# MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 13, 1972 12th Board of Representatives Stamford, Connecticut

The 72th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford met in a regular session on Monday, November 13, 1972, in the Board's meeting room, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order at 8:55 P.M. by the President George V. Connors, after a Caucus by the respective parties.

INVOCATION - The Invocation was given by Rev. Stanley Hemsley, St. John's Episcopal Church.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO FLAG: The President led the members in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

#### CHECK OF VOTING MACHINE:

A check of the Voting Machine was conducted with everything in good order.

## MOMENT OF SILENCE:

A moment of silence was observed at this time in memory of CHARLES HORNER, Chief of the Long Ridge Fire Department, recently deceased.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 38 present and 2 absent. The absent members were: Stephen E. Kelly (D) 4th District and Philip J. Gambino (D) 6th District.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - October 2, 1972

The Minutes of the above meeting were approved.

PAGES:

The President announced the presence of two Pages: Cathy Heinzer and Kim Crenier.

### COMMITTEE REPORTS:

The reading of the report of the Steering Committee was waived and appears below:

### STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

### MEETING HELD OCTOBER 30, 1972

A meeting of the Steering Committee was held on Monday, October 30, 1972 in the Board of Representatives' meeting rooms, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order by Minority Leader, Mr. Thomas A. Morris, at 8 F.M. The following were present: Mr. Morris, Mr. Truglia, Mrs. Laitman, Mr. John Boccuzzi, Mr. Heinzer, Mr. Russell, Mr. Morabito, Mr. Rose, Mr. Colasso, Mrs. Pont-Briant, Mr. Exnicios and Mrs. Sherman. Also present were: Mr. Rybnick, Mr. Lenz, Mr. Dixon and Mr. Livingston.

The following matters were discussed and acted upon:

(1) Appointments:

9406

PLANNING BOARD - Mr. Samuel Bernstein (D) - Reappointment - Term Ending Dec. 1, 1970

FAIR RENT COMMISSION ALTERNATE - Mr. Lloyd Noad (R) - Replacing Mr. O'Connor who resigned - Term Ending Dec. 1, 1977

The above appointments were ORDERED ON THE AGENDA under APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

(2) Additional Appropriations:

All appropriations held in Committee at the October 2, 1972 Board Meeting, were ORDERED ON THE ACENDA under FISCAL COMMITTEE

All other items that were APPROVED by the Board of Finance at their meeting held October 12, 1972 and their Adjourned Meeting held October 18, 1972 woro ORDERED ON THE AGENDA - Appropriations \$2,000 or over were also referred to a secondary Committee.

(3) <u>Resolution - BEDFORD STREET PARKING GARAGE</u> - (See Mayor's letter of Sept. 22, 1972

ORDERED ON AGENDA under FISCAL COMMITTEE

(4) <u>PENN CENTRAL RAILROAD LANDFILL PROJECT</u> - (See Mayor's letter of Oct. 19, 1972

ORDERED ON AGENDA under FISCAL COMMITTEE

(5) <u>Resolution - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF TWO HUNDRED FORTY-FOUR THOUSAND</u> <u>SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-NINE DOLLARS (\$244,659) GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE</u> <u>CITY TO FINANCE CERTAIN OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR</u> <u>THE FISCAL YEAR 1971-1972 AS AMENDED, TO BE FINANCED WITH FUNDS RAISED</u> <u>BY BORHCWING</u> - (See letter from Mayor dated Oct. 25, 1972)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under FISCAL COMMITTEE

(6) <u>Resolution - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF EIGHTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED</u> <u>TWENTY-THREE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINETY-SIX DOLLARS (\$18,523,396)</u> <u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS</u> <u>IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1972-1973 AS AMENDED, TO BE</u> <u>FINANCED WITH FUNDS RAISED BY BORROWING</u> - (See Mayor's letter of Oct. 25, 1972)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under FISCAL COMMITTEE

) <u>REVISED FEE SCHEDULES FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT</u> - (Submitted by Dr. Gofstein in letter dated 3/29/72) - (Held in Committee 6/12/72, 7/10/72, 8/7/72, 9/1/72 and 10/2/72)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(8) Proposed Ordinance - Concerning amending Chapter 20 of General Ordinances by adding Sec. 20-15 thereto entitled: "ANY ACTION OF THE SEWER COMMISSION SHALL RE SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND/OR AMENDMENT BY A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES" - (Proposed by Charles J. Heinzer, III, 13th District Representative) - (Held in Committee 9/11/72 and 10/2/72)

NOT CRDERED ON AGENDA - Referred back to Committee

(9) Final adoption of Ordinance concerning EXCHANGE OF PROPERTIES BETWEEN <u>CITY OF STAMFORD AND TEMPLE BETH-EL</u>, which exchange will permit the City to effect proposed realignment of five-way intersection at Grove Street, <u>Prospect Street</u>, Hoyt Street, Strawberry Hill and Hillandale Avenues -(Mayor's letter of 8/9/72) - Adopted for publication Oct. 2, 1972 and published on Oct. 5, 1972

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(10) <u>Request for approval of Proposed Ordinance concerning EXCHANCE OF</u> <u>PROPERTY BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND MARINA AMERICA, INC., which</u> <u>exchange is needed in connection with construction of the STAMFORD</u> <u>HURRICAN BARRIER</u> - (Mayor's letter of 8/8/72) - (Held in Committee Oct. 2, 1972)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(11) Proposed Ordinance CONCERNING LEASE OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY ON WATER STREET FOR \$1,200 A YEAR, FOR A FIVE YEAR TERM TO ALEXANDER R. KOPROSKI AND PATRICIA A. KOPROSKI - (Mayor's letter of May 19, 1972) - (Approved by Bd. of Finance 7/13/72 - NOT ordered on Agenda by Steering Committee at 7/24/72 meeting, but referred to L & R Committee ---See Minutes of 8/7/72 page 9305 - Deferred on 10/2/72)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE and PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE

(12) <u>Proposed Ordinance - CONCERNING LEASHING OF DOGS</u> - (Proposed by Warren Knapp, 14th District Representative) - (Held in Committee Oct. 2, 1972)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE and HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE

(13) <u>Proposed Ordinance - CONCERNING CURFEW AT NORTHRUP PARK</u> - Requested by Mr. Joseph Morabito at the 8/7/72 Board meeting - See page 9328 of Minutes -- Held in Committee Oct. 2, 1972

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(14) Ordinance - ADOPTING AND ENACTING A NEW CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT; ESTABLISHING THE SAME; PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF CERTAIN ORDINANCES NOT INCLUDED THEREIN; EXCEPT AS HEREIN EXPRESSLY PROVIDED FOR; PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF SUCH CODE AND A PENALTY FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF AMENDING SUCH CODE; AND PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(15) <u>Provosed Ordinance - AMENDING CHAPTER 14 OF THE CODE OF GENERAL</u> <u>ORDINANCES, Entitled: "GARBAGE AND TRASH</u>" - (Held in Committee Oct. 2, 1972) - Proposed by Thomas Morris, 15th Dist. Rep.

NOT ORDERED ON AGENDA

(16) <u>Final Adoption of Ordinance - CHANGING NAME OF WILLOW STREET TO TRESSER</u> <u>EOULEVARD</u> - Adopted for publication Oct. 2, 1972 and published Oct. 6th (See "Petitions")

Atove taken off L & R and referred to PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE - (ORDERED ON AGENDA)

(17) <u>EASEMENT for road purposes, through City-owned property on west side of HAIG AVENUE, in favor of SHIRLEY H. COBLENTZ and FRANCES COBLENTZ - (Mayor's letter of 9/14/72 - Approved by Bd. of Finance on 10/12/72)</u>

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(18) <u>Resolution - AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENT OF A HISTORIC DISTRICT STUDY</u> <u>COMMITTEE, FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING AN INVESTIGATION FOR THE PROPOSED</u> <u>ESTABLISHMENT OF A FORT STAMFORD HISTORIC DISTRICT</u> - (See Mayor's letter dated 10/24/72) - (For previous Historic Study Committee see Minutes of 4/3/67, pages 5027, 5039, 40) - (See Sec. 7-147a and 7-147b of Conn. General Statutes)- (Also see letter dated 9/20/72 from Assistant Corporation Counsel, John E. Smyth, Esq. )

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(19) Proposed Ordinance TO ESTABLISH A CULTURAL COMMISSION FOR THE CITY OF <u>STAMFORD</u> - (Pursuant to enabling legislation passed in the 1971 session of the General Assembly) - Mayor's letter of 9/27/72

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(20) <u>Proposed Ordinance entitled: "PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF A BUILDING</u> BOARD OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF SEC. 19-395 OF THE <u>GENERAL STATUTES OF CONNECTICUT, AS AMENDED, AND THE STATE BUILDING</u> CODE

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(2) <u>Resolution - With reference to the proposed lease between the West Main Street Community Center and City involving Stevens School, TRANSFER JURISDICTION OF STEVENS UNDER PROVISIONS OF ORDINANCE NO. 144 FROM THE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS - (Mayor's letter of 10/26/72)</u>

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE and PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

(22) Ordinance - 60 Year Lease - BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND WEST MAIN STREET COMMUNITY CENTER (STEVENS SCHOOL) - (Mayor's letter of 10/26/72 - Approved by Bd. of Finance on 10/25/72)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE and PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

(23) Resolution - AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF STAMFORD TO APPLY TO THE STATE DEFARIMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS FOR TAX ABATEMENT REIMBURSEMENT ON LUDLOW STREET TOWN HOUSES - it is estimated that this will amount to \$350 per unit or \$12,600 - (No action taken at Sept. 11th Bd. Meeting)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(24) <u>Proposed Ordinance - BASED ON SECTION 397, ARTICLE 12 OF NEW YORK STATE LAW, entitled: "EQUIPTING MOTOR VEHICLES WITH RADIO RECEIVING SIGNALS FREQUENCIES ALLOCATED FOR POLICE USE"</u> - (Requested in letter dated 0/0/72 from Hawley Definger, Communications Supt.) - Held in Committee 9/1 /72 and NOT ordered on Agenda for Oct. 2, 1972)

NOT ORDERED ON AGENDA

(25) <u>Proposed Revisions - Amending Ordinance No. 246, "Minimum Housing</u> <u>Standards"</u>

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(26) <u>TAX ABATEMENT - AMENDED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND "NEW HOPE CORPORATION " RELATING TO "COLEMAN TOWERS"</u> - (Letter from Mayor dated Oct. 30, 1972)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(27) <u>PUBLIC WORKS & SEWER COMMITTEE will conduct an investigation of the</u> <u>Disposition of Landfill accumulated from sewer projects by private</u> <u>contractors working on City of Stamford Projects</u>

ORDERED ON AGENDA under PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

(28) <u>Complaint about UNSAFE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS ON SILVER HILL LANE</u> Letter dated 9/28/72 to Dr. Carpenter, Supt. of Schools, from Mr. & Mrs. James W. Koontz, 59 Code Drive)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under HEALTH & FROTECTION COMMITTEE

(29) <u>Request for Sidewalks on TURNER ROAD</u> - (Students who attend Newfield, Rippowam and Catholic High School, and also pedestrians walk on the read) - (Submitted by Thomas Morris, 15th Dist. Rep.)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE

(30) <u>Request to change name of Westover Road leading to part formerly referred</u> to as "GOODBODY ESTATE" recently purchased by City, be changed to "ALL <u>WARS MEMORIAL BOULEVARD</u>" beginning at junction of Palmer's Hill Road -

NOT ORDERED ON AGENDA

(31) <u>RCAD ACCEPTANCE - HIGHLINE TRAIL EXTENSION</u> - (Date of Petition Oct. 10, 1972) - (Name of Petitioner, F.R. Slink Construction, Inc.)

ORDERED CN AGENDA under PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE

- 9410
- (3.) <u>Request for the renaming of two streets KIJEK STREET, which was abladded 57759 to make way for construction of new senior high school, and HORAN AVELUE, which was eliminated due to construction of Rippowam High School (Letter concerning Horan Avenue was submitted by Thomas Morris 15th Dist. Rep.)</u>

ORDERED ON AGENDA under PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE

(33) <u>Request from Steering Committee - Send letter to City Negotiator, Mr.</u> <u>Thomas Barrett, asking to meet with leadership of Board of Representatives</u> <u>as early as possible to discuss City Labor Contracts</u>

NOT ORDERED ON AGENDA

(34) "Sense of the Board" Resolution - Requesting Mayor Wilensky to wait 15 days before signing any negotiated City Contract - (Submitted by Robert Exnicios, 1st Dist. Rep.)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

(35) <u>Resolution - AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL STATE FINANCIAL</u> <u>ASSISTANCE IN AMOUNT OF \$1,229,369.00 FOR URBAN REDEVELOPMENT</u> <u>COMMISSION, IN ORDER TO CONTINUE FEDERALLY ASSISTED URBAN RENEWAL</u> <u>PROJECT</u> - (Mayor's letter of 10/10/72 - Does not require approval by Bd. of Finance)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

(36) Letter dated Oct. 12, 1972, giving information relative to the purchase of remaining land in the old GOODBODY ESTATE owned by LAND VEST, being 69.543 acres, for approximate sum of \$658,500 amounting to \$9,468 per acre - (appraisal attached)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under COMMUNICATIONS

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:15 P.M.

Thomas A. Morris, Minority Leader

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE:

MR. THEODORE J. BOCCUZZI, Chairman, reported that his Committee met this evening in the Democratic Clucus Room, with the following Representives present: John Boccuzzi, Michael Tresser, Barbara Forman, Sidney Sherer, Becrge Ravallese, Robert Exnicios and the Chairman. He reported on the following appointments:

PLANNING BOARD:

Term Ending:

<u>SAMUEL BERNSTEIN</u> (D) 355 Cascade Road (Reappointment) Dec. 1, 1976

The above appointment was held in Committee.

FAIR RENT COMMISSION ALTERNATE:

LLOYD NOAD (R)	VOTE:	29	yes		Te	erm Endi	ng:
133 Willow Street		8	no	÷ .	D	ec. 1,	1977
(Replacing Mr. O'Connor,	who		÷				
resigned)							

<u>SUSPENSION OF THE RULES</u> - <u>Concerning Final adoption of Ordinance - CHANGING</u> NAME OF WILLOW STREET TO TRESSER BOULEVARD - (Adopted for publication Oct. 2, 1972 and published Oct. 6, 1972 - Letter and petition received, dated Oct. 26, 1972 from John McCormack, 6th Dist. Republican Town Committee - Residents of St. John's Towers objecting to the change of name of Willow Street)

MR. COSTELLO MOVED for suspension of the rules in order to hear the above matter, for the reason that there are a great many people in the audience waiting to hear this item. Seconded and CARRIED.

MR. COSTELLO said the name of this street seems to have already been changed to "Tresser Boulevard" and he does not believe the Board has approved it.

MR. RUSSELL, Chairman of the Planning & Zoning Committee, to whom this matter was referred, gave his Committee report. He said his Committee met on Thursday, November 9th and the above matter was considered. He said a number of people were present from the Towers on Willow Street in opposition to the change of the name of the street. Also, he said the Committee was presented with a petition objecting to the change of street name, signed by approximately 200 residents. He said the objections were not in deference of Mr. Tresser, but because of inconveniences that would be involved to so many people. He said the Committee listened to both sides of the question, after which the Committee said they would like to hold this in Committee and the vote was 4 to 1 to hold it in Committee.

He said since it has now been moved to take this out of Committee, he will explain some of the reasons given for not wanting the name of this street changed, and one was the inconvenience of changing their drivers licenses, which is supposed to be done within 48 hours, and there was some confusion because . the name had been changed before it was officially approved by the Board which should not have been done, and the other concerned Credit Cards, bank a bocks and numerous other addresses that had to be changed concerning magazines, etc. He said it was pointed out that the Post Office does give a year to at this, but eventually the burden would be on the persons affected. In view of all this, he said the majority of the Committee felt that possibly there could be other streets in the Urban Renewal area which are going through the process of being built and have either no buildings on them, or maybe one or two buildings at the most and the inconvenience would be considerably less. He said the Committee made no decision in this direction because they had intended to meet with other Committees. He said he feels it is proper at this time to hear from some of the Board members, pro and con.

MR. LIVINGSTON said he would like to know how this is being presented to the Board, and has it been requested that it be approved or not.

MR. RUSSELL said an Ordinance was presented to the Board last month for publication and this munth we are supposed to vote on the matter of whether or not to give this Ordinance final approval. He said after the Ordinance was published, that is when the petition was signed to oppose it. He said tonight the vote will be on the adoption of the Ordinance, and if it is adopted the name will then go through in ten days after the Mayor's signature, but if the Board does not give approval, then it remains Willow Street.

MR. LIVINGSTON MOVED to amend the Ordinance to take place within one year---not to take effect until January 1, 1974, which would then give everyone time to take care of their personal problems in connection with the change of name of the street. He spoke in favor of the Ordinance being adopted and pointed out that the so called "inconvenience" was small in comparison to the inconvenience to Mr. Tresser who gave his life for his country. Seconded by Mr. Heinzer.

MR. COSTELLO said he has no objection to a street being named "TRESSER BOULEVARD" but pointed out that this will inconvenience so many people and thinks we should pick a street that will not affect so many people. He said it is the duty of the members of this Board to listen to the people.

Several members spoke in favor of final adoption of the Ordinance, among them being Mrs. Forman, Mr. Dixon, Mr. Flanagan.

The QUESTION WAS MOVED at this time. Seconded and CARRIED.

THE PRESIDENT took a machine vote on Mr. Livingston's amendment, which was CARRIED by a vote of 23 in favor and 15 opposed.

A VOTE was then taken on the final adoption of the Ordinance, as amended, with 21 votes in favor and 16 opposing. The following is the Ordinance as APPROVED:

#### ORDINANCE NO. 252 SUPPLEMENTAL

CHANGING NAME OF WILLOW STREET TO TRESSER BOULEVARD

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

The following street, formerly known as WILLOW STREET and running

from WEST MAIN STREET to ATLANTIC STREET, approximately 18,500 feet, shall be changed to TRESSER BOULEVARD.

This Ordinance shall take effect on January 1, 1974.

#### FISCAL COMMITTEE:

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI, Chairman, said his Committee met the evening of November 8th, there being 7 members present. He reported on the following:

(1) \$4,438.57 -

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL SERVICE - Code 174.0101, Selaries -To cover salary of newly created position of Benefits Manager, effective Oct. 2, 1972 (at an annual salary of  $\frac{51...551.00}{9}$  - (REDUCED by Board of Finance on 9/14/72 from \$9,823.43 as there was a surplus in this account caused by the retirement of the Retirement Officer) - (Held in Committee on 10/2/72)

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Fiscal Committee approved this item and he SO MOVED.

MR. HEINZER seconded the motion and said the Personnel Committee concurs.

MR. RUSSBACH spoke in opposition to creating a new position in the middle of the fiscal year six months after we have passed the budget. He said he realizes the amount of training that is required, but adding the fringe benefits on top of the salary, in just a few years you will have a job paying \$15,000 plus, not including fringe benefits. He said he fails to understand why this was not brought up at the time the Budget was adopted instead of waiting until the middle of the fiscal year. He said this Board was promised, after the budget was passed, that we would have some fiscal integrity for a change.

MR. HEINZER said he was told by the Personnel Director that this job will include other work that was not formerly done by the incumbent, but will include all of the city's pensions and benefit plans and they want to hire a qualified man to fill this position, plus the fact that it will relieve someone in the Police Department who is now handling this work, and also will relieve a man in the Fire Department, so will end up with one person handling the work formerly done by three. He said he does not think the salary is too high considering the additional work to be handled.

MR. BOCCUZZI said it is true that the former incumbent in this job was covered by a salary range of \$8,089 to \$10,307. He said the person who is taking her place will be replacing the former Retirement Service Officer and will be going from a beginning salary of \$12,551 up to \$15,991, but there will be a great deal more responsibility in the new position and will relieve both a Fireman and a Policeman who are now doing this work. He said the position will be open for a test for anyone wishing to take the examination and will be kindled under Civil Service rules, with the position being filled from one of the top three.

MR. KNAPP said at the time we were considering the Budget, it was decided to cut this job out of the budget along with Clerk Typist which went along with it, for the reason that they felt at that time that the money was too great. He said he just wants to remind the members that at Budget time we voted to eliminate this from the budget and now here it is back before us again. He said he is calling on the members of this Board to remind them that they voted against this once and to please remember and vote against it now. MRC. LAITMAN said she also sat as a member of the Fiscal Committee and recalls quite vividly that the reason this was deleted from the budget was because there were no specifications or job descriptions at that time from the Personnel Department, but they have since come in with report comparing the two positions, that of the former Retirement Services Officer and the Benefits Manager and the specifications for the job of Benefits Manager greatly exceed the former job.

MR. EXHCIOS said he agrees with Mr. Russbach and Mr. Knapp and the job is necessary, but this job has since been upgraded, after the retirement of the previous incumbent and to his mind it looks like it has been upgraded about 60% which is quite high. He said he cannot see why they need someone with the qualifications as outlined in the job specification. He read the specifications for Benefits Manager and as to education, we are looking for someone who is a college graduate, with major course work related to benefits administration, at least three year of experience in public or private benefits management, including considerable experience in an administrative capacity or, any satisfactory equivalent combination of the foregoing education and experience factors. He said frankly, knowing what the job entails, he fails to see why we need a person of this caliber and can get by with a few less dollars than we are asking for. He said he would hope that this job is filled at a much lower rate than the one being proposed.

MR. ROOS said we have a man who will be handling pensions which are all spelled out for him and the job really does not require an Administrator and is a very highly paid job, considering all the fringe benefits that go with it. He said it looks very much as if we have too much man for the job.

After considerable further discussion, MR. RUSSELL MOVED this be returned to Committee.

After more discussion, this was RETURNED TO COMMITTEE, with the recommendation that the salary range be lowered from the Marcom A-10, which runs from \$12,551 to a maximum of \$15,991 - CARRIED unanimously.

(2) \$105.545.00 - <u>POLICE DEPARTMENT (To be received as a Grant from</u> <u>Connecticut Flanning Committee on Criminal Administration</u> <u>under -itle I, Fart C of Omnibus Crime Control, to be</u> <u>allocated as follows</u>:

(a) <u>Police Department</u>:

Code	530.0928	- Folice Planner		-\$15,000.00
Code	530.030	- Community Based Yout	ch Services	- 35,420.00
Code	530.0932	- Equipment Repository	7	- 25,125.00
Code	530.0933	- Police Legal Advisor		- 5,000.00
				\$80,545.00

(b) <u>Drug Liberation Program</u>:

Total -----\$105,545.00

MR. BOCCUZZI said the first Grant of \$80,545.00 deals directly with the Police Department and the Fiscal Committee approved this item. HE MOVED for its approval. Seconded by Matthew Rose, Chairman of the Health & Protection Committee, who reported that his Committee concurs. CARRIED.

N. COL

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of part (b) in the amount of \$25,000.00. Seconded by Mr. Friedman who said his Committee (Drug Abuse Committee) concurs in approval. However, he called attention to a rider on the first part under (a) which refers to \$15,000 for Code 530.0928, for a Police Planner which stipulates that the individual who will be doing this work must not be anyone who has been retired from the Police Department.

MR. ROSE said he made a mistake on his Committee report -- that in regard to this item of a Police Planner, the Committee recommended that an outside man be hired to do this job for the reason that he would bring fresh ideas to this department.

MR. HEINZER said he believes that we are in a bind. THE PRESIDENT said he knows we are.

MR. HEINZER MOVED for reconsideration of item (a) in regard to the \$15,000 for a Police Planner. Seconded and CARRIED, with several "no" votes.

MR. BOCCUZZI said he believes the biggest "hang up" here is the item of Police Planner. He said the Committee was told that the Police Planner will be chosen by the State Committee on Criminal Administration.

MR. SHERER said he now wishes to hear the rider on the approval of this item by the Health & Protection Committee.

MR. ROSE, Chairman of the Health & Protection Committee, reported that his Committee approved this, with the stipulation that they get an outside Folice Planner and that no one be hired who has been retired from the Police Department, in order to bring in fresh ideas.

MRS. FONT BRIANT objected to job discrimation and said she cannot see any reason to object to the hiring of a retired Policeman, who would be better equipped and more knowledgeable and could get a better job done.

MR. MORRIS said the Health & Protection Committee felt that by hiring a retired Policeman you would not be getting new ideas.

After much further debate, a machine VOTE was taken on item (a) Police Planner, at \$15,000, Code 530.0928, as amended by Mr. Russbach, by stipulating that the man chosen for this position "shall not have been a member of the Police Department in the City of Stamford" and CARRIED by a wote of 22 in favor and 14 opposed.

A VOIE was then taken on item (b) in the amount of \$25,000.00 and CARRIED, thus approving the entire appropriation of \$105,545.00 for both item (a) and item (b).

-(3) \$199.769.00 -

BOARD OF EDUCATION - To continue MILK PROGRAM for the 1972-1973 School Year - Two letters from Dr. Reigh W. Carpenter, Supt. of Schools, dated 8/9/72 and 8/28/72 -(REDUCED by Board of Finance on 9/14/72 from \$215,467.00) (NOTE: To be allocated to Code 305.0000 per letter to Board of Finance from Mr. Aretakis dated 9/14/72) -(Held in Committee Oct. 2, 1972)

MR. ECCCUZZI, Chairman, reported that the Board of Education has complete control as far as the money is concerned and the distribution of the milk. He said when they are using volunteers and come up with a shortage there is no place to go and they don't know who to ask becuase it gets too confusing with so many people involved and a shortage could occur due to poor bookkeeping. He said also the problem of cleaning the Cafeteria is a big problem, because the Custodians will not clean off the tables and only clean the floor and apparently what happens is that everything ends up on the floor. He said this is not very good training especially for the lower grades. He said what is needed to operate this in an efficient manner is to have people who are responsible for the milk distribution for the money and for the cleaning of the Cafeteria. He said it is asking too much of the mothers who volunteer by asking them to come out every day in all kinds of weather and if they can't be there, arrangements have to be made to have someone take their place and you don't know if they are going to be there when when the time comes to serve the milk. He said he believes it should not be handled by volunteer help.

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED that this be REDUCED in the amount of \$10,178.00 by approving \$189,591.00 for the MILK PROGRAM.

MR. MILLER seconded the motion and said his Committee - Education, Welfare & Government - concurs.

MR. FRIEDMAN said the appropriation last year was made for personnel and it was clearly understood at that time that the appropriation was for people to dispense the milk and collect the money and it still hasn't been used. HE MOVED TO AMEND by REDUCING the appropriation to \$20,000. Seconded.

MR. SHERER objected to the inference that pilferage is occurring within the scope of the milk program and said the students who handle the milk program are highly incensed over the inference of pilferage. He said there certainly can be mistakes but not pilferage and said he would like to have the record show that these young persons are as honest as the day is long when it comes to a job that they have to do for their school.

MR. BOCCUZZI said this pilferage incident has been attributed to him, but the Committee has people in before them on every appropriation and ask questions and get answers and he only reports as to how the Committee fæls, due to the answers they get. He said he never said there was pilferage it was told to the Committee and as far as he is concerned he cannot prove whether there was any pilferage or not. He said he as merely giving a report and to please not attribute to him personnally that he accused anyone of pilferage. He said it was reported to the Committee that pilferage had occurred and he wants the record to show that.

MR. EXNICIOS said he wants to back up his Committee Chairman (John Boccuzzi) and he is absolutely correct and this was told to the Committee by a responsible member of the Board of Education, who said it several times that there was pilferage. He said the Committee had reduced the appropriation from \$199,769 to \$189,591 although Dr. Carpenter in his Oct. 20th letter only requested \$183,000. HE MOVED this be reduced to \$183,045.00

MR. HEINZER reminded the members that there is a motion on the floor to REDUCE this to \$20,000 which was made by Mr. Friedman and to return the rest to Committee. He suggested that a vote be taken on Mr. Friedman's motion first.

MR. EXNICIOS said he realizes Mr. Friedman's motion takes precedence and hopes someone else will do this later. However, he said most of us realize that we can't just rely on students and we must have paid employees to handle this properly and efficiently. He said he wants to point out that the Board of Education has encumbered \$45,000 left over from last uear. He objected to the motion to reduce to \$20,000 which will only carry them for one month.

MR. SHERER said he wants to apologize to Mr. Boccuzzi, as he did not understand he was just reporting what was told to the Committee.

Considerable further debate occured at this time, after which Mr. Caporizzo MOVED THE QUESTION.

VOTE taken on the motion to move the question. CARRIED.

After further debate, MR. HEINZER asked that the vote be taken on the question to approve the reduced amount of \$20,000 with the balance of the request held in Committee for another month.

VOTE taken on the motion as outlined by Mr. Heinzer and moved by Mr. Friedman. CARRIED by a machine vote of 29 in favor and 9 opposed.

(4) \$15,800.00 -

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - Code 648.1803 - Alterations and Maintenance to RICE SCHOOL - (Mayor's letter of 8/2/72) - (Held in Committee Oct. 2, 1972)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Morabito who said the Public Works Committee concurs in approval. CARRIED unanimously.

(5) \$62,000.00 - 1

BOARD OF EDUCATION - Being 100% prepaid Federal Grant to be received from the State of Connecticut under Adult Basis Education Act to continue the "Adult Basis Education . Program" for the 1972-1973 fiscal year - (See letter from Dr. Reigh W. Carpenter dated 9/27/72)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Miller, who said the Education, Welfare & Government Committee concurs. CARRIED unanimously.

(6) \$214,623.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - Grant to be received by City from State of Connecticut as 100% prepaid State funds under State Aid for Disadvantaged Children in order to continue SADC Project 135-1, Educational Services for Disadvantaged Pupils for 1972-1973 Fiscal year - (Letter from Dr. Reigh W. Carpenter, dated 9/13/72

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mrs. Sherman who reported that the Education, Welfare & Government Committee concurs in approval. CARRIED unanimously.

(7) \$9,255.65 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - Grant to be received by City from State of Connecticut as 100°; Federal funds under Title III, N.D.E.A. for educational equipment and materials for library-media centers, to be used for improvement of instruction - (Letter from Dr. Reigh W. Carpenter, dated 9/13/72)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Miller, who said the Education, Welfare & Government concurs. CARRIED unanimously.

(8) \$4,176.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - Grant to be received by City from State of Connecticut as 100% prepaid State funds under State Aid for Disadvantaged Children to continue SADC Project P-135-4 Remedial Reading, in eligible non-public schools for the 1972-1973 fiscal year - (Letter from Dr. Reigh W. Carpenter dated 9/13/72)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Miller, who said the Education, Welfare & Government Committee concurs. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(9) \$25,920.00 - MAYOR'S OFFICE - Code 108.0937 - ABRAXAS, INC., being a Grant from Connecticut Planning Committee on Criminal Administration under Title 1, Part C of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, covering period from Sept. 1, 1972 through Aug. 3, 1973 - (Mayor's letter of 9/13/72)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Rose, who said the Health & Protection Committee concurs on this item. Mr. Friedman reported that his Committee - The Drug Abuse Committee also concurs. CARRIED unanimously.

(10) \$17,540.00 - Resolution No. 856 - AMENDING 1972-1973 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET BY ADDING TO PROJECT KNOWN AS "PARK DEPARTMENT -NEW EQUIPMENT" AND APPROPRIATION OF \$17,540.00 THEREFOR -(Mayor's letter of Aug. 15, 1972)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the following resolution. He explained that this is for the purchase of Ice Surfacing Machine - two machines. Seconded by Mr. Rybnick, who said the Parks & Recreation Committee concurs. CARRIED unanimously.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 856

## AMENDING 1972-1973 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET BY ADDING TO PROJECT KNOWN AS "PARK DEPARTMENT - NEW EQUIPMENT" AND APPROPRIATION OF \$17,540.00 THEREFOR

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, in accordance with provisions of Sec. 611.5 of the Stamford Charter, to approve an amendment to the 1972-1973 Capital Projects Budget, Park Department, for the addition of funds

in the amount of \$17,540.00 for Project to be known as "NEW EQUIPMENT" and appropriation of \$17,540.00 therefor for the purchase of two machines for the Ice Skating Rink, to be financed by the sale of bonds.

#### \*\*\*\*\*

(11) \$9,450.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - For purpose of purchasing and installing Automatic Traffic Masters as auxiliary safety equipment for 70 Yellow School Buses - (Letter from Dr. Reigh W. Carpenter, Supt. of Schools, dated 9/14/72)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Miller, who reported that the Education, Welfare & Government Committee concurs. CARRIED unanimously.

(12) \$10,533.75 -	POLICE DEPARTMENT - PENSION for Major John J. Gavigan,
	effective July 22, 1972, based on an annual pension of
	\$11,153.34, which pension represents two-thirds of his
	annual salary of \$16,730.00 - (Mayor's letter of 9/27/72)

The above matter was held in Committee.

(13) \$6,057.00 - Salary Increases for various Administrative Employees, not covered by Collective Bargaining (Rates as set under Marcom Survey, and approved by Personnel Commission) - (Previously deleted from Budget by Board of Finance; later approved on 8/10/72) - (Partially approved by Board of Representatives on 9/11/72 by approving \$1,488 for Traffic Director, hired Oct. 1971; the balance was deferred and held in Committee by motion made by Mr. Morabito - Code numbers and departments listed below:

Code No.	Department	Position	Amount
106.01'01	Board of Representatives	Adm. Assistant III	\$627.00
110.0101	Dept. of Law	Adm. Assistant III	627.00
117.0101	Data Processing	Programmer Programmer Programmer	725.00 725.00 897.00
118.0101	Bureau of Purchasing	Asst. Purchasing Agent	691.00
126.0101	Central Services Dept.	Supervisor	627.00
174.0101	Dept. of Civil Service	Adm. Asst. II	569.00
602.0101	Dept. of Public Works	Operations Officer	<u>569.00</u> 6,057.00

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Fiscal Committee DENIED this request by a 3-2-2 vote, there being 7 members present.

He said in order to make things clearer, he will MOVE for approval of the request for \$6,057.00 for the above salary increases. Seconded by Mr. Truglia.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote. He said it was CARRIED, there being several "no" votes.

MR. KNAPP questioned the vote.

MR. BOCCUZZI said he made the Fiscal Committee's report, which was a NEGATIVE report, which was 3-2-2 with 7 people being present, but in order to make the voting easier, as we always do, he moved to approve the requested appropriation of \$6,057.00 for salary increases for various administrative employees, as stated on the agenda. He said he did not read the items.

MR. KNAPP explained the vote - he said the vote should be "yes" if we go along with the ------

THE PRESIDENT said the vote would be reversed.

MR. BOCCUZZI explained the vote. He said a "yes" vote would be in favor of the increases, because that is the motion on the floor.

MR. HEINZER said it requires a two-thirds affirmative vote, because we always must have an affirmative motion on appropriations.

MR. KNAPP said he would like to say something - that he does not know whether he is too late or too early right at this moment, but would just like to point out to the members that all of these items that are now before us, were DENIED at Budget time by the Board of Finance and this Board never got a chance to vote on them at that time. Now, all of a sudden, the Board of Finance decides that we're going to give them the raises - and they are going to look like heroes and if we vote it down, we're going to look like the bums. He said he does not like being put in this bind and if we are going to stick with our rules, then the same thing applies to them - they should stick with theirs, and if they are going to deny it, then they should deny it right down the line, and don't pass it off to the Board of Representatives to do the dirty work for the Board of Finance.

MR. MORRIS MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded by Mr. Tresser and CARRIED.

THE PRESIDENT informed the members that if they are in favor of Mr. Boccuzzi's motion ------ and he will now use the machine so there will not be any doubt ------ he said up for yes and down for no.

MR. MILLER asked for clarification - a "yes" vote is for the appropriation.

THE PRESIDENT asked if everyone understands that and called for the machine wote.

Machine vote taken at this time. The President announced the VOTE - 14 in favor and 21 opposed. He said the motion was LOST.

(14) \$4,320.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. - Covering Code 607.0101 - Salaries, Bureau of Highways & Maintenance, Division of Equipment Maintenance, for the purpose of establishing a new position, "EQUIPMENT MAINT-ENANCE PARTS CLERK", at an annual salary of \$7,377.00, effective Dec. 1, 1972 - (Letter from Mayor dated Oct. 11, 1972)

MR. BOCCUZZI explained this is for the purpose of establishing a new position in the Fublic Works Department at an annual salary of \$7,377.00 effective date of 12/1/72. He said we now have to appropriate \$4,320.00 for the balance of the year. He said the Fiscal Committee APPROVED this item and he SO MOVED.

MRS. PONT-BRIANT said the Fiscal Committee did delete this from the Budget, mainly because the job under prior officials had not been filled and we voted "no" on it when it came before them before, simply because there were no job specifications set up for this job, nor had it been approved by the Personnel Commission. She said Mr. Cooper, the Commissioner of Public Works, has met all of the Committee's requests, the job will be tested and the annual salary is an equitable one, our maintenance program is under way and there is a great need for them to have this Clerk. She said she hopes for a favorable vote.

MR. EXNICIOS seconded the motion and said it is a position of utmost importance and we are at the present time upgrading our fleet of trucks and we must have control over that maintenance.

MR. KNAPP said here again is the same thing - we denied it at Budget time. He said just a couple of weeks ago, the Public Works Department found \$70,000 in their Budget to give the City a Fall cleanup when the Board of Representatives denied the money for it. He said and now they are coming to us for money to hire a man to fill a job that they have made no attempt to fill in two or three years - he said he hopes that this Board will deny this appropriation.

MR. ROOS said in the past we have been critical of the maintenance of the Public Works Department and we definitely do need this personnel and thinks we should approve this request.

MR. COLASSO said he is in favor of this item because the Public Works Committee has been pushing for better maintenance and this will do much to expedite better controls.

MR. BOCCUZZI said this is badly needed and the person they hire will keep records of all maintenance parts going out on trucks and cars that belong to the City and will be able to find out why things are going wrong - whether the vehicle is too old, the driver is abusing it, or what. He said this man will also be responsible for supplies that are down on Magee Avenue. Now, a Time Clerk is doing this work and does not have the opportunity to stay with the job for the entire day. He said this will give us better control.

MRS. LAITMAN said she thinks this job is very essential.

MR. DIXON spoke in favor of the motion.

MR. HEINZER seconded the motion and said the Personnel Committee concurs.

MR. IACOVO MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded and CARRIED.

VOTE taken on the appropriation and CARRIED with three "no" votes.

(15) \$14,141.00 - ASSESSOR'S OFFICE - Covering the following: (REDUCED by Board of Finance on 10/18/72 from \$29,957.00) - (Mayor's letter of Oct. 10, 1972)

2.2

Code 144.0101	-	Salaries	\$7,546.00
		Overtime	•
Code 144.0301	-	Stationery & Postage	270.00
Code 144.0802	-	Car Allowance	50.00
Code 144.1701	-	Auto Operation	200.00
Code 144,2101	-	Conferences & Dues	125.00
Code 144,2201	-	New Equipment	750.00
			\$14,141.00

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request and SO MOVED.

MR. MILLER said the Education, Welfare & Government Committee concurs and seconded the motion.

MR. RUSSBACH said he is in favor of this request, but is a little unhappy about the way the Tax Assessor handled this causing a lot of discussion which is not normally within the domain of a department head. He said there is a chain of command, or methods of settling problems without the Assessor publicly airing his grievances in the public press. He said he would ask that in the future, the Tax Assessor be a little more subtle in the way he asks for money.

VOTE taken on the motion. CARRIED.

(16) \$11,500.00 - OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL - Code 110.0101 - Salaries -To fund the new position of DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL -(REDUCED by Board of Finance on 10/18/72 from \$23,000) (See Mayor's letter of 8/18/72)

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Fiscal Committee voted 3-3-1 and has no recommendations, but will leave this up to the Board.

MR. HEINZER said the Personnel Committee approved this item.

MR. FRIEDMAN said it is his belief that Mr. Boccuzzi first has to make a motion before there can be any discussion.

MR. BOCCUZZI said in order to get this item on the floor, HE MOVES for approval of the above request. Seconded.

MR. FRIEDMAN offered an amendment. HE MOVED to AMEND this appropriation to the effect that a Special Committee be appointed by the Bar Association to work in conjunction with a special committee of this Board to make a complete study of the need for a full time Deputy Corporation Counsel and if it is found to be feasible and necessary, then to set up full and complete job specifications.

MR. HEINZER said if we appropriate the money, then it's too late for us, and what we would have to do is deny the appropriation first.

MR. BOCCUZZI said this position will be advertised.

MR. FRIEDMAN said in order to properly vote on his amendment, he would first move this be returned to Committee. Seconded and CARRIED.

(17) \$6,650.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - Federal Grant, already received from Department of Health, Education and Welfare under Title IV, P.L. 89-10, Project No. 2A092, entitled "An Experimental High School in a Community Setting for School Alienated Students", for the purpose of performing an evaluation of the Alternate High School Program - (See letter dated 8/9/72 from Dr. Reigh W. Carpenter, Supt. of Schools)

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Miller, who said his Committee - The Education, Welfare & Government Committee, concurs. CARRIED unanimously.

(18) \$244,659.00 - Resolution No. 857 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF TWO HUNDRED FORTY-FOUR THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-NINE DOLLARS (\$244,659) GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY TO FINANCE CERTAIN OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1971-1972 AS AMENDED, TO BE FINANCED WITH FUNDS RAISED BY BORROWING - (See letter from Mayor dated Oct. 25, 1972)

MR. BOCCUZZI explained this is to authorize the Commissioner of Finance to sell bonds to finance Capital Projects that were approved after the Budget was approved last year, for fiscal year 1971-1972. He said it is done every year to enable the Commissioner to sell the bonds when he thinks he can obtain the best rate. HE MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

### RESOLUTION NO. 857

AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF TWO HUNDRED FORTY-FOUR THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-NINE DOLLARS (\$244,659) GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY TO FINANCE CERTAIN OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1971-1972 AS AMENDED, TO BE FINANCED WITH FUNDS RAISED BY BORROWING

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 630 of the Charter of the City, as amended, the Board of Representatives of the City has received a letter from the Mayor dated October 25, 1972 requesting it to authorize the issuance of bonds to finance certain of the Capital Projects contained in the Capital Budget for the fiscal year 1971-1972, as amended, that are to be financed with funds raised by borrowing; and

WHEREAS, this Board has been furnished with a certified copy of a resolution of the Board of Finance approving the aforesaid authorization;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD:

Section 1. That there be and hereby is authorized, under and pursuant to the Charter of the City and any other general or special statutes thereto enabling, the issuance and sale, from time to time, of general obligation, coupon, serial bonds of the City in the aggregate principal amount of Two Hundred Forty-four Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-nine Dollars (\$244,659) for the purpose of paying for Capital Projects consisting of the several public improvements or other municipal works of a permanent character or for land taken for the purpose of such improvements or municipal works, all as hereinafter more fully described. Each of said Capital Projects is included in the Capital Budget for the fiscal

year 1971-1972, as amended, duly adopted, and reference is hereby made to the said Capital Budget, as amended, for a complete description of the particular Capital Projects hereinafter designated. The said Capital Projects and the extent to which they are to be financed with the proceeds of the bonds herein authorized are as follows:

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:

Highwavs -

Washington Ave	enue Extension	\$44,659	
Washington Avo	enue Extension	90,000	\$134,659
New Construction			
Conventional ]	[ncinerator		<u>\$110,000</u>

#### \*\*\*\*\*

(19) \$18,523,396.00 - Resolution No. 858 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF EIGHTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY-THREE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINETY-SIX DOLLARS (\$18,523,396) GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1972-1973 AS AMENDED, TO BE FINANCED WITH FUNDS RAISED BY BORROWING - (See Mayor's letter of Oct. 25, 1972)

MR. BOCCUZZI said this is the same type of resolution as the previous one to finance Capital Projects we approved in the Budget, plus any additional ones. He MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

#### RESOLUTION NO. 858

AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF EIGHTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED
TWENTY-THREE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINETY-SIX DOLLARS
(\$18,523,396) GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY TO
FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE
FISCAL YEAR 1972-1973 AS AMENDED, TO BE FINANCED WITH FUNDS
RAISED BY BORROWING

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 630 of the Charter of the City as amended, the Board of Representatives of the City has received a letter from the Mayor dated October 25, 1972, requesting it to authorize the issuance of bonds to finance all of the Capital Projects contained in the Capital Budget for the fiscal year 1972-1973, as amended, that are to be financed with funds raised by borrowing; and

WHEREAS, this Board has been furnished with a certified copy of a resolution of the Board of Finance approving the aforesaid authorization;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD:

9425

Section 1. That there be and hereby is authorized, under and pursuant to the Charter of the City and any other general or special statutes thereto enabling, the issuance and sale from time to time, of General Obligation, Coupon, Serial bonds of the City in the aggregate principal amount of Eighteen Million Five Hundred Twenty-Three Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$18,523,396) for the purpose of paying for Capital Projects consisting of the several public improvements or other municipal works of a permanent character or for land taken for the purpose of such improvements or municipal works, all as hereinafter more fully described. Each of said capital projects is included in the Capital Budget for the fiscal year 1972-1973, as amended, duly adopted, and reference is hereby made to the said Capital Projects hereinafter designated. The Said Capital Projects and the extent to which they are to be financed with the proceeds of the bonds herein authorized are as follows:

#### PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:

Sewer Commission

Extension of Sewers South		
of Parkway	\$4,630,000	
Emergency Correction Account	100,000	
Interceptors	2,400,000	
Planning Funds - Newfield- Springdale Area	100,000	\$7,230,000
Storm Drains		
Drainage Systems South of Parkway	150,000	
Valley Road, Forest Street, Fenway Street, Etc.	450,000	
Cove Road - Seaside Avenue	59,000	
Greenwich Avenue Area	100,000	
Hamilton Avenue - Courtland Hill Street	33,000	792,000
Highways		
City-Wide Resurfacing & Reconstruction	75,000	
Land Bank Street Widening	25,000	
Widening of Atlantic Street	91,000	
Washington Avenue Extension	88,290	
East Cross Road	_55,000	334,290

Minutes of Nover	nder 13, 197.	<u>.</u>	
Sidewalks and/or Curbing			
Board of Education - Side- walks		50,000	
New Construction			
Haig Avenue Site Improvement	20,000		
Sanitary Landfill (East Branch Harbor)	128,000		
Harbor Bulkhead East Branch	222,000		
Scofieldtown Land Improvement	80,000		
Dyke Park Improvement	86,000		
Incinerator-Sewage Treatment Plant & Pumping Stations Improvements	<u>126,200</u>	662,200	
New Equipment		250,000	
Stamford-Darien Water Pollution Control Project			
		and the second	
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant		3,600,000	\$12,918,490
		3,600,000	\$12,918,490
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant		<u>3,600,000</u>	\$12,918,490 510,000
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department:		<u>3,600,000</u>	
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink		<u>3,600,000</u> 5,000	
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink Board of Recreation:			
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink Board of Recreation: New Playgrounds, Improvements		5,000	510,000
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink Board of Recreation: New Playgrounds, Improvements Platform Tennis Courts		5,000	510,000
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink Board of Recreation: New Playgrounds, Improvements Platform Tennis Courts Police Department:		5,000	510,000 20,000
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink Board of Recreation: New Playgrounds, Improvements Platform Tennis Courts Police Department: Recorder		5,000	510,000 20,000
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink Board of Recreation: New Playgrounds, Improvements Platform Tennis Courts Police Department: Recorder Fire Department: Construction of Fire Training		5,000 <u>15,000</u>	510,000 20,000
Secondary Sewage Treatment Plant Park Department: Skating Rink Board of Recreation: New Playgrounds, Improvements Platform Tennis Courts Police Department: Recorder Fire Department: Construction of Fire Training Center Modernization of Fire Alarm		5,000 <u>15,000</u> 60,000	510,000 20,000 20,000

Minutes of November 13, 1972		
Welfare Department:		
Addition to the Smith House		1,200,000
Golf Authority:		
Roadway & Cart Paths	15,000	
Water Main for Fire Hydrants & Sprinklers	20,000	35,000
Hubbard Heights Municipal Golf Course:		
Irrigation	50,000	
Cart Paths	7,500	57,500
Stamford Museum & Nature Center:		
Aquatic Mammal Display - Preliminary Planning	6,500	
Aquatic Mammal Display - Construction	33,000	39,500
Board of Education:		
Springdale School Multi-Media Center- Study, Planning & Partial Imple-		
mentation	15,000	
Window Replacement Project	125,000	
Additional Land for West Hill High School	108,000	248,000
Urban Redevelopment Commission		3,133,906

<u>3,133,906</u> \$18,523,396

Section 2. That said bonds shall be issued in the name of and upon the full faith and credit of the City and, subject to the Charter of the City and other applicable provisions of law, in the manner and in the principal amounts that the Board of Finance may determine from time to time, including, but without limitation, the determination of the form, date, number of issues, dates of payment of principal and interest and all other particulars, and said Board of Finance or, if authorized by the Board of Finance, the Commissioner of Finance may determine the rate or rates of interest which the said bonds are to bear.

Section 3. That each of the capital projects hereinabove described and contained in the capital budget for the fiscal year 1972-1973 as amended is hereby confirmed as a duly authorized capital project.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(20) - Concerning BEDFORD STREET PARKING GARAGE - (Mayor's letter of 9/22/72)

The above matter was held in Committee.

(21) \$65,000.00 - Resolution No. 859 - Amending 1972-1973 Capital Projects <u>Budget by adding to Project known as "PURCHASE OF LAND-FILL SITE FROM PENN CENTRAL RAILROAD" and appropriation of \$65,000.00 therefor - (Requested in Mayor's letter of Oct. 19, 1972)</u>

MR. BOCCUZZI said no amount appears on our agenda, but we are being asked to appropriate \$65,000 which will be for the purchase of the railroad spur which starts at Selleck Street and goes up under Fairfield Avenue near some small factories. HE MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded by Mr. Fox, who said the Legislative & Rules Committee concurs.

### RESOLUTION NO. 859

AMENDING 1972-1973 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET BY ADDING TO PROJECT KNOWN AS "PURCHASE OF LANDFILL SITE FROM PENN CENTRAL RAILROAD" AND APPROPRIATION OF \$65,000 THEREFOR

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, in accordance with provisions of Sec. 611.5 of the Stamford Charter, to approve an amendment to the 1972-1973 Capital Projects Budget for item to be known as "PURCHASE OF LAND-FILL SITE FROM PENN CENTRAL RAILROAD" and the addition of funds in the amount of \$65,000.00 for said Project and appropriation therefor, to be financed by the issuance of bonds.

\*\*\*\*\*

MR. MORABITO asked if the amount of money used for the lease cannot be used toward the purchase of the land.

MR. BOCCUZZI said he would ask.

MR. HEINZER said he does not believe they can be bound by such an agreement, as they are in the hands of the Court and are under Receivorship which ties up property and the only one that could accomplish anything would be the Courts.

#### LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE:

MR. FOX, Chairman, reported on the following matters:

(1) <u>REVISED FEE SCHEDULES FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT</u> - (Submitted by Dr. Gofstein in letter dated 3/20/72 - Held in Committee 6/12/72, 7/10/72, 8/7/72, 9/11/72 and 10/2/72)

MR. FOX said we are in receipt of a list of fee schedules, requiring the licensing of a wide variety of commercial enterprises in the City. He said the Committee has proposed in connection with this matter, is to request

the entire fee schedule, indicating the fees and the proposed fees, along with the entire text of the proposed changes in the Ordinance, be published. He said they are then going to hold an open hearing so that any person who has any objection to the revised fees can have an opportunity to be heard. HE MOVED for publication of the following fees, along the lines he has just outlined:

PROPOSED	SCHEDULE	OF	FEES	FOR	THE	HEALTH	DEPARTMENT
	PER	IITS	AND/	OR 1	LICEN	NSES	

	PRESENT FEE	PROPOSED FEE
Fixed Food Establishments (Bars, Delicatessens, Luncheonettes, Rest Home, Restaurants, Schools, Hospital, Tavern, Pizza Establishments)	10.00	35.00
Catering Food Service	10.00	35.00
Itinerant Food Vending	75.00	75.00
Temporary Food Service Establishments 1-5 days 6-10 days Over 10 days	None " " "	10.00 20.00 35.00
Retail Sales of Foodstuffs Gross Sales up to \$100,000.00 per annum Gross Sales over \$100,000.00 per annum	11	10.00 50.00
Food or Beverage Vending Machine Locations	ан алан алан алан алан алан алан алан а	10.00
Bottled Water and/or Beverage Establishment	11	25.00
Food Wholesaler	t T	25.00
Food Processing Establishments (Bakeries, Milk, Italian Sausage)	1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 10000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1	25.00
Swimming Pool Installer	11	25.00
Swimming Pool Installation (Permit)	11	10.00
Septic System Installer	n	25.00
Septic System Cleaner	11	25.00
Refuse Collector	10.00	25.00
Well Driller	None	10.00
Tank Truck, Water Delivery	11	25.00
Water Treatment Equipment Installer	11	25.00
Water Treatment Equipment Installation (Permit)	None	10.00

### PUBLIC HEALTH CODE SECTIONS:

19-13-B42

### DRAFT TEXT:

<u>Fixed Food Service Establishments</u> (Restaurants, Delicatessens, Luncheonettes, Schools, Hospitals, Rest Homes, Food Services, Pizza Establishments, Bars).

No person, firm or corporation shall operate or maintain within the City of Stamford, any place where food or beverages are prepared and served to the public without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

**19-13-**B49

## Catering Food Services

No person, firm or corporation shall operate or maintain within the City of Stamford, a catering food service which involves the sale or distribution of food and drink prepared in bulk at one geographic location for service in individual portions at another location or which involves preparation and service of food on public or private premises not under the ownership or control of the operator of such service without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of complicance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### 19**-13-**B48

#### Itinerant Food Vending

No person, firm or corporation shall operate or maintain within the City of Stamford, an itinerant food vending business serving food or drink from any establishment or conveyance without fixed location and without connections to water supply and sewage disposal systems, without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### Temporary Food Service

No temporary food service establishment serving food or drink shall operate in the City of Stamford without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

19-13-B40

### Retail Sale of Foodstuffs

No person, firm or corporation shall sell, offer for sale or keep for sale any groceries, bakery products, confectioneries, meats, poultry, fish, vegetables or fruits

PUBLIC HEALTH CODE SECTIONS:

## DRAFT TEXT:

without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

19-13-B52

## Food or Beverage Vending Machine Location

No person, firm or corporation shall operate or maintain within the City of Stamford, any self-service vending machine offered for public use in any location without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### 19-13-B42

## Bottled Water and/or Beverage Establishments

No person, firm or corporation shall operate or maintain within the City of Stamford, any place where bottled water and/or beverages are prepared, offered for sale or stored for sale for public use without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

### 19-13-B40

19-13-B

### Food Wholesaler

No person, firm or corporation shall store non-perishable substances and perishable substances for sale to retail outlets without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

## Food Processing Establishments

No person, firm or corporation shall receive raw products, process and store a finished food product for sale to wholesale or retail outlets in the City of Stamford without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license mey be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### Septic System Installers

No person, firm or corporation shall install, make additions to, alter, revise or modify any subsurface sewage disposal system within the City of Stamford without securing

### PUBLIC HEALTH CODE SECTIONS:

## DRAFT TEXT:

a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

### Septic Tank Cleaners

No person, firm or corporation shall maintain or operate within the City of Stamford, a septic tank cleaning or liquid waste disposal business without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### Well Drillers

No person, firm or corporation shall maintain or operate within the City of Stamford a well-drilling business without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidende of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

### Swimming Pool Installer

No person, firm or corporation shall maintain or operate a swimming pool installation business or install a swimming pool within the City of Stamford without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### Tank Truck Water Delivery

No person, firm or corporation shall maintain or operate within the City of Stamford a water supply business without securing a license thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### Water Treatment Installer

No person, firm or corporation shall maintain or operate within the City of Stamford a business selling, servicing or installing water treatment equipment without evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

PUBLIC HEALTH CODE SECTIONS:

### DRAFT TEXT:

#### Water Treatment Installation (Permit)

No person, firm or corporation shall install within the City of Stamford any water treatment equipment without securing a Permit thereto which shall be issued after evidence of compliance with regulations and shall be renewed annually upon application and the payment of a fee. Such license may be revoked or suspended for cause.

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*

(2) Final adoption of Ordinance No. 251 - CONCERNING EXCHANGE OF PROPERTIES BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND TEMPLE BETH EL, which exchange will permit the City to effect proposed realignment of five-way intersection at Grove Street, Prospect Street, Hoyt Street, Strawberry Hill and <u>Hillandale Avenues</u> - (Mayor's letter of 8/9/72) - (Adopted for publication Oct. 2, 1972; published Oct. 5, 1972)

MR. FOX MOVED for final adoption of the following Ordinance. Seconded and CARRIED with one abstention (Mr. Friedman):

# ORDINANCE NO. 251 SUPPLEMENTAL

## CONCERNING EXCHANGE OF PROPERTIES BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND TEMPLE BETH EL

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

In conformity with Section 488 of the Stamford Charter and notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 2, Sections 2-24 and 2-27 of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the exchange between the City of Stamford and the Temple Beth El Building Association of the following described properties is hereby approved.

Warranty Deed to be executed by the Temple Beth El to the City of Stamford:

See Schedule A attached hereto See Schedule B attached hereto

<u>Narranty Deed to be executed by the City of Stamford to the Temple Beth El</u> Building Association:

See Schedule C attached hereto

The Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and empowered to act for the City of Stamford and to execute and deliver all deeds and documents necessary to transfer title to the hereinabove described premises presently owned by the said City of Stamford.

This Ordinance shall take effect on the date of its enactment.

#### SCHEDULE A

PARCEL A - From the Temple Beth-El Bldg. Assn. to the City of Stamford All that certain piece, parcel or tract of land in the City of Stamford County of Fairfield, and State of Connecticut, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly street line of Prospect Street as it now exists, said point being the northeasterly corner of property of the Grantor, as shown on Map No. 1128 Stamford Land Records. Thence southerly along said westerly street line of Prospect Street the following courses and distances: S  $36^{\circ}$  42' 49'' W a distance of 60.00 feet and S  $42^{\circ}$  37' 19'' W a distance of 46.08 feet to land of the Stamford Jewish Center, thence westerly along said land of the Stamford Jewish Center N  $74^{\circ}$  59'' 01'' W a distance of 12.51 feet to a point, thence northerly along proposed new westerly street line of Prospect Street the following courses and distances: N  $47^{\circ}$  02' 22'' E a distance of 10,48 feet, on curve to the left, the radius of which is 587.86 feet a distance of 82.78 feet and on another curve to the left, the radius of which is 20.00 feet a distance of 14.63 feet to other land of the Grantor, thence easterly along said other land of Grantor S  $76^{\circ}$  58' 09'' E a distance of 10.92 feet to the point or place of beginning.

The above described parcel of land contains 853 square feet in area and is shown and delineated as "Parcel A" on a certain map on file in the City and Town Clerk's Office, Stamford, Conn. reference being hereby had and entitled "Map Showing Proposed Property Exchange Between the City of Stamford and the Temple Beth-El Bldg. Assn. William D. Sabia City Engineer P. E. Lic. No. 6303 Conn."

The above described parcel of land lies in Block No. 223 Stamford Land Records.

### SCHEDULE B

PARCEL B - From the Temple Beth-El Bldg. Assn. to the City of Stamford All that certain piece, parcel or tract of land in the City of Stamford County of Fairfield, and State of Connecticut, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly street line of Prospect Street as it now exists, said point being the northeasterly corner of other land of the Grantor as shown on Map No. 1128 Stamford Land Records. Thence westerly along the northerly property line of said other land of the Grantor N 76° 58' 09" a distance of 10.92 feet to a point where said northerly property line intersects the proposed new southerly street line of Hoyt Street, thence northwesterly along said new southerly street line of Hovt Street the following courses and distances: On a curve to the left, the radius of which is 20.00 feet, a distance of 16.56 feet, on a curve to the right, the radius of which is 574.12 feet, a distance of 38.19 feet and N  $46^{\circ}$  33' 59" W a distance of 94.45 feet to a point where said new southerly street line intersects the southerly property line of land of the City of Stamford, thence easterly along said southerly property line of land of the City of Stamford S 77° 39' 01" E a distance of 160.35 feet to a point on the westerly street line of Strawberry Hill Avenue as it now exists, thence southerly along said westerly street line of Strawberry Hill Avenue the following courses and distances: S  $29^{\circ}$  05' 17" W a distance of 50.66 feet and S  $30^{\circ}$  46' W a distance of 33.20 feet to the point or place of beginning.

The above described parcel of land contains 6,545 square feet in area and is shown and delineated as "Parcel B" on a certain map on file in the City and Town Clerk's Office, Stamford, Conn., reference being hereby had and entitled: "Map Showing Proposed Property Exchange Between The City of Stamford and the Temple Beth-El Bldg. Assn. William D. Sabia City Engineer P. E. Lic. No. 6303 Conn."

The above described parcel of land lies in Block No. 223 Stamford Land Records.

#### SCHEDULE C

PARCEL C - From the City of Stamford to the Temple Beth-El Bldg. Assn. All that certain piece, parcel or tract of land in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield, and State of Connecticut, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly street line of Hoyt Street as it now exists said point being the northeasterly corner of land of the State of Connecticut as shown on Map No. 6844 Stamford Land Records. Thence easterly along the proposed new southerly street line of Hoyt Street the following courses and distances: On a curve to the right the radius of which is 624.00 feet, a distance of 114.40 feet, on another curve to the right, the radius of which is 100.00 feet, a distance of 22.70 feet and S 46° 33' 59" E a distance of 55.88 feet to land of the Temple Beth-El Bldg. Assn., thence westerly along the northerly property line of said property of the Temple Beth-El Bldg. Assn. N 77° 39' 01" W a distance of 184.02 feet to land of the State of Connecticut, thence northerly along said land of the State of Connecticut N 16° 05' 59" E a distance of 63.77 feet to the point or place of beginning. The above described parcel of land contains 7,400 square feet in area and is shown and delineated as "Parcel C" on a certain map on file in the City and Town Clerk's Office, Stamford, Conn., reference being hereby had and entitled: "Map Showing Proposed Property Exchange Between The City of Stamford And The Temple Beth-El Eldg. Assn. William D. Sabia, City Engineer, P. E. Lic. No. 6303 Conn."

The above described parcel of land lies in Block No. 223 Stamford Land Records.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(3) Request for approval of DEEDS FOR THE TRANSFER OF PROPERTY FROM MARINA AMERICA, INC., TO CITY OF STAMFORD IN CONNECTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OF THE HURRICANE BARRIER -- (Requested in Mayor's letter of 8/8/72)

MR. FOX MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded.

MR. TRUGLIA asked if there was any connection between Marina America and the matter of the pool down at the south end before we start giving away land to these people.

MR. FOX said the only investigation done so far in this respect has been a conversation with Mr. Shapero who has represented the City in these negotiations and he has advised him that the City has no discretion in the matter and that the terms of the exchange were dictated by the Army Corps of Engineers as a necessary item towards the finalization of the Stamford Hurricane Barrier.

VOTE taken on above motion. CARRIED.

(4) Proposed Ordinance CONCERNING LEASE OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY ON WATER STREET FOR \$1,200.00 A YEAR, FOR A FIVE YEAR TERM, TO ALEXANDER R. KOPROSKI AND PATRICIA A. KOPROŠKI - (Mayor's letter of 5/19/72) - (See Minutes of 8/7/72, page 9305 - Deferred on 10/2/72)

The above matter was referred back to Committee after considerable discussion.

(5) <u>Proposed Ordinance CONCERNING LEASHING OF DOGS</u> - (Proposed by Warren Knapp, 14th District Representative) --- (Held in Committee Oct. 2, 1972)

MR. FOX said this proposed Ordinance was approved by the Committee, with an amendment which calls for the second paragraph of the Ordinance to be revised as follows:

"Any person who violates this section shall be fined not more than ten (\$10.00) Dollars for the first offense and not less than twenty five (\$25.00) or more than one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars for any subsequent offense and/or imprisoned not more than thirty days (30) or both for each subsequent offense."

He said basically what the Committee has done is to reduce the fine for the first offense from \$25.00 to \$10.00 HE MOVED for publication of the Ordinance, as amended, which follows: Seconded.

#### PROPOSED ORDINANCE

#### CONCERNING THE LEASHING OF DOGS

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

No person owning, keeping, or having the custody of any dog shall permit such dog to wander on any street or sidewalk, or on the property of any person other than its owner, unless such dog is on a leash, held firmly by such person; and

Any person who violates this section shall be fined not more than ten (\$10.00) Dollars for the first offense and not less than twenty five (\$25.00) Dollars or more than one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars for any subsequent offense and/or imprisoned not more than thirty (30) days or both, for each subsequent offense.

This Ordinance shall take effect upon its adoption.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

MR. ROOS spoke against the Ordinance and said the reason we have roaming dogs in Stamford is because we have not enforced the laws as they now stand. He said State laws cover this subject completely and gives our canine control officer all the power he needs to control roaming dogs. He said he sees no need to make another law which will be completely ignored in we keep our same standards of enforcement and are merely making a mockery of the laws we now have and we should make an effort to try and enforce our present laws which are quite adequate, and just passing more and more ordinances are not going to help one bit. He quoted from Sec. 22-330 of the State Statutes which says:

"Any canine control officer or warden in a municipality may exercise the same authority to arrest any person without warrant as police officers may exercise in their respective jurisdiction." And in Sec. 22-364, it states: "No owner or keeper shall allow a dog to roam on the highway or on the land of another. Fines of not more than twenty-five dollars first offense, twentyfive to one hundred dollars, second offense, and a maximum of 30 days in jail, or both for subsequent offenses." He said we have plenty of laws now, and it is quite clear, but the enforcement is practically non-existent.

He said the reason we have so many roaming dogs is because they are not all licensed. He said in Greenwich, one half our size, licenses 5,597 dogs and Stamford, twice its size, registers 4,500 dogs, and a more proper registration would be somewhere in the neighborhood of 9 to 10 thousand dogs. He said the U. S. Humane Society has made a national study of this and they have come up with the following facts -- that dog catching and impounding is inefficient and expensive and the best Dog Warden will average 25 minutes to catch a stray, not counting non productive patrol time and a good experienced Dog Warden can only average about ten dogs a day. He said instead of impounding, he proposes that the owners of roaming dogs be given a violation notice with a fine payable at Headquarters, or they can elect to appear in Court - the first offence being \$15 and the second offense \$25 and so on. He said to send violation notices is much more effective.

He said he finds that Greenwich does enforce the law and fine people who have unlicensed dogs and they do not have our problems.

He said all we have to do is to enforce the laws as they now stand, and a leash Ordinance is not necessary.

MR. BOCCUZZI said it is practically impossible to leash a dog.

MR. LIVINGSTON said he thinks this should go back to Committee and SO MOVED. Seconded by Mr. Roos. LOST.

The debate continued for some time, after which a machine VOTE was taken on the motion to approve the proposed Ordinance for publication. Machine vote taken and LOST by a vote of 15 in favor and 19 opposed.

(6) Proposed Ordinance, for publication - CONCERNING CURFEW AT NORTHRUP PARK -(Requested by Mr. Morabito at the 8/7/72 Board meeting - See page 9328 of minutes - Held in Committee 10/2/72)

MR. FOX said the Committee has decided to reintroduce the Ordinance, with no modification. He MOVED for publication of the following proposed Ordinance. Seconded and CARRIED:

#### PROPOSED ORDINANCE

### CURFEW FOR NORTHRUP PARK

Section 21-9 of the Code of General Ordinances is amended by adding thereto the following:

Notwithstanding the foregoing, NORTHRUP PARK, a public park, adjacent to Stark School, shall be closed to public use and travel daily from one hour past sunset till 7:00 A. M. of the following day, except by written permit of the Park Department. Persons violating this Ordinance shall be subject to arrest, with each violation deemed **a** separate offense and shall be punishable by not more than thirty days (30) in jail, or a fine of not more than One hundred Dollars (\$100.00) or both.

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

#### \*\*\*\*

(7) Ordinance (for publication) - ADOPTING AND ENACTING A NEW CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT; ESTABLISHING THE SAME; PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF CERTAIN ORDINANCES NOT INCLUDED THEREIN; EXCEPT AS HEREIN EXPRESSLY PROVIDED FOR; PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF SUCH CODE AND PENALTY FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF AMENDING SUCH CODE; AND PRO-VIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE

MR. FOX said this is an Ordinance regarding the enactment of the new Code of General Ordinances and recodification of the Charter for the City of Stamford. He said the Committee has not had an opportunity to thoroughly review the Ordinances which have been sent to us by the recodifier, but wish to move for publication, with the understanding that we will thoroughly review the material to the best of their ability in the time allotted, within the next thirty days. He said we have at least two or three Codes in our Administrative office here and anyone who cares to look at them or to review them may do so. However, he said the indexes have not yet arrived, so we are working only with the Code itself, and any Board member who cares to review it is welcome to do so. HE MOVED for publication of the following proposed Ordinance. Seconded and CARRIED:

### PROPOSED ORDINANCE

ADOPTING AND ENACTING A NEW CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF STANFORD, CONNECTICUT: ESTABLISHING THE SAME; PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF CERTAIN ORDINANCES NOT INCLUDED THEREIN; EXCEPT AS HEREIN EMPRESSLY PROVIDED FOR; PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF SUCH CODE AND PENALTY FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF AMENDING SUCH CODE; AND PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE-COME EFFECTIVE

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD, THAT:

Section 1. The Code of Ordinances, consisting of Chapters 1 to 21, each inclusive, is hereby adopted and enacted as the "Code of Ordinances, City of Stamford, Connecticut" and shall be treated and considered as a new and original comprehensive ordinance which shall supersede all other general and permanent ordinances passed by the Board of Representatives on or before January 24, 1972, to the extent provided in Section 2 hereof.

Section 2. All provisions of such Code shall be in full force and effect from and after the day of , 197 , and all ordinances of a general and permanent nature of the City of Stamford, Connecticut enacted on final passage on or before January 24, 1972, and not included in such Code or recognized and continued in force by reference therein are hereby repealed from and after the day of , 197 , except as hereinafter provided.

<u>Section 3</u>. The repeal provided for in Section 2 hereof shall not affect any of the following:

- (1) Any offense or act committed or done or any penalty or forfeiture incurred or any contract or right established or accruing before the effective date of such Code;
- (2) Any ordinance promising or guaranteeing the payment of money for the City, or authorizing the issuance of any bonds of the City, or any evidence of the City's indebtedness;
- (3) Any contract or obligation assumed by the City;
- (4) Any right or franchise granted by the City;
- (5) Any ordinance dedicating, naming, establishing, locating, relocating, opening, paving, widening, vacating, etc., any street or public way or any park, playground or recreational facility;
- (6) Any ordinance relating to specific public improvements or assessments therefor;
- (7) Any ordinance establishing or prescribing grades for streets;
- (8) Any appropriation ordinance or ordinance providing for the levy of taxes or for an annual budget;
- (9) The Zoning Ordinance of the City;
- (10) Any ordinance relating to the investment of municipal funds;
- (11) Any ordinance establishing classification and the compensation of municipal officers or employees not inconsistent herewith;
- (12) Any ordinance dedicating or accepting any plat or subdivision in the City;
- (13) Ordinances or resolutions prescribing traffic regulations for specific streets, such as ordinances or resolutions designating one-way streets, no-parking areas, stop intersections, intersections where traffic is to be controlled by signals, etc.;
- (14) Any ordinance enacted after January 24, 1972.

The repeal provided for in Section 2 hereof shall not be construed to revive any ordinance or part thereof that has been repealed by a subsequent ordinance which is repealed by this ordinance.

Section 4. Any person violating or failing to comply with any provision of said Code or committing any act or omission to act declared to be a misdemeanor or unlawful, where no specific penalty is provided thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than one hundred dollars (\$100.00).

Section 5. Any and all additions or amendments to such Code, when passed in such form as to indicate the intention of the Board of Representatives to make the same a part thereof, shall be deemed to be incorporated in such Code so that reference to the "Code of Ordinances, City of Stamford" shall be understood and intended to include such additions and amendments.

Section 6. A copy of such Code shall be kept on file in the office of the Town and City Clerk, preserved in looseleaf form, or in such other form as the Town and City Clerk may consider most expedient. It shall be the express duty of the Town and City Clerk or someone authorized by the Town and City Clerk to insert in their proper places all amendments or ordinances which indicate the intention of the Board of Representatives to make the same a part of such Code when the same have been printed or reprinted in page form, and to extract from such Code all provisions which may be from time to time repealed by the Board of Representatives. This copy of such Code shall be available for all persons desiring to examine the same and shall be considered the official Code or Ordinances of Stamford at the date of such certification.

<u>Section 7</u>. In case of the amendment of any section of such Code for which a penalty is not provided, the general penalty as provided in Section 1-8 of such Code shall apply to the section as amended; or in case such amendment contains provisions for which a penalty, other that the aforementioned general penalty, is provided in another section in the same chapter, the penalty so provided in such other section shall be held to relate to the section so amended, unless such penalty is specifically repealed therein.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation in the City to change or amend by additions or deletions, any part or portion of such Code, or to insert or delete pages, or portions thereof, or to alter or tamper with such Code in any manner whatsoever which will cause the law of the City of Stamford to be misrepresented thereby. Any person, firm or corporation violating this section shall be punished as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance.

Section 9. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed, as of the operative date of this ordinance, but shall remain in full force and effect until such date.

Section 10. This ordinance shall become effective on

, 197

Section 11. The Town and City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this ordinance and shall, within fifteen (15) days after the passage and adoption thereof, cause the same to be published once in the Stamford Advocate, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Stamford.

\*\*\*\*\*

# (8) Proposed Ordinance for publication - CONCERNING GRANT OF EASEMENT FOR ROAD PURPOSES BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD TO SHIRLEY H. COBLENTZ AND FRANCES COBLENTZ - (Requested in Mayor's letter of 9/14/72)

MR. FOX MOVED for approval for publication of the following Proposed Ordinance. He said this is a compromise surrounding a zoning dispute between the City with the Public Works facility on Haig and Shirley and Frances Coblentz and the Corporation Counsel has advised that this is the most favorable way out of the situation. The motion was seconded and CARRIED with two "no" votes:

#### PROPOSED ORDINANCE

CONCERNING GRANT OF EASEMENT FOR ROAD PURPOSES BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD TO SHIRLEY H. COBLENTZ AND FRANCES COBLENTZ

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

In conformity with Section 488 of the Stamford Charter and notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 2, Sections 2-24 through 2-27 inclusive, of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the grant of an easement for road purposes by the City of Stamford to Shirley H. Coblentz and Frances Coblentz is hereby approved and authorized.

Said easement is described as follows:

An easement of way and use for all lawful purposes in common with the grantor and others in, over, under and across a strip of land situated in said Stamford owned by the grantor herein fifty (50) feet in width and extending in an easterly direction from the easterly boundary line of land of the grantees herein in a straight line to the westerly line of Haig Avenue, said easement to be appurtenant to said land of the grantees and each and every part and parcel thereof and to be used and enjoyed in the same manner and to the same extent as though it were a public highway; together with the right to build a road upon said strip of land in accordance with the usual specifications of the grantor for a road to be offered as a public highway; said strip of land is shown and designated as "Right of Way" on a certain map entitled, "Map Showing Property of the City of Stamford at Haig Avenue, Stamford, Conn. September 1970", to be filed in the office of the town clerk of said Stamford simultaneously herewith, reference to said map being had for a more particular description.

## Said easement shall carry the following provision:

"It is expressly understood and agreed by the parties hereto that if, prior to the physical development of the grantees' land to the west of the easement granted herein pursuant to an approved subdivision plan (which plan utilizes the herein granted easement for access), the property adjoining the grantees' southerly line shall be developed in such a way as to have an accepted public highway abut that portion of the grantees' southerly property line which begins at a point at the southeasterly corner of the grantees' land and runs along said southerly line the following courses and distances: North 70° 26' West 95.25 feet, North  $70^{\circ}$  34' 30" West 292 feet North 70° 51' 30" West 178.03 feet and North 70° 46' West 150 feet to a point, and thereby provide access to the

grantees' land over said public highway, then the grantees will execute and deliver to the said granter a release, suitable for recording, of the easement rights herein granted whereupon the same shall be at an end."

The Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and empowered to act for the City of Stamford and to execute and deliver all documents necessary to transfer the right, title and interest of the City of Stamford to the hereinabove described premises.

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

\*\*\*\*\*

(9) <u>Resolution - AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENT OF A HISTORIC DISTRICT STUDY COMMITTEE,</u> FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING AN INVESTIGATION FOR THE PROPOSED ESTABLISHMENT OF A FORT STAMFORD HISTORIC DISTRICT - (Requested in Mayor's letter of 10/24/72) -- (For previous Historic District Study Committee, see Minutes of 4/3/67, pages 5027, 5039-40) Per Sec. 7-147a and Sec. 7-147b of Conn. General Statutes) -- (Also, see letter dated 9/20/72 from Asst. Corporation Counsel John E. Smyth)

The above matter was held in Committee.

(10) Proposed Ordinance - TO ESTABLISH A CULTURAL COMMISSION FOR THE CITY OF STAMFORD - (Pursuant to enabling legislation passed in the 1971 Session of the General Assembly) - (Mayor's letter of 9/27/72)

The above matter was held in Committee.

(11) Proposed Ordinance - PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF A BUILDING BOARD OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF SEC. 19-395 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES OF CONNECTICUT, AS AMENDED, AND THE STATE BUILDING CODE -(Requested in Mayor's letter of 10/10/72)

MR. FOX explained that by the enactment of this Ordinance we will create what is required of us already - namely, a Building Board of Appeals for this City. HE MOVED for approval for publication of the following proposed Ordinance. Seconded and CARRIED:

### PROPOSED ORDINANCE

PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF A BUILDING BOARD OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF SECTION 19-395 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES OF CONN-ECTICUT, AS AMENDED, AND THE STATE BUILDING CODE

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

A Building Board of Appeals is hereby created pursuant to the terms of Section 19-395 of the General Statutes as amended and Section 127.21 of the State Building Code.

The Building Board of Appeals shall consist of five (5) members appointed by the Mayor of the City of Stamford who each shall serve a five-year term or until his successor has been appointed, except that respecting the initial

appointees one member shall be appointed for five (5) years, one for four (4) years, one for three (3) years, one for two (2) years, and one for one (1) year.

All appointments to the Building Board of Appeals shall be subject to approval by the Board of Representatives.

Qualifications of Board Members and organization of the Board shall be as provided in the State Building Code.

This Ordinance shall take effect on the date of its enactment.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(12) <u>Resolution TRANSFERRING JURISDICTION OF STEVENS SCHOOL, UNDER PROVISIONS</u> OF ORDINANCE NO. 144, FROM BOARD OF EDUCATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS -- (Requested in Mayor's letter of 10/26/72)

MR. FOX said his Committee reviewed this and approved it by a division of 6 in favor, two opposed. HE MOVED for approval.

MR. MORABITO, Chairman of Public Works Committee, said he has a question regarding parliamentary procedure. He said we voted on this a couple of months ago and DENIED it at that time. He asked if this does not require a motion to rescind our previous action.

THE PRESIDENT said it is merely being submitted for a second time.

MR. MORABITO said then in other words, all the Mayor has to do is to constantly keep re-submitting these matters that have previously been denied by this Board, until he wears us down and we say yes.

MR. MORABITO said the Public Works Committee has several questions on this that have not been answered and have decided to HOLD THIS IN COMMITTEE.

After a great deal of debate, a RECESS was declared at 12:10 A.M. which was declared over at 12:30 A.M.

MR. MORRIS MOVED THE QUESTION, which was seconded and CARRIED.

MRS. PONT-BRIANT then MOVED this be recommitted to Committee, in order to allow an open hearing to be held some time after the Thanksgiving holiday. Recommitted to the Legislative & Rules Committee by a vote of 24 in favor and 14 opposed.

(13) Proposed Ordinance - CONCERNING THE MUTUAL LEASING OF PROPERTIES BETWEEN THE CITY OF STANFORD -- STEVENS SCHOOL PROPERTY AND PROPERTY OF THE WEST MAIN STREET COMMUNITY CENTER, INC. - (Mayor's letter of 10/20/72, with a substituted Ordinance - Also, see letter dated 11/1/72 from Corporation Counsel J. Robert Bromley, making deletions and corrections in the proposed Ordinance)

The above matter was held in Committee, pending a proposed tour of the facilities by the Board members and an open hearing to be held on the matter, at a time and place to be designated by the Chairman of the Legislative & Rules Committee.

He promised to notify all Board members in plenty of time as soon as arrangements could be made.

MRS. PONT-BRIANT said the tour would be conducted either on Saturday or Sunday morning, or perhaps on both days, whichever is convenient to the members.

(14) <u>Resolution No. 860 - AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION FOR TAX</u> <u>ABATEMENT REIMBURSEMENT ON LUDLOW STREET TOWNHOUSES</u> - (Estimated this will amount to \$350 per unit, or \$12,600.00) - (See Mayor's letter of 8/9/72)

MR. FOX MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded and CARRIED:

### RESOLUTION NO. 860

## AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION FOR TAX ABATEMENT REIMBURSEMENT ON LUDLOW STREET TOWNHOUSES

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapters 128,129, 130, 132, and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Commissioner of Community Affairs is authorized to extend financial assistance to local housing authorities, municipalities, human resource development agencies and non-profit corporations; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the public interest that the City of Stamford make application to the State for financial assistance under Section 8-215 and 8-216 of the General Statutes, in order to undertake a program of Tax Abatement and, to execute an Assistance Agreement therefor, on the following properties: 59, 71, 73, 81, 83, 95 Ludlow Street (commonly known as Ludlow Townhouses)

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES:

1. That it is cognizant of the conditions and prerequisites for State assistance imposed by Chapters 128, 129, 130, 132 and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes, especially the requirement of the Community Development Action Plan in Section 8-207 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

2. That the filing of an application by the City of Stamford for abatement of taxes per ordinance is hereby approved, and that the Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such application with the Commissioner of Community Affairs, to provide such other documents as may be required by the Commissioner, to execute an Assistance Agreement with the State of Connecticut for State financial assistance if such an agreement is offered, to execute any amendments, recisions, and revision thereto, and to act as the authorized representative of the City of Stamford.

3. That in consideration of said Assistance Agreement applicant does hereby abate the ad valorem taxes applicable to the property described above and in Assistance Agreement with the State of Connecticut in accordance with the previously executed Assistance Agreement between the City of Stamford and the Stamford Development Corporation, as modified.

\*\*\*\*

## (15) Proposed Revisions - Amending Ordinance No. 246 "MINIMUM HOUSING STANDARDS"

MR. FOX said these proposed amendments have been submitted to the Committee by the Real Estate owners Association and the Committee has met with their Counsel and they are holding this in Committee. He said the Committee intends to have an open hearing in the near future on these proposed amendments.

(16) <u>Resolution No. 861 - ABATING TAXES AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF TAX</u> <u>ABATEMENT CONTRACT WITH NEW HOPE CORPORATION, IN RELATION TO COLEMAN</u> TOWERS - (Mavor's letter of 11/7/72)

MR. FOX explained that what has happened here is that the State has refused to reimburse the developer for taxes incurred during the period of construction and the usual agreement regarding 10% of the gross revenues will not go into effect until one year from now, and we are obliged to pick up the taxes for this period of construction. He said when he asked the people at New Hope when we could anticipate this in the future, they replied that under the present set up we can. He said the Board is advised that in the future on this type of construction, there will be <u>no abatement</u> during the period of construction and the City will HAVE to pick up 100% of the taxes for that period.

MR. HEINZER asked for clarification - he asked if the speaker meant that there will be NO REIMBURSEMENT.

MR. FOX said that is true, but it is up to us - and if we choose to grant an abatement during the construction period, then there will be tax abatement, but we will get no reimbursement from the State for that period, but thereafter the taxes will be reimbursed to the city under long term agreements for 30 or 40 years depending upon the terms of the mortgage.

NR. FOX said the Committee approved this agreement and he MOVED for approval Seconded.

The question arose at this time as to how long this tax abatement will be in effect. Mr. Fox said the proposed resolution says: "40 consecutive years."

MR. HEINZER said this 40 years tax abatement applies to the State and if we abate taxes for 40 years, they, in turn, will reimburse us for 40 years.

MR. FOX said this is correct.

MR. MORRIS said when we passed the original tax abatements on other properties, we did so with the understanding that when the State did not reimburse us for the taxes, that we were to end it.

MR. FOX said the State IS going to reimburse us for taxes for 40 years.

MRS. SHERMAN said Ordinance No. 228 which goes along with this says that the tax abatement provided for herein shall be 100% for so long as the State of Connecticut shall provide for financial assistance in the form of a Grant in aid to the City of Stamford. And, if the State of Connecticut shall terminate its Grant in aid to the City of Stamford, or shall pay less than 100% of the tax abatement granted, the owners or sponsors shall pay to the City in lieu

of taxes, a sum to total not more than 10% of its gross income and that combined with any payments from the State shall be used to satisfy the tax assessment of the City of Stamford. She said she thinks this clarifies it very plainly.

MR. FOX said he found the provision in the agreement that is applicable: "The tax abatement provided herein for any assessments during construction shall be one hundred (100%) per cent. However, said tax abatement shall relate only through the list of September 1, 1971."

MR. FOX said that apparently the 100% tax abatement under this agreement ends as of September 1, 1971.

The resolution was amended by adding the following words, in the second paragraph (No. 1) after the words: "forty (40) consecutive years":

:...."for so long as the State of Connecticut shall provide 100% financial assistance in the form of a grant-in-aid as set forth in the amended agreement between the City of Stamford and the New Hype Corporation."

MR. FOX MOVED for approval of the following resolution, as amended. Seconded by Mrs. Pont-Briant (who had offered the amendment) and CARRIED unanimously:

### RESOLUTION NO. 861

ABATING TAXES AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF TAX ABATEMENT CONTRACT WITH NEW HOPE CORPORATION, IN RELATION WITH COLEMAN TOWERS, LOCATED AT SPRUCE STREET AND FAIRFIELD AVENUE, IN THE CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT, WHICH PROPERTY IS OWNED BY NEW HOPE CORPORATION AND KNOWN AS "COLEMAN TOWERS"

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, in accordance with Ordinance No. 228, and in accordance with the amended agreement between the City of Stamford and the New Hope Corporation, dated November 2, 1972:

- That the City of Stamford hereby abates up to one hundred percent of the ad valorem taxes applicable to the property described above for a period of forty (40) consecutive years, for so long as the State of Connecticut shall provide 100% financial assistance in the form of a grant-in-aid as set forth in the amended agreement between the City of Stamford and the New Hope Corporation.
- 2. That the Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized, directed and empowered in the name of and on behalf of the City of Stamford to execute the Tax Abatement Contract described above and to execute any amendments, revisions and recisions of said contract in the name of and on behalf of the City of Stamford.

3. That all previous actions of the Mayor of the City of Stamford with respect to said Tax Abatement Contract are hereby ratified.

### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: Underlined portion above in paragraph #1 contains the amendment.

# (17) <u>Resolution No. 862 - ABATEMENT OF TAXES ON COLEMAN TOWERS, KNOWN AS</u> 72 SPRUCE STREET, DELINEATED ON MAP # 8773 OF THE STAMFORD LAND RECORDS (Mayor's letter of 11/7/72)

MR. FOX MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

### RESOLUTION NO. 862

## FOR ABATEMENT OF TAXES ON COLEMAN TOWERS

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapters 128, 129, 130, 132 and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Commissioner of Community Affairs is authorized to extend financial assistance to local housing authorities, municipalities, human resource development agencies and non-profit corporations; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the public interest that the City of Stamford make application to the State for financial assistance under Section 8-215 and 8-216 of the General Statutes, in order to undertake a program of Tax Abatement and to execute an Assistance Agreement, therefore, on the following properties:

COLEMAN TOWERS - Now known as 72 Spruce Street, delineated on Map #8773 of the Stamford Land Records.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD:

1. That it is cognizant of the conditions and prerequisites for State assistance imposed by Chapters 128, 129, 130, 132 and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes, especially the requirement of the Community Development Action Plan in Section 8-207 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

2. That the filing of an application by the City of Stamford for abatement of taxes per Ordinance is hereby approved, and that the Mayor of the City of Stamfordiis hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such application with the Commissioner of Community Affairs, to provide such additional information, to execute such other documents as may be required by the Commissioner, to execute an Assistance Agreement with the State of Connecticut for State financial assistance, if such an agreement is offered, to execute any amendments, recisions and revision thereto, and to act as the authorized representative of the City of Stamford.

3. That in consideration of said Assistance Agreement applicant does hereby abate up to 100% of the ad valorem taxes applicable to the property described above and in Assistance Agreement with the State of Connecticut.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

9447

# (18) Resolution No. 863 - URGING GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT TO RECOGNIZE THE NEED FOR GREATER STATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR BUS OPERATION IN THE URBAN CENTERS OF CONNECTICUT, ESPECIALLY THE CITY OF STAMFORD

MR. FOX MOVED for SUSPENSION OF THE RULES to consider the above item which is not on the agenda. Seconded and CARRIED.

MR. FOX MOVED for adoption of the following resolution, a copy of which had been given to all Board members earlier. Seconded.

MR. GUROJAN MOVED to amend by striking out a portion of the sentence in the second paragraph which reads:

"....including, if necessary, the establishment of a Transit District,...."

The amendment was accepted by Mr. Fox. He then MOVED for adoption of the resolution as amended. Seconded and CARRIED by a machine vote of 26 in favor and 4 opposed:

## RESOLUTION NO. 863

URGING GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT TO RECOGNIZE THE NEED FOR GREATER STATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR BUS OPERATION IN THE URBAN CENTERS OF CONNECTICUT, ESPECIALLY THE CITY OF STAMFORD

WHEREAS, the members of this Board, as the legislative representatives of the people of Stamford, do recognize the need for continued bus transportation in this and other urban areas of Connecticut; and

WHEREAS, this Board has previously expressed a willingness to take action to assure the continuation of said bus transportation; and

WHEREAS, taxpayers of urban centers in Connecticut, and especially Stamford, are unable to embark upon and sustain the extraordinary economic burden of subsidizing a mass transit system by way of increased local property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the entire population of the State benefits directly or indirectly from the commercial, industrial and social life of the urban centers of the State; and

WHEREAS, bus transportation is vital to the operation of the said commercial, industrial and social life of the cities; and

WHEREAS, the Governor has the power at his hand to continue the operation of said bus system.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT HEREBY IS RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford that:

This Board does urge and entreat the Governor of the State of Connecticut to recognize the responsibility of the State Government to maintain mass transit bus transportation in the major cities of Connecticut; and

## BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

The Governor should take action towards assuring sustained State assistance towards the operation of bus transportation in the City of Stamford in the total amount required to keep and maintain such a bus system; and

### BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

The President of this Board is directed to assure the delivery of this Resolution into the hands of the Governor so that he may be informed of the Resolution of the members of this Board towards the ends stated herein; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

The President of this Board also is directed to assure the delivery of this Resolution into the hands of the Mayor of the City of Stamford along with the urging of this Board to him to undertake such measures as he may deem appropriate to effectuate the ends and purposes set forth herein.

#### \*\*\*\*

## HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE:

MR. ROSE, Chairman, said his Committee met on the two items which were referred to his committee and appear on the agenda and they are sending a letter to the Public Works Department to recommend sidewalks be built on SILVER HILL LANE and TURNER ROAD which are badly needed and will leave it up to that department to put the sidewalks where they are most needed.

### PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE:

MR. RUSSELL, Chairman, said there was one other item which he has not yet reported on. HE MOVED for acceptance of the following street as a City street. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

<u>PHILLIPS PLACE</u> --- Extending easterly and northerly from Oakdale Road to and including a permanent turnaround as shown on Map No. 8806 on file in the Town and City Clerk's office, length, approximately 307 ft. (Approved by City Engineer, who has certified the acceptance of this road.)

## Concerning alleged zoning irregularities on High Ridge Road

MRS. PONT-BRIANT brought up the above matter and requested that it be referred to the Planning & Zoning Committee to look into it. This was turned over to the Chairman of that Committee to investigate.

## PERSONNEL COMMITTEE:

"Sense of the Board Resolution No. 864 - Requesting Mayor Wilensky to wait 15 days before signing any negotiated City Contract"-- (Submitted by Robert Exnicios, 1st District Representative)

MR. HEINZER brought up the above resolution which he read at this time and MOVED for approval. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously.

## RESOLUTION NO. 864

## SENSE OF THE BOARD RESOLUTION REQUESTING MAYOR WILENSKY TO WAIT 15 DAYS BEFORE SIGNING ANY NEGOTIATED CITY CONTRACT

WHEREAS, there are many and varied City bargaining unit contracts; and

WHEREAS, it is the duty, responsibility and right for all members of the Board of Representatives to be familiar with all conditions of a new contract before giving approval; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Representatives must take action on contracts within 30 days of the signing of the same by the City and the bargaining unit; and

WHEREAS, it is the sense of the Board of Representatives that a minimum of 45 days study is required by its various committees to fully ascertain the cost impact on the taxpayer

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford that the Mayor of the City of Stamford be requested to submit to a designated committee of the Board a copy of any and all proposed labor contracts a minimum of 15 days before he affixes his signature and the Seal of the City of Stamford.

\*\*\*\*\*

### SPECIAL COMMITTEES:

### URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE:

MR. ROOS introduced the following resolution which he read at this time. HE MOVED for adoption. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

### RESOLUTION NO. 865

# AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,229,369.00 FOR URBAN RE-DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION IN ORDER TO CONTINUE FEDERALLY ASSISTED URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapters 128, 129, 130, 132 and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Commissioner of Community Affairs is authorized to extend financial assistance to local redevelopment agencies and municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stamford, Connecticut Urban Redevelopment Commission and the State of Connecticut have entered into a financial assistance agreement whereby the State of Connecticut has agreed to provide financial assistance in the amount of \$6,410,875 for the purpose of assisting in the development of certain supporting facilities for the Southeast Quadrant Urban Renewal Project, Project No. Conn. R-43; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the public interest that the City of Stamford, Connecticut Urban Redevelopment Commission make application to the State for \$1,229,369 in order to continue said Federally assisted Urban Renewal Project and to execute a modified or revised assistance agreement therefor; and

It is understood that the City of Stamford, Connecticut Urban Redevelopment Commission, acting by and for the City of Stamford, will continue to provide local grants-in-aid in accordance with the requirements of the Connecticut General Statutes applicable thereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STANFORD, the local governing body of the City of Stamford;

- 1. That it is cognizant of the conditions and prerequisites for State assistance imposed by the Connecticut General Statutes especially the requirement of a Community Development Action Plan in Chapter 133.
- 2. That it recognizes the responsibility for the provisions of local grants-in-aid as required for said program;
- 3. That the filing of an application by the City of Stamford, Connecticut Urban Redevelopment Commission for an additional amount not to exceed \$1,229,369 and totaling \$7,640,244 is hereby approved, and that the Chairman of the City of Stamford, Connecticut Urban Redevelopment Commission is authorized to execute and file such application with the Commissioner of Community Affairs, to provide additional information as required, to execute such documents as may be required by the Commissioner, to execute such agreements or modifications to existing agreements as may be required with the State of Connecticut for State financial assistance.

\*\*\*\*

## SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON DRUG ABUSE:

MR. FRIEDMAN, Chairman, reported that his Committee met with Mrs. Sherman, Mr. Fox and the Chairman in attendance. He said at the request of his Committee, some day they are going to ask for suspension of the rules and give their report before one o'clock in the morning.

#### ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, on motion, duly seconded and CARRIED, the meeting was adjourned at 1:20 A.M.

elma Farrell

Velma Farrell Administrative Assistant (Recording Secretary)

APPROVED:

onnoto

George V. Connors, President 12th Board of Representatives

> Note: The above meeting was broadcast over Radio Station WSTC until 11 P.M.