

Opponents' Exhibit 2: Planning Board's November 19, 2013 Deliberations on Redniss' Zoning Application

Note what was said and what was not said at the Planning Board's Deliberations:

1. Redniss admits the genesis of the Zoning Application was the New House to be built at 74 Saddle Rock Road.
2. Redniss tried initially to only have the Zoning Map Change apply to the two lots owned by Cullman/Kirby. Staff/Planning Board said try to get other lots.
3. When asked a direct question, Redniss lied and said the only lot that will become nonconforming is the smaller lot of the Cullman/Kirby. At this time as the record reveals three lots would become nonforming.
4. No discussion of the Master Plan by the Planning Board.
5. What should be particularly troubling to this and the other Boards is David Wood's statement: "This is one of the most difficult [staff] reports to write." And even more troubling is the response Mr. Woods got from Mr. Cole: "Are you nuts just do it."
6. Mr. Woods went on to state that if the Planning Board wants to approve the Zoning Map Change, the Planning Board should agree that it is good planning principle to have less density in high risk flood areas. He reiterated this point immediately before the Planning Board vote.
7. No flooding questions asked, no height questions. No question if anyone opposed the Zoning Application. Will this Application set bad precedent? What is the City doing for other coastal residents?
8. Excluding David Tunick's property from the Zone Map Change gave the applicant the "opportunity" to exclude 75 Saddle Rock Road. Note: Tunick supports the Zoning Application.
9. Why is the November 19th Draft of the Staff Report omitted from the record?