

From: Zelda Penzel <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 1:14 PM
To: Board of Representatives; Jankowski, Ted; Mayor's Office; Martin,
David; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED];
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Heaphy, Eileen
Subject:re Stamford Animal Control

Dear Members of Task Force,

Dear Mayor Martin, Honorable Officials, Ladies and Gentlemen:

On behalf of our members and as co-founder of SOS: Save Our Shelter Animals, I am writing to urge you to move swiftly to ensure that Stamford Animal Control embraces the money and life-saving positive results of a No Kill sheltering system, *The No Kill Equation, (<http://www.nokilladvocacycenter.org/shelter-reform/no-kill-equation/>) which is currently succeeding in hundreds of communities around the country. The benefits are manifold and in the 21st century, it is indeed, the only economic and morally acceptable option for towns and cities. Americans will no longer accept the "excuse of over population", (of irresponsible owners, of blaming the victim, i.e. animals abandoned by owners, animals allowed to roam free and reproduce unchecked etc), as a rationale for killing.

"At their national sheltering conference this year, HSUS' Vice-President for Companion Animals admits that pet overpopulation is a myth; that there is a huge market for shelter animals that vastly exceeds the number of animals killed for lack of a home (17 million homes vs. 3 million killed); that we can adopt our way out of killing; and we should."

"Though the supply-demand imbalance is actually even more pronounced in favor of the animals (they are using old data), nonetheless, HSUS says that it isn't a question of 'too many animals, not enough homes,' but the need for increasing market share. Coming from HSUS, this is a revolutionary change, striking as it does, to the heart of the killing."

I look forward to hearing from you as you move toward making important decisions that concern your taxpayers and affect the lives of every homeless and abandoned animal in your shelter. There are viable and preferable alternatives to killing helpless, innocent, adoptable companion animals. We hope you will agree.

Sincerely,

Zelda Penzel, Co-Founder

SOS: Save Our Shelter Animals

Economic Benefits of No Kill Animal Control

There are enormous economic benefits of No Kill Animal Control, which are explained below. First, however, please find the contact details of Nathan Winograd and Boney Brown, two of the country's leading experts on modern sheltering methods, known as the *No Kill Equation.

Nathan Winograd - the country's foremost expert on successful, modern municipal animal shelters and the architect of the "No Kill Equation" - has offered to address the Task Force over a (Skype) conference call. Mr. Winograd was the first person in the country to implement modern sheltering methods when he was hired as Executive Director of Tompkins County, NY, in 2001. He had responsibility for animal control for all 10 towns and cities in the county. He wrote the blueprint for modern sheltering methods,

which is known as the "No Kill Equation". It is this blueprint that shelters representing about 500 cities and towns across America, including those in Kentucky, Virginia, Indiana, Utah, California, New York, Texas, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada and elsewhere, are using to save animals from 95-99%. Mr. Winograd can be reached at nathanwinograd@gmail.com.

Alternatively, Boney Brown is another leading expert. Ms. Brown was the Executive Director of Nevada Humane Society and left in 2013 to set up the Humane Network. She was an outstanding leader of the organization for 6 years — realizing amazing lifesaving accomplishments with over 55,000 pet adoptions and a 94% shelter and community-wide save rate. As a result, Washoe County is among the safest metropolitan areas in the country for homeless pets and the open-admission, no-kill shelter has become a shining model for communities all over the nation. Ms. Brown now consults and advises other municipal shelters to share the same success.

Boney Brown

Humane Network

President & Principal Consultant

info@humanenetwork.org

Tel: 858-395-3677

<http://humanenetwork.org/>

The Economic Benefits of No Kill Animal Control

- * Reduce costs
- * Increase revenues
- * Support community businesses

Municipalities which want to enact good policy and improve the local economy should invest in lifesaving at their local shelter. Given the cost savings and additional revenues of doing so (reduced costs associated with killing, enhanced community support, an increase in adoption revenues and other user fees, and additional tax revenues), as well as the positive economic impact of adoptions, a community cannot afford not to embrace No Kill. Although costs vary somewhat, impounding, caring for, and ultimately killing an animal and disposing of his/her body costs several hundred dollars. The process is entirely revenue negative to the municipality in contrast to the No Kill approach which:

- * Transfers costs to private philanthropy (through the use of rescue groups).
- * Brings in adoption revenue and other user fees.
- * Supports local businesses.

Beyond the increased revenues and associated savings of No Kill animal control, there are even wider economic benefits to the community. Americans spend \$50 billion annually on the care of their companion animals, an amount which is growing every year even as other economic sectors decline. Spending on animal companions is now the eighth largest sector of the economy. And giving to animal related charities is the fastest growing segment in American philanthropy. This embrace of animals cuts across all political, economic, and social demographics. And communities which adopt a No Kill orientation for animal control are reaping the economic benefits.

Before Reno, Nevada's No Kill initiative, the shelter adopted out less than 5,000 dogs and cats every year. The remainder was killed at great cost to taxpayers and donors. In 2010, as death rates declined, the number of animals adopted doubled to just under 10,000 adoptions. This resulted in:

- * Cost savings of roughly \$200,000 associated with killing.
- * Adoption fees brought in almost \$250,000 in additional revenues.
- * The positive economic impact of economic spending by adopters on those animals to community businesses totaled over

\$12,000,000 in annual sales.

* With an average lifespan of roughly 11 years per animal, the total revenues to community businesses over the life of those pets could potentially top \$120,000,000.

* All these activities also bring in badly needed tax revenues. At an average 6% rate, adoptions over a ten-year period could potentially bring in over \$20,000,000 in sales tax alone.

* In addition, the businesses then employ people who turn around and spend even more in the community.

Thank you.