A regular meeting of the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Conn. was held on Monday, July 1, 1957, in the Cafeteria of the Walter Dolan Jr. High School, Toms Road, Glenbrook. The meeting was called to order by the President, Mr. George V. Connors, at 8:25 P.M.

INVOCATION was given by Father Rocco Nadile, Pastor, Sacred Heart Church.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 36 members present at the calling of the roll, with four absent, one absentee representing a resigned member, with the seat unfilled. Later, after the filling of the seat of the resigned member, the roll call was 37 present and 3 absent. The absent members were: William J. Brett, Irving Snyder and Edward P. Wynn, Jr.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES: Meeting of June 3, 1957

MR. GEORGOULIS MOVED for acceptance of the Minutes of the meeting of June 3, 1957. Seconded by Mr. Findlay and CARRIED unanimously.

RESIGNATION: Salvatore Giuliani, 5th District.

The resignation of Mr. Giuliani was presented and Mrs. Esther H. Mitchell, 46 Adamas Avenue, 5th District, named as his replacement for the unfilled remaining portion of the term of office, by MOTION of Mr. Georgoulis, duly seconded by Mr. Kelly. Mr. Georgoulis read a letter from the Town and City Committee recommending Mrs. Mitchell as replacement.

There was some discussion regarding the extra vote missing. MR. VITTI MOVED that the Secretary cast one vote. Seconded by Mr. Topping and CARRIED unanimously.

VOTE taken on Mrs. Mitchell as replacement for Mr. Giuliani and CARRIED unanimously by a vote of 37 in FAVOR.

Mrs. Mitchell was sworn in as a member of the Board by Mr. Joseph Toner, Town and City Clerk, who was present. She then took her seat, thereby changing the roll call to 37 members present.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

Steering Committee:

Mr. Connors, Chairman, presented the following report of the Committee:

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT Meeting held June 17th, 1957.

The Steering Committee of the Board of Representatives met in the Mayor's Office, City Hall, at 8:15 P.M. on Monday, June 17th, 1957.

The following members were present: George V Connors, Chairman; Norton Rhoades, Rutherford Huizinga, Joseph Iacovo, Alanson Fredericks, John Macrides, Joseph Milano, Stephen Kelly, Clement Raiteri, Jr. Mr. Topping was present as a representative from the Public Works Committee, Mr. Vitti being absent.

The absent members were: George Georgoulis, William Kaminski, Robert Lewis, Irving Snyder, Helen Peatt and Vincent Vitti.

The following matters were considered and acted upon:

(1) Mr. Topping brought up a suggested ordinance, referred to in his committee report presented at the last Board meeting (see last paragraph of report under Public Works Committee) regarding the setting up of road grading before construction is started. He asked that this matter be referred to a joint meeting of three committees in order that the problems can be better solved.

Referred to Planning & Zoning, Public Works and Legislative & Rules Committee.

(2) Letter dated June 6, 1957 from Municipal Employees Association, thanking the Board for granting salary increases effective July 1st, 1957.

Placed under "Communications" for the next Board meeting.

(3) Carbon copy of letter dated June 5, 1957 to Mr. Commors, President concerning the operating budget of the Dog Warden, in answer to letter of May 22, 1957 sent to Mr. Morrissey at authorization of the Steering Committee.

Ordered filed.

(4) Letter from Secretary of State dated_June 5, 1957 regarding Mr. John Macrides being designated as a "Lobbyist".

It was MOVED, seconded and CARRIED that a letter be written to the Secretary of State, calling attention to Sec. 14d of the 1955 Supplement to the Connecticut General Statutes in which it states a representative of a public board cannot be considered a "Lobbyist" and requesting that the \$5 fee be returned.

(5) Carbon copy of etter dated May 22, 1957 to Mayor Quigley from the Stamford Small Boat Owners Association, opposing the deletion of \$85,000 from the budget for the construction of a new pavilion at Cummings Park.

Ordered filed.

(6) Letter dated May 31, 1957 from Urban Redevelopment Commission, enclosing a proposed housing ordinance, which has been prepared in accordance with Resolution No. 225 adopted by the Board of Representatives on June 4, 1956

Ordered on agenda under Legislative & Rules Committee for the July 1st Board meeting.

(7) Letter dated May 25, 1957 from The Visiting Nurse Association regarding charges to Welfare Department in budget.

Referred to Education, Welfare & Government Committee.

(8) Letter dated May 24, 1957 from Department of Public Welfare on same subject.

Also referred to Education, Welfare & Government Committee.

(9) Letter dated May 29, 1957 from The Stamford Chamber of Commerce, requesting the cutting through and widening of Washington Avenue and the completion of Broad Street extension.

Ordered filed with a copy sent to the Public Works Committee for information. It was decided that a letter be written, acknowledging receipt of the letter and explaining that these matters must originate in the Mayor's office and that the Board cannot act until it has been brought before the proper Boards at the request of the Mayor.

(10) Letter dated May 31, 1957 from Mr. Connell, Sup't., Parks and Trees, attaching the Rules and Regulations for Parks and Beaches.

Referred to Legislative & Rules and Parks & Recreation Committees.

(11) Letter from Mayor Quigley dated May 27, 1957 brought before Board at June 3rd meeting, re inspection of insurance records in Commissioner of Finance' office.

This was referred back to the Steering Committee at the June 3rd meeting, after a motion was made referring this to Mr. Huizinga and Mr. Raiteri to be designated to inspect the records.

MR. FREDERICKS MOVED that the Steering Committee appoint the two insurance men, Mr. Raiteri and Mr. Huizinga, to inspect the insurance records. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously.

(12) Mr. Kelly brought up the question of better police protection for the people in the Cove section and presented a letter dated June 15, 1957 on the subject.

Referred to Health & Protection Committee.

- (13) Four appeals from decision of Zoning Board were referred to Legislative & Rules Committee (see Agenda for details), and Planning & Zoning Committee.
- (14) All petitions for road acceptance referred to Planning & Zoning Committee.
- (15) Follow up on Mayor's letter of May 8, 1957, suggesting a meeting (after budget for 195?-58 is completed) to be held with representatives of the Board of Finance and the Fiscal Committee in regard to establishing pension funds of the City on a more equitable basis from an actuarial standpoint.

It was decided that Mr. Connors should take this matter up with the Mayor upon his return and make immediate arrangements to hold a meeting.

All fiscal matters approved by the Board of Finance were referred to the Fiscal Committee and any other committee involved.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

vf

George V. Connors, Chairman

Fiscal Committee:

(1) \$6,000 - Social Security, Code GG-332 - Request for additional appropriation, as presented in Mayor's letter 6/4/57

MR. KAMINSKI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Georgoulis and CARRIED unanimously.

(2) \$15,000 - Self Insurance transfer to Group Life Insurance - Request as presented in Mayor's letters 6/5/57 and 6/7/57

MR. KAMINSKI said this had been approved by the Board of Finance and MOVED to confirm their action. Seconded by Mr. Topping.

MR. HUIZINGA said he objected for the reason that this is a transfer and it was his impression that no approval by this Board was necessary on transfers and said he thought this got on the Agenda through error.

MR. HUIZINGA MOVED that this be stricken from the Agenda for the reason that it was placed there in error. Seconded by Mr. Hearing and CARRIED unanimously.

(3) \$240,435.47 - Transfer from Salary Adjustments Account to various departmental
salary accounts - As set forth in schedules attached to Mayor's
letter of 6/6/57

MR. KAMINSKI MOVED that no action be taken and that this be recommitted to Committee. Seconded by Mr. Topping.

MR. TOPPING said he thinks any increase in salary should not take place until the pension funds are put on a proper actuarial basis. He cited several cases where it appeared that employees had received increases in excess of 6%.

MR. FREDERICKS MOVED to close debate.

VOTE taken on recommitment to Committee and CARRIED by 28 in FAVOR and 8 OPPOSED.

(4) \$375 - Zoning Board of Appeals, Code 500 4, Advertising - Requested in Mayor's letter of 6/6/57

MR. KAMINSKI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mrs. Zuckers and CARRIED by unanimous vote.

(5) \$750 - Civil Defense Corps., Salary Account - Requested in Acting Mayor's letter of 3/22/57

Mr. Topping read from his Committee report on this matter (see report under Public Works Committee for details) and said he thought this should be tabled, as it was his belief that money to take care of janitor services for the Haig Avenue Building, occupied by the Civil Defense Corps. had been appropriated to the Police Department when they occupied the building and he could see no reas why this money could not be spent to care for the building.

MR. KAMINSKI explained that although this money had been given to the Police Depar ment to take care of maintenance of the Haig Avenue building, when they moved into their new headquarters the money in their budget for maintenance services had to b applied to their new building.

MR. KAMINSKI MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Milano and CARRIED by a vote of 24 in FAVOR and 13 OPPOSED.

(6). \$68,000 - "Bridges" - Amendment to 1957-1958 Capital Projects Budget - As requested in Mayor's letter of 5/28/57

MR. KAMINSKI MOVED that no action be taken at this time, for the reason that the Board of Finance had been reluctant to act on this until after the start of the fiscal year and the action taken by them was such that it nullified their own action. Seconded by Mrs. Zuckert and CARRIED unanimously.

Legislative & Rules Committee:

Mr. Raiteri, Chairman, presented the following report of his Committee:

REPORT OF JOINT MEETING - LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE AND PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE

A joint meeting of the above committees was held at 9 P.M. Thursday, June 27, 1957 at Avignone's Restaurant. Mr. Raiteri acted as Chairman, Mr. Baker as Clerk. Others present were Mrs. Peatt and Messrs. Nolan and Russell.

The subjects of the meetings were the four appeals from decisions of the Zoning Board. In each case the documents considered were:

- 1. The transcript of the public hearing.
- 2. Excerpts from the minutes of the meeting of the Zoning Board, at which each of the four applications was denied.
- 3. The appeal from the decision of the Zoning Board.
- 4. The Zoning map of the City.

The appeals were considered in the order set forth in the Agenda for the Board of Representatives meeting of July 1st. The joint committee unanimously recommends the following action:

1. Application Ogiba and Dudas

Recommend that the proposed amendment be approved. The joint committee agrees with the applicants as set forth in the transcript, that the 1500 foot rule should apply in all zones, so that regulations would be uniform. The existing sections 14E and 14F would make the rule not applicable.

2. Application Mix

Recommend that the proposed amendment be approved. The joint committee notes that the C-L zone generally consists of strips approximately 200 feet in width and bordering on residential zones. It feels that the permitted use is not appropriate for such areas. males, arts was you be guree gut bird number

- 3. Application Grogan
 4. Application Shinsky

These cases are very similar.

Recommend both applications be rejected. The joint committee concurs with the Zoning Board in its opinion that all uses permitted in a C-G General Commercial District are not particularly suitable or appropriate to business districts away from the center of the City.

need and take there of maintanance of the liets average

CARNIEL by a water of 20 In TAYOR and J.

The joint meeting adjourned at 10:20 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Approved: B. B. Baker, Clerk

and part on how of particle wheat had gloride to hand C. L. Raiteri, Jr., Chairman, L. & R.

George Russell, Acting Chairman, Planning and Zoning Committee

(1) Appeal from Zoning Board decision of 5/9/57 re application of STANLEY J. OGIBA and WILLIAM M. DUDAS, that Sections 14E and 14F of Zoning regulations

be repealed and all other amendments which except restaurants from provisions of Section 14 be repealed.

MR. RAITERI MOVED that the proposed amendment be approved, stating that it was agreed by the joint committees that the 1500 foot rule should apply in all zones, so that regulations will be uniform, as the existing sections 14E and 14F would make the rule inapplicable. Mr. Nolan seconded the motion.

Mr. Macrides and Mrs. Mitchell were given permission to abstain from voting for personal reasons.

MR. IACOVO said he agreed with the decision of the Zoning Board and did not go along with the recommendations of the committee, because he thought the 1,500 rule resulted in a monopoly of trade and saw no reason to allow a monolopy to exist in one type of business to the extent of preventing competition by others who also wished to make a living in the same area.

MR. RHOADES: "This rule is merely intended to protect the whole city of Stamford. By voting affirmatively we will be, in affect, voting to sustain the 1,500 foot rule, in all districts, without exception."

MRS. ZUCKERT: "I am in favor of the 1,500 foot rule for the sale of liquor in a package store, but I am not in favor of this." She went on to state how it was handled in New York.

MR. FREDERICKS said he agreed with Mrs. Zuckert and MOVED the QUESTION.

VOTE taken on Mr. Raiteri's motion and CARRIED by a vote of 25 in FAVOR and 10 OPPOSED, Mr. Macrides and Mrs. Mitchell abstaining from voting

MR. FREDERICKS called attention to an apparent discrepancy in the Charter and to the vote taken on item #5 under Fiscal Committee, in which the vote was 24 in favor and 13 opposed. He pointed out that the Charter states in Sec. 619, page 72 (referring to vote on additional appropriations after adoption of the budget)

"....and its adoption by the Board of Representatives shall require a two-thirds vote of those present at the meeting....."

Then, in Sec. 202.2 on page 17 further states:

"..... No ordinance or appropriation resolution shall be adopted except by majority vote of the entire membership of the Board of Representatives....."

Mr. Fredericks asked if the vote on the appropriation was legal, in view of the apparent discrepancy in the Charter as to how these matters should be handled, and questioned whether or not this appropriation could be considered approved by this Board under the circumstances.

MR. KAMINSKI: "Some time ago the same question was brought up and we had a ruling from the Corporation Counsel, I believe, and the ruling was that the only vote necessary was a majority vote."

MR. RHOADES: "The Legislature attempted to straighten out this problem, but it will not be effective until October."

MR. FREDERICKS MOVED that the President investigate this matter and report back at the next meeting of the Board. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously.

- (2) Appeal from Zoning Board decision of May 13, 1957, re application of JOHN S. MIX to amend Land Use Schedule of Section V of Zoning regulations to delete therefrom allowance of enclosed ICE SKATING RINKS in the C-L Limited Business District.
- MR. RAITERI MOVED for approval of the proposed amendment:

To amend the Zoning Regulations of the City of Stamford to probabit the establishment or maintenance of an enclosed ice skating rink or arena in the C-L Limited Business district

- Mr. Raiteri's motion was seconded by Mr. Nolan and CARRIED unanimously.
- (3) Appeal from Zoning Board denial of application of Estate of FLORENCE L. GROGAN to change to C-G (General Commercial District) property now in C-L (Limited Business District).

MR. RAITERI MOVED for REJECTION of the proposed amendment to the Zoning map as described:

To change to the C-G General Commercial District, the following described property now in C-L Limited Business District:

"Land of Estate of Florence L. Grogan, bounded NORTHERLY by 100 feet by Rose Street; EASTERLY 464 feet by land of Ivy Van Valkenburg, et al; WESTERLY 464 feet by Hope Street, and SOUTHERLY 100 feet by Scofield Avenue"

Mr. Macrides requested permission to abstain from voting for personal reasons. The motion was seconded by Mr. Nolan and CARRIED by a vote of 36 in FAVOR, with one abstention.

(4) Appeal from Zoning Board denial of application of JULIUS SHINSKY to change to C-G General Commercial District, land owned by Gulf Oil Corp., David Rednick, et al, Dora Greenberg and Joseph J. Graham, et al, and bounded NORTHERLY by Colonial Road; EASTERLY by Hope Street; and SOUTHWESTERLY by Pilgrim Walk.

MR. RAITERI MOVED for REJECTION of this proposed amendment to the Zoning Map as described:

To change to the C-G General Commercial District, the following described property now in C-L Limited Business District:

"Land owned by the Gulf Oil Corporation, David Rednick, et al, Dora Greenberg and Joseph J. Graham, et al, and bounded NORTHERLY by Colonial Road; EASTERLY by Hope Street; and SOUTHWESTERLY by Pilgrim Walk."

Mr. Nolan seconded Mr. Raiteri's motion. Mr. Macrides asked to also be excused from voting on this question for personal reasons.

VOTE taken on Mr. Raiteri's motion and CARRIED by a vote of 36 in FAVOR, with one abstention.

(5) Rules and Regulations for Parks and Beaches.

The following letter on this subject was introduced and MR. RAITERI MOVED that an answer be sent to Mr. Connell, explaining that nothing can be done at this time regarding approval of the rules and regulations for the Park Commission for the reason that it was unanimously approved at the June meeting (see page 1625 of the Minutes of 6/3/57 - Mr. Kaminski's motion) that these be considered simultaneously with those of the Board of Recreation and the Hubbard Heights Golf Club:

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

May 31, 1957

Hon. George V. Connors, President Board of Representatives City of Stamford, Conn.

Dear Mr. Connors:

Inasmuch as the Board of Representatives' agenda for the Monday, June 3rd, 1957 regular meeting includes as Item #3 under Legislative and Rules Committee the following:

"Ordinance for final adoption (amended) regarding Parks, Beaches and Recreation Areas"

I am enclosing copy of those rules and regulations of which approval is requested by the Park Commission.

The only addition to the 1956 rules and regulations is #17 concerning the washing of automobiles in parks which has become a serious undesirable practice.

I presume that these rules and regulations can be approved at the time action is taken on the overall Ordinance.

Very truly yours,

cc: R. B. Nolan

C. L. Raiteri, Jr.

S. E. Kelly

EDWARD A. CONNELL, Superintendent Department of Parks and Trees

Mr. Kaminski seconded Mr. Raiteri's motion. CARRIED unanimously,

MR. RAITERI presented the following committee report:

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE Report of Meeting

A meeting of the Legislative and Rules Committee was held at 10:20 P.M., Thursday, June 27, 1957, at Avignone's Restaurant. Mr. Raiteri acted as Chairman; Mr. Baker as Clerk. Others present were: Messrs. Nolan and Russell.

Subjects considered were: Items (5) and (6) on agenda under Legislative & Rules Committee (See agenda for July ist).

Conclusions reached are as follows:

(5) Rules and Regulations for Parks and Beaches:

The Committee considered the veto by the Mayor of the Ordinance on this subject, which was passed for publication unanimously by this Board at the March meeting, and passed for adoption (27 - 6) by this Board at the June meeting It reviewed the reasons given for the veto and again compared the Ordinance, as adopted, with the alternate version prepared by the Corporation Counsel. It is the Committee's considered opinion that the former is far superior to the latter, in that it sets forth in simple, understandable words, the intent of this Board that the Board of Recreation, the Park Commission and the Hubbard Heights Commission, shall each have the right to adopt rules and regulations for their respective spheres of operation, subject to the approval of this Board. Any conflict of interest would be resolved by this Board. It was also the considered opinion of the Committee that the version prepared by the Corporation Counsel was ambiguous and poorly expressed in parts, included certain provisions already set forth in the Special Act which created the Park Commission, and in general, goes farther than is considered necessary to go.

The Committee, therefore, strongly recommends that the Ordinance as previously passed for adoption, be again passed over the Mayor's veto.

(6) Proposed Housing Ordinance:

The Committee yields on this item to the Housing Committee and concurs in the report which will be presented.

The meeting adjourned at 10:55 P M.

Respectfully submitted,

Approved:

21124

C. L. Raiteri, Jr., Chairman E B. Baker, Clerk

(6) Ordinance No. 64 Supplemental - An Ordinance Regarding Parks, Beaches and
Recreation Areas (See pages 1624 and 1625 of Minutes of June 3, 1957 for
complete wording of this Ordinance, which was passed for
final adoption at that time)

MR. RAITERI MOVED for suspension of the rules in order to bring this Ordinance up for discussion, for the reason that it had been vetoed by the Mayor. Seconded by Mr. Fredericks and CARRIED unanimously

MR. RAITERI MOVED for approval of the above Ordinance, over the Mayor's veto. Seconded by Mrs. Zuckert and Mr. Baker.

Mr. Raiteri pointed out the difference between the Ordinance which was adopted at the June meeting and the version offered by the Corporation Counsel. He said it was the committee's belief that the one previously adopted by this Board was clear and concise and the other version was almost the same except that it repeated a provision already covered by a State Statute and was not as easy to understand, and under the circumstances he would recommend approval of the version previously adopted, which had been vetoed by the Mayor.

MR. FREDERICKS said the Legislative and Rules Committee had spent considerable time preparing the Ordinance and if the Mayor's veto is allowed to stand, it will mean a delay before any further action can be taken on rules and regulations for

the Park Commission and the Board of Recreation. He said he believed the Mayor's veto should be over-ruled because there was no letter of explanation accompanying the Ordinance when it was returned to the Board with his disapproval thereon. He said he thought the Board was entitled to an explanation of the reason why the Ordinance was vetoed.

MR. NOLAN: "It looks as though the Corporation Counsel, by refusing to o.k this Ordinance as to form and offering a substitute version is usurping the powers of the Legislative Body. If the Mayor felt the Ordinance needed any changes, he should have contacted us and made his wishes known We would be establishing a very bad precedent if we do not over-ride this veto."

MR. VITTI said he thought it should be sent back to Committee until further explanation is made as to why it was vetoed

MR. RAITERI said if the Ordinance was satisfactory to the Board when it was adopted at the June meeting, then it should be satisfactory now. He said: "The burden of proof does not lie with us. Let those who are opposed to the Ordinance take the trouble to tell us the reason why.

VOTE taken on Mr. Raiteri's motion to over-ride the Mayor's veto, and CARRIED by a vote of 32 in FAVOR and 5 OPPOSED.

Mr. Fredericks asked to be excused at 9:50 P.M.

(7) Proposed housing Ordinance regulating supplied facilities, maintenance and occupancy of dwellings and dwelling units. (prepared in accordance with Resolution N 225, adopted by Board of Representatives on June 4, 1956)

MR. RAITERI yielded the floor to Mr. Longo, who read the following joint committee report:

> JOINT MEETING OF THE HOUSING COMMITTEE HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE AND THE LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

A joint meeting of the above named committees was held at 8:15 P.M. Thursday, June 13, 1957, at Avignone's Restaurant. Mr Longo presided. Mr. Baker acted as Clerk. Others present were Mrs. Zuckert and Messrs. Kolich, Milano, Raiteri and Russell.

The subject of the meeting was the proposed "Housing Ordinance Regulating Supplied Facilities, Maintenance and Occupancy of Dwellings and Dwelling Units in the City of Stamford, Connecticut".

This proposed ordinance was prepared pursuant to Resolution No. 225 adopted by the Board of Representatives on June 4, 1956. A copy of the proposal has been furnished to each member of the Board.

The document was prepared by a Committee consisting of representatives of the following municipal agencies:

Health Department Division of Buildings Fire Department 2343 Law Department Board of Representatives

Planning Board Zoning Board Urban Redevelopment Commission Housing Authority

It is important to note that the ordinance is retroactive. That is, it applies to housing already in existence and aims to correct unhealthful and unsafe conditions.

Since it is not always feasible to impose on existing structures the standards which apply to new buildings or to conversions, the standards in this proposed ordinance are frequently less stringent than those which exist in the Building Code and other statutes, ordinances and regulations governing new construction and conversions.

This distinction is of the utmost importance. Property owners erecting new buildings, additions, or conversions are obliged to comply with all statutes, ordinances, and regulations which govern such structures, and may not invoke the provisions of this housing ordinance alone, as compliance with the law.

It is the unanimous opinion of those participating in this review that the proposed ordinance is an exceptionally fine document. It is clear, concise, and comprehensive and should effectively accomplish its purpose.

The joint committee review disclosed only a very few provisions which, in their opinion, warranted modification. Those changes are outlined below.

With the changes noted, the joint committee respectfully urges the adoption of this ordinance as a major step forward in protecting the health and safety of the people of Stamford, preventing the spread of slums and blight, and promoting neighborhood livability.

I therefore move publication of a notice of the proposed ordinance, with four copies to be available in the Town Clerk's office for inspection by any interested party.

Approved:

Respectfully submitted,

Frank Longo, Chairman

E. B. Baker, Clerk

The following are the committee changes to proposed Housing Ordinance, as mentioned in the above report:

Page 1 Title: Add the words "In the City of Stamford, Connecticut"

Page 5, paragraph 1.20 Change to read:

Temporary Housing shall mean any tent, trailer or other structure which is designed to be transportable and which is used for human shelter on the same premises for more than 15 consecutive days.

Page 10, paragraph 4.1 After the first word of the second line, insert a comma and the following: "subject to the approval of the Board of Representatives,".

Same paragraph: Delete the second sentence, beginning with "The Commissioner of Health".

Page 16, paragraph 6.6 After the word "in" in the first line, add a comma and the following: "and every porch giving egress to ground level from,"; and delete the words "containing three or more dwelling units".

Page 20, paragraph 8.5 (a) Second line, delete the word "above" and substitute the words "not more than three feet below".

MR. LONGO MOVED for adoption for publication of the following proposed ordinance, but with the suggestion that the entire Ordinance not be printed in the newspaper, but instead, notice be inserted that copies are available for public inspection in the office of the Town and City Clerk, Ferguson Library and also that a copy be sent to the West Side Community Center with the notice that final adoption is not to take place until the August meeting of the Board. Seconded by Mr. Nolan and CARRIED unanimously.

HOUSING ORDINANCE REGULATING SUPPLIED FACILITIES, MAINTENANCE AND OCCUPANCY OF DWELLINGS AND DWELLING UNITS IN THE CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT.

AN ORDINANCE establishing minimum standards governing the condition and magnitenance of dwellings; establishing minimum standards governing supplied utilities and facilities and other physical things and conditions essential to make dwellings safe, sanitary, and fit for human habitation; establishing minimum standards governing the condition of dwellings offered for rent; fixing certain responsibilities and duties of owners and occupants of dwellings; authorizing the inspection of dwellings, and the condemnation of dwellings unfit for human habitation; and fixing penalties for violation.

Note: Copies of the above ordinance have been furnished to each member of the Board of Representatives. Because of length, it will not be entered into the Minutes until final adoption.

Public Works Committee:

Mr. Topping, Chairman, presented the following Committee Report:

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REPORT

The menting of the Public Works Committee was held at 28 Lenox Avenue, at 8:00 P.M. on June 25, 1957.

Members present were: Alan Ketcham, Eugene Barry, Anthony Kolich and Thomas Topping. Vincent Vitti was absent.

Civil Defense Salary Account, Code 444.1, was discussed, and we found that in the 1956-57 Budget for the Police Department, Code 430.1, \$3,300 was allowed for Custodian, Haig Avenue Bldg. Under Code 430.7 Janitor Supplies \$75 was allowed, and under Code 430.18 \$500 was allowed for Maintenance of Haig Ave. Bldg., making a total allowance of \$3,875 for the Haig Ave. Bldg. This committee voted to recommend to the Board of Representatives that the request of the Civil Defense for salary account, Code 444.1 of \$750 be denied, with the following recommendations: That the Civil Defense Corps. request a transfer of funds from the Polica Department to cover the cost for the Janitor services and maintenance of the Haig Ave. Bldg., from Jan. 30, 1957 to June 30, 1957, and I so MOVE.

It was also voted to recommend to the Board of Representatives that they accept all the roads published in the Minutes of June 3rd, except the following, which should be measured by the City Engineer

For length: ACOSTA STREET, BELLMERE AVENUE, BERGES AVENUE, BONNER STREET, CARDINAL PLACE, CARTER DRIVE, CATOONA LANE, PEAK STREET and THREE LAKES DRIVE. DeLeo Drive has been accepted once before as a city street. Peak Street, and Three Lakes Drive are not in any condition to be accepted as city streets, and I so move.

Re: Petition, residents Holbrook Estates, acceptance of Pine Tree Drive, Apple Tree Drive, Holbrook Drive and Boxwood Drive

The petition of the residents of Holbrook Estates for acceptance of their roads was also discussed. A question was raised as to whether the residents have the right to request the City to take over these roads. The Chairman was requested to look into this matter further. I found that the title in fee of the roads is still held by the Corporation that developed the area. I discussed with Mr. Walter Maguire, the legal representative of the Corporation as to the possibility of the Corporation turning over the title to the roads to the residents, and he said the Corporation would be willing to meet with a representative group of the residents to that end. Therefore, I move that this petition be returned to the petitioners until such time as a clear title can be secured to the roads by the petitioners.

Re: Joint meeting, Public Works Committee, Planning & Zoning Committee

The Public Works Committee members present were: Anthony Kolich, Eugene Barry, Thomas Topping and Alau Ketcham.

The proposed Ordinance submitted to the Steering Committee by the Chairman of this Committee was discussed and the following wording approved.

Or application of 50% of the property owners by petition to the Board of Representatives, we will under Chapter 64, Sec. 641 of the Charter, initiate the proper procedure to determine the costs to the property owners of the necessary improvements, and determine the proper method of assessments to put the roads in condition as to grade, roadway, curbs and drains and such other requirements as the City Engineer shall direct for acceptance by the City, and I so move.

On June 17th an Ordinance was presented to the Steering Committee by the Chairman of the Public WorksCommittee, as follows:

Because there has been no setting of the grade of platted streets approved by the Town Planning Comm. and that lot owners are building homes on these streets without regard or knowledge of the proper grade of the roadway, it does seem to me, that some regulations or ordinance should be enacted that would either cause the grade of the road to be set before a building permit is issued, or some way provided by which the builder would be told what the grade is before he starts to build.

Sec. 527 of the Charter specifies that a permit shall be issued in the case of "lots of record" or where the street was open to vehicular travel before consolidation. I would like to submit the following for consideration by the Planning & Zoning Committee, Legislative & Rules Committee and the Public Works Committee:

"No permit for the construction of any structure shall be issued until the City Engineer has set the grade and drainage of the street on which the structure is to be built."

At the joint meetint, as noted above, the following wording was suggested as a Charter revision of Sec. 527: would be required to accomplish what was proposed.

It was voted to recommend to this Board that the Board of Representatives recommend to the City Engineer and the Building Inspector, that before permits to build on lots of record, are issued, the grade of the road be set by the City Engineer, so that the builder would know what the grade of the street was before he built his house, and I so Move.

Respectfully submitted,

T. J. Topping, Chairman

In regard to the list of roads coming up for acceptance under the Planning and Zoning Committee report, some of which are not recommended for approval in the above report, MR. RAITERI said he does not believe it necessary to discuss each road as it comes up, but thought the Board should accept the recommendations of the Planning & Zoning and Public Works Committees. He thought it would be a good idea if members of the Board were notified when the committee was meeting with the Public Works Department, the City Engineer and the Director of Planning & Zoning, etc., so that any interested members could attend the meeting and they could have a conference as to the various problems involved.

Mr. McLaughlin asked to be excused at 10 P.M.

The following petition, brought in for the Board's attention by Mr. Ellis Baker, 8th District Representative, and referred to in above report, was brought up for discussi

Board of Representatives Stamford, Conn.

June 3, 1957

The undersigned, property owners on the four streets below named, do respectfully petition your honorable Board to accept as public streets: APPLE TREE DRIVE, BOXWOOD DRIVE, HOLBROOK DRIVE and PINE TREE DRIVE.

These streets have been open to public vehicular travel since prior to April 16, 1950, the date on which the Planning Board established specifications for street construction and set up requirements for bonds.

The streems in question, at the time of their construction, were hard surfaced roads of a type and width compatible with present requirements. Because of substantial public use during the intervening years the surfaces are in need of some repair of a minor nature. There is, however, no recourse on the developer for such mepair. The streets are in a "B" tax district, assessment for which includes a factor for road maintenance.

23333

Acceptance of these streets by your honorable Board would be consistent with the action previously taken with regard to other streets open to vehicular traffic prior to April 16, 1950.

(SIGNED BY 31 PETITIONERS)

MR. TOPPING MOVED a letter be written to the petitioners, explaining nothing can be done about accepting these roads as city streets until such time as a clear title can be secured to these roads by the petitioners. Seconded by Mr. Hearing, and CARRIED unanimously. This matter was Tabled.

Re: Proposed Ordinance for setting by City Engineer of grades and drainage of streets before construction is undertaken.

MR. NOLAN said he thought the Board should have time to look into this proposed Ordinance before anything further is done and MOVED it be referred to the Legislative & Rules Committee. Seconded by Mr. Hearing and CARRIED unanimously.

MR. WATESBURY MOVED for acceptance of Mr. Topping's report as read. Seconded by several and CARRIED unanimously.

Health & Protection Committee:

MR. MILANO, CHAIRMAN, presented the following committee report:

Meeting held June 27, 1957

A request for a foot patrolman in the Cove section was referred to this Committee by the Steering Committee.

The Health & Protection Committee discussed it at length with Chief Binsella and he agreed that a foot patrolman was needed in the area. Ee also stated that he would, whenever possible, and practicable, post a foot patrolman in the Cove and would do his best to provide more squad car coverage for the people of the Cove and the East side.

Joseph Milano, Chairman

Planning & Zoning Committee:

MR. RUSSELL, CHAIRMAN, MOVED for approval of the following resolution, being roads presented at June Board meeting, with the deletion of nine streets: (Acosta Street, Belimere Avenue, Berges Avenue, Bonner Street, Cardinal Place, Carter Drive, Catoona Lane, Peak Street and Three Lakes Drive) as requested by the Chairman of the Public Works Committee. Seconded by Mr. Baker and CARRIED unanimously:

RESOLUTION NO. 257

BE IT RESOLVED AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT:

The following named streets and highways, which were open to vehicular travel prior to April 16, 1950 as public streets and highways, are accepted as City streets:

Annie Place Ardsley Road Cresthill Place Crosby Street

Fuclid Avenue Fahey Street July 1, 1957

Austin Avenue
Bartina Lane
Blondel Street
Bond Street
Brightside Drive
Brookdale Road
Brown Avenue
Burr Street
Cedar Street
Central Street
Charter Street
Claremont Street
Comet Street

Cummings Avenue
Cushing Street
Custer Street
Daly Street
Daskam Street
DeLeo Drive
De Pinedo Avenue
Dryden Street
Duffy Street
Durant Street
East Hunting Ridge Road

Finney Lane
Fowler Street (Glenbrook)
Frankel Place
Givens Avenue
Green Street
Greenway Street
Greenwood Hill Street
Gregory Street
Gurley Road
Hall Place

Farms Road

Street East Middle Patent Road
eet East Walnut Street
Eden Avenue
Elm Place

Hall Place (Glenbrook Hanrahan Street Harding Avenue Hartford Avenue

Ponus Avenue

Harvard Avenue Haviland Road Hazel Street Hobbie Street Hobson Street Holcomb Avenue Hoover Avenue Howard Road Hughes Avenue Hunting Ridge Road Hyde Street Ingleside Drive Interlaken Road Jackson Street John Street Lakeside Drive Larkin Street

Laurel Road

Leeds Street Market Street Mianus Road Mill Road Milton Street Mission Street Oak Hill Street Old Barn Road East Old Barn Road North Old Barn Road South Old Barn Road West . Oscar Street Palmer Avenue Palmer Street Park Street Pellom Place Pershing Avenue Peveril Road

Poplar Street
Raymond Street
Remington Street
Skymeadow Drive
Smith Street
Stanwich Road
Taylor Street
Trinity Pass
Union Street (A Dist.)
Union Street (C Dist.)
Vista Street
West Hill Lane
West Street
Westover Avenue
Wildwood Road

Woodbine Road

Woodway Road

MR. RUSSELL: "We are going to arrange for a meeting of our Committee members with representatives of the Planning Board, Mr. Chase, Mr. White, and any interested parties, in order to draw up specifications for roads that are acceptable to all concerned."

MR. KETCHAM asked if any action was going to be taken on the acceptance of Woodridge Drive. He said that the City Engineer said there is no petition for the acceptance of this road.

(Note: There is a petition for acceptance of this road on file in the Board of Representatives' office and also correspondence from the City Engineer.)

MR. KETCHAM: "In view of what Mr. Russell says, on behalf of the residents on Wood-ridge Drive, I wish to enter a public protest on the way this matter has been handled. The petition went in last fall - the bond was called, and the work was done, under the direction of the City Engineer. It went through the winter season when we were not able to act on it and then at our first meeting, it wasn't ready, etc., and I now fail to see any further humor in the situation. It is about time something was done."

Parks & Recreation Committee:

MR. KELLY, CHAIRMAN, presented the following report (see petitions):

The Woodway Country Club, at a very late hour requested permission of the Board of Representatives to stage a fireworks display on their grounds on Thursday, July 4th. The Chairman of the Parks and Recreation Committee thereupon contacted the Minority Leader and the Majority Leader and the President of the Board, all of whom agreed to the request, pending the approval and clearance through the Fire Marshal, the Chief of Police and the Corporation Counsel, who would decide what requirements were necessary to make the request a reality. Your Chairman left it up to the Fire Marshal, the Chief of Police and the Corporation Counsel. Whether it went through or not is not known to your Chairman. Time was definitely of the essence and it would be too late for it to wait to be handled in the regular procedure.

Stephen E. Kelly, Chairman

MR. NOLAN asked Mr. Kelly about the \$1.00 fee being charged for parking stickers by the Park Commission.

MR. KELLY: "It is being forced on the people and if they wish to merely take a ride in one of our parks, they must have a sticker or they can't get in. They have no choice except to pay the fee."

MR. NOLAN MOVED that the Park Commission be asked to include in their rules and regulations the matter of the \$1.00 fee for a parking sticker. Seconded by Mr. Topping.

MR. RAITERI AMENDED by asking that a letter be written and that Mr. Kelly or a deputy of his Committee, be the bearer of the letter at their next meeting. CARRIED by a unanimous vote.

Education, Welfare & Government Committee:

MR. MACRIDES, CHAIRMAN, presented the following committee report:

The Committee on Education, Welfare and Government met at the offices of Macrides, Zezima and Schwartz at 7:00 P.M. on Tuesday, June 25, 1957. Mary J. Bankowski, (who has taken the place of William J. Brett) Charles A. Gilbert, Edward C. Czupka, John R. Lilliendahl, Jr. and John C. Macrides, were present.

Mr. Macrides reported a discussion which he recently had with Probate Judge Keating, with reference to questions raised during the budget hearing, concerning appropriations requested by the Probate Court. Judge Keating pointed out Section 6820 of the General Statutes, which states that each Judge of Probate shall provide suitable record books and supplies for his Court and the expenses which the Judge deems necessary in this regard shall be paid, upon his order, by the Town. This indicates that the Judge is well within his rights in requesting appropriations, as he did in the last budget. If, at any time this Board feels that the request is exorbitant, they may deny the request, and the Judge would then have to bring an action of mandamus to compel the Board to enact the appropriations. In such an action, it is presumed that the Court would look to the reasonability of the Judge's request before ordering compliance. The Committee MOVES that further discussion of this matter be terminated.

The Committee also discussed another question which had been raised at the budget hearing, with reference to the appropriations made to the Department of Public Welfare for payment for services rendered by the Visiting Nurse

2056

Association. In this connection, the following letters were read:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WELFARE

May 24, 1957

Mr. George V. Connors, President Board of Representatives City Hall Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Connors:

After reading the first and second paragraphs of your letter of the 22nd, I conclude there is a great misunderstanding by some members of the Steering Committee as to the function of the Visiting Nurse Association of Stamford, Conn., Inc., and have assumed the responsibility of asking Mrs. Douglas Cruikshank, President, to acquaint the Steering Committee as to their function.

As the Visiting Nurse Association of Stamford, Conn., Inc., is a private corporation, rendering a special service to the Department of Public Welfare, when requested by the Department, then we must pay for the service rendered.

This special service is requested by the physician, and we are obligated to abide therefore.

We have found that these special services supplied by the Stamford Visiting Nurse Association of Stamford, Conn., Inc., are the most economical for the City of Stamford. The charges for special services are as follows:

Home Visits	2.60
General Nursing Service (each additional member of a family treated at the same time)	1.00
Hypodermic Injections	
(There is a charge of \$1.00 for each addi-	
tional individual at the same time)	
Note: This charge is for hypodermic	
injections, whether it be one	
or more hypodermic injections	
in the same individual.	
Mother and baby care	2.60*
Demonstration of baby bath	2.60*
Visits by appointment	
Colonics	5.00
4 8 9	
* These fees are for a visit up to one hour	

* These fees are for a visit up to one hour. for each additional quarter of an hour there is an additional charge, equal to 1/4 of the fee.

Services at office, 60 Guernsey Street:

Dressing and treatments	2.00
Hypodermic injections	1.25
Colonics	3.00

Trusting this answers your question, I am

Sincerely yours,

George A. Laturney, Director of Public Welfare

THE VISITING NURSE ASSOCIATION OF STAMFORD,
CONNECTICUT, INC.
60 Guernsey Street
Stamford, Connecticut

May 25, 1957

Mr. George V. Connors, President Board of Representatives Town Hall Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Connors:

At the suggestion of Mr. George A. Laturney, Director, Welfare Department, I am furnishing the following data on the services rendered to the Welfare Department by the Visiting Nurse Association.

As you know, the Welfare Department employs no nurses and the nurses of the Health Department do not provide home nursing care. There is, therefore, no governmental agency upon which the Welfare Department can call when a physician directs home nursing care for anyone for whom the Welfare Department is responsible.

The VNA, upon request, furnishes such home nursing care for a fee, based upon the actual cost per visit. The fee schedule is submitted to the Welfare Department each July 1st for approval, and visits are charged for at the approval rate for the following year.

Although it is the practice in many cities to establish a flat grant to the local VNA, which may cover or exceed the actual costs of visits made, the practice in Stamford, since 1934, has been to charge only for each actual visit made. In this way, the city government is assured that it is paying only for services actually performed.

The VNA is a non-profit agency, supported in part by fees charged for its services, in part by voluntary contributions of interested individuals. In this respect, it is identical with both local hospitals, which also charge the Welfare Department for services provided to patients who are the responsibility of the Welfare Department.

If we can furnish any further information that will be helpful, we will be most happy to do so.

Sincerely yours,

Charlotte D. S. Cruikshank, President (Mrs. Douglas M. Cruikshank)

2354

The Committee felt that the above letters pointed out sufficient reasons for the aforesaid appropriations and MOVE that discussion of this matter also be terminated.

Mr. Macrides reported that he has spoken with Reginald Neuwien, Superintendent of Schools, with reference to the 6% increase for classified employees of the Board of Education. The question had been raised as to whether or not said employees were going to receive the raise in conformance with the raise requested and granted to all other classified employees of the city. Mr. Neuwien stated definitely that each employee will receive an increase of \$260.00, or 6%, whichever is greater, beginning with the first payroll in July.

Respectfully submitted,

John C. Macrides, Chairman Education, Welfare & Government Committee

MR. MACRIDES MOVED that any further discussion of the budget of the Probate Court be terminated. Seconded by Mr. Nolan.

MR. RHOADES: "I would like to mention at this point the case of the Probate Judge in Torrington, I believe, regarding photostats of records. Although no excessive charges have ever been made by our own Probate Court to date, I am very jealous of our right to refuse to pass any appropriation for any of these purposes."

VOTE taken on Mr. Macrides' motion. CARRIED unanimously.

MR. MACRIDES MOVED that any further discussion of the budget of the Welfare Department regarding visiting nurse charges be terminated. Seconded by Mr. Nolan and CARRIED unanimously.

It was MOVED, seconded and CARRIED that the above report be approved.

Special Investigating Committee: (To investigate uses, abuses and nuisances on all city-owned property and means of enforcement.

Appointed and confirmed by Board 8/6/56 meeting - see page 1324 of Minutes)

MR. MILANO, CHAIRMAN, presented the following report:

(Note: See letter from Mr. Snyder, First District Representative, dated April 17, 1957, copies of which were distributed to all Board members.)

SPECIAL INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE REPORT

Subject: Fairview Avenue East Fairview Avenue West Stamford Avenue End Shippan Avenue End

After several months of investigating the area involved, the committee found no serious evidence of abusiveness in the area.

Mr. Chairman, the Committee recommends that a letter be forwarded to the Police Department, asking that a curfew be established at the street ends of the area involved, to conform with that of the Park Department's curfew on Cummings Park and West

2359

Beach, and that this recommendation be enforced.

Joseph P. Milano, Chairman

MR. MILANO MOVED that a letter be sent to the Police Department, requesting a curfew be established at the street ends of the area involved. Seconded by Mr. Lewis and CARRIED unanimously.

In regard to the above matter, Mr. Connors presented the following letter:

STAMFORD FISH AND GAME ASSOCIATION P. O. Box 2, Stamford, Conn.

June 9, 1957

Mr. George V. Connors, President Board of Representatives Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Connors:

Last year we had considerable discussion with your Board and Mayor Quigley regarding fishing privileges at the East End of Fairview Avenue in Stamford. At that time, it was mutually agreed and voted by the Board of Representatives that the stones blocking the entrance to this parking area were to be removed and fishermen were to be allowed to park there at any time. Accordingly, the rocks were removed and fishing was enjoyed by many residents of Stamford last year. However, this spring the rocks were again placed across the entrance to the parking lot by, I am told, the residents of the area. I have talked to Mayor Quigley's secretary several times in the last month shout this matter and also to Mr. Chase, Superintendent of Highways, who told me that the Mayor had issued an order to have these rocks removed by the Park Department. However, as of now, the rocks are still there, and our Association has been receiving many complaints from fishermen who would like to use this area.

We should appreciate hearing from you as to what can be done by you and your Board to see that resolutions passed by the Board and orders issued by the Mayor are carried out.

Sincerely yours,

STAMFORD FISH AND GAME ASSOCIATION

William E. McKenna, Jr.

MR. WATERBURY MOVED to hear from Mr. Chase as to the reason why the rocks were put there when the Park Department had taken the rocks away. Seconded and CARRRIED unanimously.

MR. CHASE said the rocks had been removed by the Park Department because it was then under their jurisdiction. He said the Health Department had ruled that, due to unsanitary conditions, the waters could not be used. He said it was now under the jurisdiction of the Public Works Department, and they would see what could be done about having the rocks removed.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR

Re: Appointment to Personnel Commission

CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

July 1, 1957

Board of Representatives Stamford, Conn.

Attention: Mr. George V. Connors, President

Dear Mr. Connors:

I wish to submit the name of PETER PHANOS, of 91 Loveland Road, as a member of the PERSONNEL COMMISSION, for the period expiring December 1, 1959.

Very truly yours,

Thomas F. J. Quigley, Mayor

MR. NOLAN MOVED that the above letter be referred to the Appointments Committee. Seconded by Mr. Kolich and CARRIED unanimously.

MR. RAITERI MOVED that before the appointments comes before the Board that the Personnel Committee be directed to give the Board a full report on the background of this appointment. Seconded by Mr. Nolan and Mr. Huizinga. CARRIED by a vote of 33 in FAVOR and 2 OPPOSED. (Two members were excused and left earlier in the meeting)

Mr. Murphy asked to be excused at 10:55 P.M.

Re: Mayor's Annual Message

The Mayor's annual message for the fiscal year 1956-1957 was presented. Inasmuch as all members of the Board had received copies, it was not considered necessary to have it read.

PETITIONS

Petition No. 234 - The Woodway Country Club, Inc.

The above petition (see Parks & Recreation Committee report) dated June 19, 1957, having been received too late to go through the Steering Committee, was presented by Mr. Kelly, who read the Committee report and MOVED for approval. Seconded by Mr. Baker and CARRIED unanimously.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS

(1) Municipal Enployees Association

A letter dated June 6, 1957 from the above association extending their thanks and appreciation for recent salary increase, was read and ordered filed.

(2) Resignation - Board of Education - Mrs. Jane W. Okie

A letter dated June 28, 1957, from Mrs. Okie, tendering her resignation from the Board of Education (term expiring December 1, 1958) in which she states her resignation will become effective upon the appointment of her successor, was presented, and ordered held in obeyance until a successor has been chosen.

(3) Oscar H. Cowan Post #3, American Legion

A letter dated June 29, 1957 from the Commander of the above American Legion Post was presented, requesting permission to remove the American flags from veterans' graves at Woodland Cemetery, inasmuch as city approval must be obtained before they can be removed.

MR. HUIZINGA MOVED that permission be granted immediately. Seconded by several and CARRIED unanimously.

(4) Public Utilities Commission - Notice of Hearing, Monday, July 15, 1957

Above hearing is to be held in Hartford, Room 565A, State Office Building, 165 Capitol Avenue at 1030 A.M. in reference to increase in taxicab fares.

(5) Secretary of State, State Capitol, Hartford, Conn.

The following letter, dated June 26, 1957, was read:

STATE OF CONNECTICUT, STATE CAPITCL

Hartford, Conn.

June 26, 1957

Mr. George V. Connors, President Board of Representatives City of Stamford Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Connors:

In reply to your letter of June 18, Section 14d of the 1955 Supplement does not apply to the duly accredited representative or counsels of municipal corporations and accordingly there was no requirement that John Macrides sign a statement of legislative appearance in this office and pay the \$5.00 fee.

We regret that his statement and filing fee were accepted and we are initiating a refund of the filing fee.

Very truly yours,

Mildred P. Allen, Secretary of State

Frederic S. Hoffer, Jr. Corporation Division Attorney

(6) Commission of Finance - Operating Budget, 1957-58 - Dog Warden

The following letter, dated June 5, 1957, was read:

CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

June 5, 1957

Mr. George V. Connors, President Board of Representatives Stamford, Conn.

Dear Mr. Connors:

In reply to your inquiry of May 22nd concerning the operating budget of the Dog Warden, I wish to inform you that the item was not included in Estimated Revenues due to an oversight. This was caused, in part, by the fact that there had been some question last year, particularly with your Board, as to the handling of this account.

It was my intention to originally leave out the appropriation, and as a result of this, I made a notation to omit the amount in the estimated receipts. After re-examination of the Charter, I revised my opinion and decided to put the appropriation in the budget. However, by the time I got to the estimated receipts, I neglected to overlook my original notation.

Your Board must bear in mind, however, that we will again have the special dog fund reimburse us for the amount of this appropriation and that the revenue will appear in the General Fund.

Very truly yours,

Thomas Morrissey, Jr. Commissioner of Finance

NEW BUSINESS

Re: P.U.C. Notice of Hearing on application of Bus Company to discontinue service from Port Chester, N. Y. to Connecticut

MR. RAITERI reported that he had attended this hearing, which was held in the Greenwich Town Hall this morning at 10:30. He said Mr. Macrides had been appointed to attend this meeting and that he had taken his place and opposed the discontinuance of this service, as instructed at the June 3rd meeting of this Board.

Re: Expression of sympathy to family of the late Valentine Odell Ketcham

Mr. Connors brought up the subject of the recent bereavement to one of the members of this Board, M π . Alan H. Ketcham, 18th District Representative, upon the death of his father, Valentine Odell Ketcham.

A moment of silence was observed by the members of the Board, in tribute to the memory of Mr. Ketcham.

It was MOVED, seconded and CARRIED, that a letter of sympathy be sent to the family, expressing the condolences of the Board.

Re: Appointment of new Board member to various Committees

MR. CONNORS, PRESIDENT, announced the appointment of Mrs. Esther H. Mitchell, 5th District, replacing Mr. Giuliani, who resigned, to the following Committees:

Parks & Recreation Committee and the Planning & Zoning Committee. Also, as replacement for Mr. Giuliani as an Alternate Teller.

Re: Board of Representatives' annual picnic

MR. CONNORS announced that he would soon name the members of the Picnic Committee to serve for the annual picnic, the date as yet undecided. He mentioned that Mr. Webster Givens had again extended his hospitality to the Board to hold their picnic at his place in the country.

Re: Adjournment

Upon MOTION OF MR. RHOADES, duly seconded and CARRIED unanimously, the meeting was adjourned at: 11:35 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

George V. Connors, President Board of Representatives

vf