

EXHIBIT B

(COS ADDENDUM NO. 1 TO RFQ NO. 704)

**MAYOR
DAVID R. MARTIN**



**PURCHASING AGENT
BEVERLY A. AVENI
Phone: (203) 977-4107
FAX: (203) 977-8283
Email: baveni@stamfordct.gov**

**CITY OF STAMFORD
OFFICE OF POLICY & MANAGEMENT
888 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD
P.O. BOX 10152
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06904-2152**

**ADDENDUM NO. 1
(July 7, 2016)**

**RFQ No. 704
Stamford Traffic
Signal System Optimization**

Addendum No. 1 is being issued to all potential proposers to respond to inquiries received and to provide the following information.

The date by which Proposals are due has been changed from Thursday, July 14 to **Thursday, August 4, 2016, at 4:00 p.m.**

The date by which inquiries must be received has been extended to **Thursday, July 21, 2016.**

All written inquiries should be addressed to:

**Veera Karukonda
Signal Systems Engineer
City of Stamford
888 Washington Boulevard
Stamford, CT 06901
Phone: 203-977-5675
vkarakonda@stamfordct.gov**

Inquiries Submitted by:

WSP | Parsons Brinckerhoff

- Do the resumes and forms count toward the 20-page limit?

There is no page limit.

- Is there set aside percentage DBE participation on this project?

No set aside DBE participation.

- In reviewing the RFQ, under Section 2.2 Project Management, Subsection e. Project Schedule & Time-line, there is reference to a "tentative project schedule attached in the Appendix". Can you please indicate where the schedule is available? We do not see it in an Appendix.

This schedule will be discussed after finalizing the short list.

Urban Engineers Inc.

1. Section 1.13 indicates that Vendors submitting proposals to this RFQ shall not utilize the services of sub consultants. In section 2.1, it states that "the City will select a single consultant team (Consultant), which shall include the prime and the specialized sub consultants." Please clarify if a consultant (prime) firm submitting may use sub consultants to fulfill the tasks required as part of the project.

Sub Consultants are allowed. No integral task shall be divided among multiple prime/sub consultants. The prime consultant must establish the guidelines to be utilized for the entire project and all sub consultants must follow these guidelines. The prime consultant shall be responsible for providing QAQC for all of the work and will be required to field review the completed timing prior to finalization. The project manager will be required to sign-off on all of the submittals for the project. The prime consultant shall be responsible for the work performed by any sub consultant and will be required to make necessary revisions if the results are not satisfactory to the City.

2. Section 2.2 indicates a tentative project schedule is included in Appendix A, but Appendix A does not include a project schedule.

This schedule will be discussed after finalizing the short list.

3. Section 3.1 indicates that proposal responses are limited to 20 pages. Can you please clarify what is/is not part of the 20 page limit (e.g., are resumes and the letter of transmittal included)?

There is no page limit.

4. Section 3.1.2 indicates that each page must be numbered consecutively starting from the beginning of the qualifications? Does this mean page numbering starts with the technical response (i.e., letter of transmittal is not numbered)?

There is no criterion for numbering the pages.

5. Can the organizational chart be an 11 x 17 sheet?

Yes.

VHB

1. Section 1.13 of the RFQ notes that "Vendors submitting proposals to the RFQ shall not utilize the services of sub consultants". Section 2.1 of the RFQ notes that "it is envisioned that the City will select a single consultant team (Consultant), which shall include the prime and specialized sub consultants". These statements appear to conflict if a vendor is considered a consultant. Can the City please clarify if sub consultants are allowed on the proposal?

Sub Consultants are allowed. No integral task shall be divided among multiple prime/sub consultants. The prime consultant must establish the guidelines to be utilized for the entire project and all sub consultants must follow these guidelines. The prime consultant shall be responsible for providing QAQC for all of the work and will be required to field review the completed timing prior to finalization. The project manager will be required to sign-off on all of the submittals for the project. The prime consultant shall be responsible for the work performed by any sub consultant and will be required to make necessary revisions if the results are not satisfactory to the City.

2. Does the City anticipate a required DBE goal for the contract?

No set aside DBE participation.

3. Section 2.2e of the RFQ noted that a tentative project schedule is included in the Appendix. The Appendix attachment was reviewed but it appears that a schedule was not included. Will the City be issuing that as part of an Addendum to the RFQ?

This schedule will be discussed after finalizing the short list.

4. To what degree will City traffic staff/central system operators be available for support and to assist in timing/data changes from central?

The consultant is required to get trained or get the help directly from the system vendors of STDWIN or Trafficware whichever is in place or a combination of both systems that may be in place during the time of these changes.

5. Can the City provide additional information on the breakdown of how many signals are included within the centralized system, distributed closed-loop systems, or time based coordinated systems (that lack an on-street master, GPS or other means of maintaining controller clock synchronization)?

All the controllers except for two are being controlled by the existing Central Signal System.

6. What is the operational status of the existing traffic signals? Have existing deficiencies (for example: failed vehicle detection or communications) been identified or will that be the responsibility of the consultant selected for this project as part of the inventory process?

City will provide this information.

7. Can the City please clarify if the signal coordination is by traditional time of day operations only, or if there are any traffic responsive and/or adaptive systems in place?

All the existing timing plans are by Time-of-Day only. These schedules may need to be revised based on the traffic data.

There are no Traffic Responsive and/or Adaptive timing plans in use now.

8. Will the City grant permission to access a sampling of controller cabinets as we perform our due diligence for this proposal?

Yes.

Alfred Benesch & Co.

1 – There is a conflict in the RFQ documents regarding the use of sub consultants:

On Page 22 it states "Vendors submitting proposals to this RFQ shall not utilize the services of sub consultants."

On Page 25 it states "It is envisioned that the City will select a single consultant team (Consultant), which shall include the prime and the specialized sub consultants."

Could you please clarify which section is correct?

Sub Consultants are allowed. No integral task shall be divided among multiple prime/sub consultants. The prime consultant must establish the guidelines to be utilized for the entire project and all sub consultants must follow these guidelines. The prime consultant shall be responsible for providing QAQC for all of the work and will be required to field review the completed timing prior to finalization. The project manager will be required to sign-off on all of the submittals for the project. The prime consultant shall be responsible for the work performed by any sub consultant and will be required to make necessary revisions if the results are not satisfactory to the City.

Clarifications:

Section 1.13:

Vendors submitting proposals to this RFQ are allowed to utilize sub consultants.

Section 1.17:

Vendors submitting proposals to this RFQ are allowed to utilize sub consultants.

Section 2.4:

For mid-block counting, the consultant shall have the option to use ATR tube counters. The consultant shall be required to remove all traces of the tubes and attaching hardware after the count is completed.

Section 2.5:

The City of Stamford has several zones that present special concerns including movement of traffic around the I-95, North State Street and South State Street corridors and the impact of actuated Exclusive ped phases at certain critical locations as well as Transit Signal Priority.

For these situations, additional higher fidelity modeling analysis beyond the Synchro/Sim Traffic model may be desirable that authenticate the movement of vehicles through the complex roadway sections into and out of the City of Stamford during critical periods.

The proposers are encouraged to present their past experience and suggestions on how they would utilize such modeling to improve the signal timing that is developed in the RFQ.

Section 2.6:

The requirement for IMSA Signal Level II in Section 2.6 is removed. The consultants shall be required to be trained in the use of the STDWIN Central and Trafficware ATMS.NOW central applications. Consultants shall be trained in field programming of the Trafficware controllers (Keypad) and use of local PC downloaders. The City shall provide copies of this software for use by the consultant.

Section 2.8:

In addition to the suggested methodologies the Consultant shall also recommend Blue Tooth or Wifi based sensor technology to collect and validate the travel times before and after study. The successful consultant shall provide an installation map locating these sensors along major arterials per manufacturer's recommended guidelines.

Section 6.0:

The City reserves the right to short list from two to five firms. The shortlisted firms will be notified and a more detailed scope of services will likely be made available at that time. The shortlisted firms will be required to submit a more detailed RFP including cost data at that time. Subsequent to receipt of the RFP submission, interviews will be scheduled for the same day for all of the firms. The firms shall be prepared to discuss their complete proposal during the interview.

All other terms and conditions of RFQ No. 704 remain the same.

Beverly A. Aveni

Beverly A. Aveni
Purchasing Agent

Cc: Veera Karukonda, Signal Systems Engineer
Purchasing Department File