From:	Burwick, Laura
To:	McMullen, John; Jacobson, Jonathan
Cc:	Rosenson, Valerie; Nabel, Susan; Fountain, James R. (OPM); Gami, Bharat
Subject:	RE: O30.024 Building Permit Fees - Necessary Commercial Fee
Date:	Thursday, January 03, 2019 5:40:11 PM

Dear Representative McMullen,

My apologies for not getting back to you sooner but I left the office at 11:30am yesterday and did not see your email. Please see below for the answers to your questions.

Best Regards, Laura Burwick

From: J.R. McMullen [mailto:jrmcmullen.stamford18@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2019 11:26 AM
To: Jacobson, Jonathan
Cc: Rosenson, Valerie; Nabel, Susan; Burwick, Laura
Subject: 030.024 Building Permit Fees - Necessary Commercial Fee

Hi Jon,

I see there is a letter posted to the subject item from Ms. Burwick that analyzes the impact of the committee's changes to the Mayor's proposed \$1.2M revenue increase in building permit fees. Ms. Burwick has identified an \$800K shortfall in what the Mayor planned to raise through his proposed increases.

I have a couple of questions that hopefully will only require minor tweaks to her spreadsheet.

1. What is the commercial permit fee per \$1,000 necessary to recovery the \$800K given all other parameters remain the same? Assuming that the fee for the first \$1million of commercial project cost would stay at \$16.50/\$1,000; the commercial permit fee (above \$1M) would need to increase to approximately \$24.30 to "recover" the \$800K.

2. If the protected, small business, commercial projects dropped from \$1M to \$500K, what would the new commercial building permit rate have to be? If we were to charge \$19.50/\$1,000 for all commercial permits between \$500K and \$1M, the City would collect an additional \$159K of permit fees.

--Trying to help other people at all times,

J.R. McMullen (203) 979-8360 Representative District 18 165 Slice Drive Stamford, CT 06907

