional funds were required, and there seemed to be some confusion. I don't think that any of the material that was supplied to us was confusing. I think it was clear from the beginning. I voted against it. However, it did pass legally if it only needed a majority, and I would not support a move to rescind any action

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MRS. McINERNEY: (continuing) because of my personal feelings previously. I think that the Board made a stand that the majority of the number of people won that stand and that I was on the side that lost and I think that was the democratic way and I would, as I indicated, would not rescind even though my feelings might still be strong, I think it would be very unfair of me to do that.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The motion on the Floor now is to rescind the action that we took. It's a legal and proper motion. Mr. Rybnick, you're speaking to that?

MR. RYBNICK: Move the question.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Rybnick has made a motion and it has been seconded to move the question. All in favor of moving the question, say aye. Opposed? Will the no votes please raise their hands instead of using the machine. 1 no vote. We're going to move the question.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Roll call vote, please.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Ms. Summerville would like a Roll call vote. Is there a second? Is there a Second? There's a second. All in favor of a Roll call vote, raise your hands. Not sufficient. We'll not have a Roll call vote. We'll use the machine. The motion before us is to rescind the action that we took on the October 11th meeting granting raises to the people that were mentioned. If you are against rescinding the action, vote no. If you are for rescinding the action, vote yes. Please use your machine.

MS. SUMMERVILLE, Madam President, point of information.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Ms. Summerville.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Mr. Wider did want to vote. He had to go to....

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'll wait for him. I'll give you plenty of time. The motion on the Floor is to rescind the action. If you are in favor of rescinding, vote yes. If you are against rescinding the action, vote no. Has everyone voted? A simple majority is required. The motion to rescind the action has been DEFEATED 7 affirmative, 23 negative, 0 abstaining and 11 not-voting (that should be 1 not-voting). The action that we took at October 11th meeting stands. Is that clear to everyone. My Parliamentarians to confer, Mr. Hogan and Mr. Donahue. Do you feel that any further motions are necessary at this time?

MR. HOGAN: No. Your motion is clear, Madam Chairman.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Donahue, do you agree with that? Fine, then I will agree with the Parliamentarians.

MRS. GUROIAN: Madam Chairman, I still would like to voice an objection. The official record of a meeting is the Minutes of the meeting, not an Action Report. I cannot see how just the President can decide for herself, without the vote of a Body, to change the official records of that Meeting; by changing the Action Report, you do not change the official records.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

PRESIDENT SANTY: MRS. GUROIAN, you weren't even recognized. I wish you would learn to be a lady.

MRS. GUROIAN: I don't have to be recognized for a point of order.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You just can't teach manners.

MRS. GUROIAN: You're so right. You're the first one who should go to school.

MRS. McINERNEY: Madam Chairman, I think that we started off on a good night; let's try to end it. This Board has worked very hard. We have to respect all of us; each and every individual and at this point in time, I think we should go on with the Committee report and conclude the business of this Board.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Stork, will you continue, please and no further interruptions.

MR. STORK: Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: One moment, Mr. Stork. Mr. Franchina is leaving. Thank you, Mr. Franchine. It's been great. Mr. Franchina is not returning. We now have 30 members present. Mr. Stork, I'm so sorry for the interruption. Please continue.

- (4) THE MATTER OF MERIT INCREASES FOR NON-UNION ADMINISTRATORS AND A SCHEDULE SHOWING 13 STEPS, RECEIVED IN BACK-UP MATERIAL FROM PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT, WHICH SHOULD INITIALLY BE SUBMITTED TO BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. Submitted by Rep. DeLuca 10/19/83.

MR. STORK: I would like to move to hold that item since our Committee did not have dialogue on this and we'll refer it to the 18th Board.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Stork. Does that complete your report?

MR. STORK: No. I'd like to conclude my final report as Chairman of the Personnel Committee by thanking the members of the Committee for their dedication, long hours of research and comprehensive study of the important matters that have come before us. The Committee consists of Reps. Paul Dziezyc, Walter Gaipa, Elizabeth Gershman, Handy Dixon, Jim Dudley and David Jachimczyk. I'd also like to give a special note of thanks to former members of the Personnel Committee, Reps. John Hogan and Michael Wiederlight. I sincerely appreciate the ideas and suggestions that each and every Committee member contributed to our Committee's work. I'm especially proud of the fact that officials of our City government were able to, once again, appear before the Personnel Committee with dignity and without fear of persecution. I hope this is a trend that continues over to the 18th Board of Representatives. On a personal note, Madam President, I am proud to have been Personnel Committee Chairman this term, but even more proud in being able to serve this great City as a member of the 15th, 16th, and 17th Board. I will sincerely miss the long hours of work among the various Committee but on the other hand, I'm looking forward to a short period of rest. I hope to be of service to Stamford again in the near future. A final thanks to my 39 colleagues. I respect each and every one of you and I wish you all well. That concludes the report of the Personnel Committee, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Stork, and thank you for your service and you are an excellent Personnel Chairman.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE - Co-Chairwomen Mary Lou Rinaldi and
Barbara DeGaetani

MS. RINALDI: We have no report this evening but I would like to thank the members of the E, W, & G Committee especially, Barbara DeGaetani, my Co-Chairman.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Fine, thank you, Ms. Rinaldi.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE COMMITTEE - Co-Chairman Paul Dziezyc and
Michael Wiederlight

PRESIDENT SANTY: We don't have either one of them present, and there is no report.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE: Co-Chairmen Anthony Conti and John Zelinski, Jr.

MR. ZELINSKI: Thank you, Madam President. The Legislative and Rules Committee did meet on Monday, November 14. Present were Co-Chairman Zelinski, Rep. Maihock and Rep. McInerney, along with Cadie Vos, the Mayor's Executive Aide. Unfortunately, we did not have a quorum. Rep. Dudley was excused for business.

- (1) REQUEST FROM REP. McINERNEY 9/21/83 TO CLARIFY THE LEASE BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE FORMER WEST MAIN COMMUNITY CENTER. Held 10/11/83.

MR. ZELINSKI: Regarding item #1, Cadie Vos, the Mayor's Aide did bring with her and distributed one of the leases. However, there wasn't sufficient time for our Committee nor my colleagues to get a copy of it and digest all the information on it and there is another lease that is also forthcoming, so that item, unfortunately, will have to be held for the new Board.

At this time, I would like to thank the members of my L&R Committee, especially my Co-Chairman Tony Conti, Rep. Bonner, Rep. Maihock, Rep. Saxe, Rep. McInerney, Rep. Dudley, Rep. Owens and Rep. Donahue. I appreciate their held and cooperation, and also at this time, I would like to say to you, Madam President, that I think you were very conscientious, hard working and a very fair President and it was a pleasure to serve under you. Thank you.

MR. CONTI: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I'd like to echo the sentiments of Rep. Zelinski. On the Legislative and Rules Committee, there were times we didn't see eye to eye, but we did get along well and I think we did complete the term satisfactorily, and I'm quite proud of having served with the members of the Committee and I thank you all for being part of this Board. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Conti.

STANDING COMMITTEESHOUSE COMMITTEE - Chairman Gerald Rybnick

- (1) RENOVATION OF SECOND FLOOR OF MUNICIPAL OFFICE BUILDING; NEW CARPETING OR SANITIZE PRESENT CARPETING; REPAIR HOLES; ALCOVE FOR REFRIGERATOR; ENCLOSE AIR-CONDITIONING FLOOR UNITS; EXTERMINATE ENTIRE BUILDING - follow bidding procedures where applicable - submitted by Clerk of the Board Annie M. Summerville 11/1/83.

HOUSE COMMITTEE: (CONTINUED)

MR. RYBNICK: In regards to the renovation of the second floor, Mr. John Strat assured me that the exterminator does the entire building every month, once every month in this particular building. In regard to getting the rest of the items performed, we'll have to wait until the men complete the work being done in the Law office and the Engineering department. We'll have to wait for our turn. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Rybnick.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Through you to Chairperson Rybnick, I think in the submitting of this particular item, I had mentioned getting the carpet cleaned. Will we also have to wait until those men are finished on the 6th Floor? Same experts doing the same thing? I've very serious. It's getting to be a real health hazard. I would hate for Dr. Gofstein to come in here tonight and see what where we have our sandwiches. As far as I'm concerned, it's becoming a very serious problem. We might chuckle about it but with me cleanliness comes first.

MR. RYBNICK: Ann, can I ask you where you found these little scriptures, these little things that walk around?

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I'm not only talking about the little things, I'm talking about the filth. In the other room, if we all want to take a little break now, we go in there and it is not what I represent, and I'm serious. If I can do anything to assist, I think Mr. Strat and all of them have to realize that we're not pigs down here and that is not the way we would like to present ourselves, The public goes in and out of that place, and I would like to see it cleaned up.

MR. RYBNICK: I'll certainly keep after them.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Rybnick. I know he'll keep after them, Ms. Summerville. We have already considered the Coliseum Authority Committee.

COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Elizabeth Gershman

- (1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE 480 CONCERNING COLISEUM AUTHORITY - to extend its life by 15 years to 1998, per request from Mayor Louis A. Clapes and Finance Comm. Marra 9/19/83. Approved for publication 10/11/83.

APPROVED - See page 12 of Minutes.

- (2) REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF COLISEUM AUTHORITY BUDGET FOR F/Y 1983-1984 per telephone request 9/19/83 from Comm. Marra. Held in Committee 10/11/83 for complete breakdown, itemized detail of budget.

APPROVED - see page 18 of Minutes.

LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE - Co-Chairperson Barbara McInerney and
John Boccuzzi(1) REPORT.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, Madam President. The Labor Contracts Liaison Committee does not have a written report tonight. However, I am going to do a follow-up with Tom Barrett on the standing of the various City unions. The one that I can report to everybody now is that the Factfinder's report on the Police Union contract which this Board approved, was turned down by the Police Union itself, and that particular contract has gone to binding arbitration, but I will call, check and update and send a written report before this Board expires December 1.

On behalf of myself and I'm sure Mr. Boccuzzi, we'd like to thank the members of this Committee: Mr. DeLuca, Mr. Stork, Mr. Dudley and Mr. Wiederlight. It was the committee that was bore out of concerns, dealing with the high cost of union wages and increases within the City and we tried to do the best job we could with what we had to work with and everybody knows how difficult it is to work with binding arbitration, but I enjoyed, and I certainly appreciate the cooperation of those members. I'm sure Mr. Boccuzzi might want to say something.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Before you go on to that, Mr. Boccuzzi, Mr. Rybnick has left. We have 30 members present.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I'd like to agree with Mrs. McInerney.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm glad someone is in an agreeable mood.

PETITIONS - NONE

RESOLUTIONS

- (1) SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE PROPOSED NORTHEAST UTILITIES 13% INCREASE FOR ELECTRICITY FOR RESIDENTIAL USERS - submitted by Rep. John Zelinski.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Sense-of-the-Board resolution proposed by Rep. Zelinski. We all had it before us, opposing the Northeast Utilities 13% residential rate increase. Is there a second to that? All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Zelinski, would you like to move for Suspension of the Rules to consider another item?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, I would. I believe everyone got in their package tonight, and I apologize...a memo from me asking to Suspend the Rules to honor Mr. Kevin Angers upon being chosen the outstanding lifeguard. He is going to be honored on November 25, and I think this would also make his day by having our Board honor him too. I would move that we Suspend the Rules.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a second to Suspend the Rules? Seconded. All in favor of Suspending the Rules, please say aye. Opposed? We're Suspending the Rules. Do you want to bring forth for final adoption that resolution?

RESOLUTIONS: (CONTINUED)

- (2) SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION HONORING KEVIN ANGERS AS BEING CHOSEN WITH THE MICHAEL J. LESTER OUTSTANDING LIFEGUARD AWARD FOR 1983. Submitted by Rep. John R. Zelinski.

MR. ZELINSKI: I move for the Resolution. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made to approve the resolution honoring Mr. Kevin Angers. It has been seconded. Any discussion? All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mrs. Maihock, you want to move for the Suspension of the Rules.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I move for Suspension of the Rules to present a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution in honor of Kurt Semmel.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There's a second to Suspending the Rules. All in favor of Suspending the Rules, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. I hope there's 21 members here. Ms. Summerville, is there 20? You want to move for final adoption?

- (3) SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION HONORING KURT SEMMEL - submitted by Reps. Audrey Maihock, Betty Gershman, Barbara McInerney, and James Bonner.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I would like to move for final adoption of this resolution. It's a resolution to express the 17th Board of Representatives' deep appreciation to Kurt Semmel for courageous and meritorious service to his Community. This resolution is submitted by Audrey Maihock and Betty Gershman of the 19th District and Barbara McInerney and James Bonner of the 20th District.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A motion has been made. Is there a second to that? Any discussion? All in favor of the resolution honoring Kurt Semmel, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

MRS. MCINERNEY: Yes. Madam President, before any of the other members of this Body leave tonight, I'd like to thank you for trying to guide us through the past two years with patience, understanding, fairness. It's not an easy job even from the short times that I have sat up in the front of this Body, to conduct the business meeting and sit and patiently listen and try to hear and understand and comprehend every issue that comes before this Board. I think that any person who undertakes that particular position, that undertakes the responsibility of dealing with the staff of this Body, has got to have courage, and has got to have some kind of patience and certainly, I feel Lois, that we've all grown together over the past two years. This Board started out in a 20-20 division that was very clear and very wide, and I look around now, and I can see that this Body has learned to work together as friends, to learn to cooperate together as friends, and our common goal was always up front. It was for the betterment of the City of Stamford, and I'd like to thank all the 39 members and especially Lois, you, for all of your guidance and your help for all of us. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. It came at a very good time tonight, let me tell you.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Lois, before we go, I'd like to express that the Democratic side of the aisle along with Mrs. McInerney, that we had problems in the beginning; we ironed them out. We worked together and I think when we all look back at the 17th Board of Representatives, I think we learned to respect each other for one thing which is most important, and the other thing is that I do think that we did accomplish some great things for the City of Stamford, and as President of the Board, we should congratulate you for doing what you have done for this Board.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. You're embarrassing me.

MRS. SIGNORE: Thank you. I was suppose to be first but anyhow, I'd like to say a large word of thanks to our 17th Board President, Jeanne-Lois Santy. It's been one of the most difficult presidencies in the history of this Board; dealing with a group of 20 democrats and 20 republicans has probably been the most challenging experience of her life and one which she has met with dignity, fairness, patience and complete professionalism. I mean this, Lois. I'm not finished. I'd like to paraphrase the slogan that Lois and I have run with through so many elections, with, "she cares" and she does care and we care, Lois. Thank you.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Madam Chairman. As the immediate past president, I really know what you went through, and I really want to tell you, Lois, I really think you did a superb job under very, very, trying and challenging circumstances, and it was a pleasure serving with you as President and it's been a pleasure serving with everybody on this Board. It was a very good Board and you are to be congratulated for that.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Sandy.

MR. WIDER: Thank you, Madam President. I certainly would like to commend you on a fine job you did this year under some trying circumstances. I want to thank the Public Housing and Community Development Committee. It's been a trying year for us; very trying. On behalf of Mr. Blum who is ill, I can't say too much for Mr. Roos. He's been a valuable asset to the Committee, and Mrs. Saxe has been valuable to all of us including me. I want to thank them all and I would like to say this now. Because you're going off the Board, doesn't mean that your job is completed. We can still use your advice; Mrs. Saxe is going off the Board; Mr. Roos is going off the Board. We can still use your advice and we're open to listen to you anytime. Feel free to contact us. Again, on behalf of Mr. Blum, thank you so much.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Wider.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I would like to also say thank you very much, Jeanne-Lois Santy for your great job. We have been very fortunate to have two fine and exceptional lady presidents, Jeanne-Lois Santy and Sandy Goldstein. Jeanne-Lois Santy has held an uncontested record. At our last year's budget hearing when she spoke continuously all night long until 6:45 a.m., she was as cheerful as ever at adjournment time. We are very grateful to you, Lois, for your continuous and conscientious efforts, your very able leadership and outstanding contribution to our City. I would also like to take a moment to express our collective sadness that so many of our finest republican representatives will be leaving our Board. The names are a roster of very dedicated people who have devoted considerable effort and time to serve our City: Marie Hawe, Ann Saxe, Betty Gershman, Barbara DeGaetani, Jim Bonner, John Roos, Anthony Conti, Philip Stork, Paul Dziezyc, Walter Gaipa, Joseph Franchina and Joseph Tarzia; and Burt Flounders, yes. I would also like to thank my Environmental Protection Committee for their very great help. Thank you very much.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I didn't hear anybody thank Ann Summerville, but on behalf of Ann Summerville, I thank Ann Summerville for being such a sweet person, and I'd like the Minutes to show that Ann Summerville thanked herself. Lois Santy did thank me. Lois, I'm going to miss you.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Point of order.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I'm out of order.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. First of all, I just don't think that Ms. Summerville should have said that. We were finishing the President and going on to the Clerk.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: When you have your coats on, you're ready to go already.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: That's not true. This is a suit jacket, but Annie, you are one sensational Clerk.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We really didn't finish the Agenda yet. I want to thank you all and believe me, I wrote you all personal notes. I meant them sincerely.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I would like to say that Philip Stork and Mr. Wiederlight were very helpful to me as Clerk of the Board, and I'm going to miss you all because you had such a humorist attitude and I enjoy counting the votes. I'm also going to miss my two cookie ladies; Barbara DeGaetani and Betty Gershman. I promise you I'll keep in touch; you're two wonderful friends. Mr. Bonner, you look so much like Mr. Flounders, how could I not like you? I'm going to miss all of you. Ann Saxe, we will miss you on the Community Housing and Development Committee, but I will keep Lathon straight. I hope we all will keep in touch. If I'm not Clerk of the Board next time, I'll be sitting down there and do all the things that you all would want to do if you were here. Just let me know. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. At this time, I would like to thank Ann. Besides feeding me and taking care of the Agenda up here, she really was a great help. I thank you all. It was a great two years. We've had our moments but I think we learned the gracious art of compromising. That's one thing this Board has accomplished. I don't think ever in history there will be a 20-20 Board, and I wouldn't wish that on anyone, but in case there was, I think if there are a few of us left over, I think we'll be able to handle it greatly. I love you all.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES

May 11, 1983 Adjourned Special Budget Meeting - not completed.

June 6, 1983 Regular Board meeting - not completed.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm sorry to say May 11 and June 6th Minutes are just not ready. It's unfortunate the 18th Board has to approve them. I don't know how they're going to but that's how it's going to have to be.

October 11, 1983 Regular Board Meeting.

PRESIDENT SANTY: May I have a motion to accept the October 11th Regular Board Meeting. So moved.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES: (CONTINUED)

MRS. McINERNEY: Page 89, underneath the Personnel Committee, item #5 dealing with the request for Police and Fire Commissions, there's a typo, paragraph 1, 2, 3, it says Personnel Committee voted 4 in favor and none opposed to grant approval of these salary increases. Salary is spelled improperly; it's "sslary."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Fine, thank you. Any other corrections to the Minutes. There's a motion to accept. Mrs. Signore, you made a motion to accept the Minutes. Is there a second? Seconded. All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? ACCEPTED UNANIMOUSLY.

May 10, 1983 Special Budget Meeting

PRESIDENT SANTY: May I have a motion to accept the May 10th Special budget meeting Minutes? So moved. Is there a second? Seconded. Any discussion? All in favor, please say aye. PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS

- (1) Letter 10/26/83 from CT&E (Committee on Training and Employment, Inc.) advising of Workshops to be held for the general public this fall. Submitted by Rep. Annie M. Summerville 11/1/83.
- (2) Letter 10/12/83 from Connecticut Governor William A. O'Neill concerning North State Street - addressed to and submitted by Rep. Summerville.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We have two communications which are on record. A letter from Committee on Training and Employment and also the letter from Governor William O'Neill.

NEW BUSINESS - NONE

OLD BUSINESS - NONE

MR. BOCCUZZI: Annie, we love you. Let that go on the Record.

ADJOURNMENT

PRESIDENT SANTY: May I have a motion to adjourn? Seconded. All in favor say aye. We are adjourned at 2:37 a.m.

By Helen M. McEvoy
Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Assistant
(and Recording Secretary)

APPROVED:

Jeanne Lois Santy
Jeanne Lois Santy, President
17th Board of Representatives

ak
Enc.